



Strasbourg, 26 January 2023

CDL-REF(2023)007

Opinion n° 1119 / 2023

Engl. only

EUROPEAN COMMISSION FOR DEMOCRACY THROUGH LAW
(VENICE COMMISSION)

GEORGIA

ORGANIC LAW

**ON COMMON COURTS
WITH THE DRAFT AMENDMENTS***

*** Unofficial consolidated text**

ORGANIC LAW OF GEORGIA
ON COMMON COURTS

Chapter I - General Provisions

Article 1 - Judiciary power

1. The judicial power is independent from other branches of state power and is administered only by courts.
2. Justice is one of the forms of administration of judicial power and is administered by general courts through civil, administrative and criminal proceedings.
3. This Law defines a system and organisation of general courts of Georgia, the legal status of judges, the procedure for their recruitment, appointment (election) and discharge, and guarantees for social and legal protection of judges, the procedure for communicating with judges of general courts of Georgia by the participants of legal proceedings, interested persons, public servants, state servants, state political officials and political officials, and their liability for failure to follow this procedure, and grounds for disciplinary liability of judges of general courts of Georgia, types of disciplinary penalties, procedure for conducting disciplinary proceedings and for imposing disciplinary liability on judges, and procedure for considering disciplinary cases and making decisions thereon in general courts of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Article 1¹ – Definition of terms

1. The terms used in Chapter XII¹ of this Law shall mean the following:
 - a) communication with a judge – any form of contact with a judge of a general court, including correspondence, conversation by phone or via another technical means;
 - b) a participant of legal proceedings – an employee of a prosecutor’s office, an investigator, a lawyer, a representative, a party, third party, and another person participating a criminal, civil or administrative case hearing;
 - c) an interested person – a person who is interested in the outcome of a case to be considered and tries to communicate with a judge to this end;

d) a public servant – a person defined under Article 3(d) of the Law of Georgia on Public Service;

e) a state servant – a person defined under Article 3(b) of the Law of Georgia on Public Service;

f) a state political official/political official – a person defined under Article 3(h) of the Law of Georgia on Public Service/a person defined under Article 3(i) of the Law of Georgia on Public Service.

2. For the purposes of this law, the terms used herein shall mean the following:

a) Reasonable supposition – a totality of facts or information that, according to materials of the disciplinary offense proceedings, would satisfy a neutral observer to make a conclusion that a judge has allegedly committed a disciplinary offence;

b) High level of probability – a totality of mutually consistent and convincing evidence which suffices to assume with a great level of confidence that an appropriate penalty will be imposed in the given disciplinary.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3796 of 30 November 2018 – website, 13.12.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 2 - General court system of Georgia

1. The General courts of Georgia are district (city) courts, courts of appeals and the Supreme Court of Georgia.

2. The general court system of Georgia shall be uniform.

2¹. Special courts may be established only within the general court system.

3. A military court may be established only within the general court system, during the martial law, for considering criminal cases related to the martial law, and by a decree of the President of Georgia. The same decree shall define the composition of a military court, its jurisdiction and the procedure for hearing cases. The decree shall come into force from the moment of issuance.

4. Extraordinary courts may not be established.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Article 3 - Defending the rights through court

1. Everyone shall have the right to apply directly to court in person or through his/her representative for defending his/her rights and freedoms.

2. Everyone shall be judged only by the court, within the jurisdiction of which his/her case falls.

Article 4 - Binding force of court decisions

1. A judicial act as well as a court request and order for exercising its power shall be binding on all natural and legal persons, central and municipal bodies throughout the territory of Georgia.

2. A court decision may be repealed, modified or suspended only by a court according to the procedure defined by law.

3. Failure to comply with a court decision or impeding its compliance shall entail liability provided for by law.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 6858 – website, 28.07.2020

Article 5 - Delivering decisions on behalf of Georgia

A general court of Georgia shall deliver decisions on behalf of Georgia.

Article 6 - Principles of justice and trial

1. Justice shall be administered as equality before law and court of all persons involved in the case, as well as by the principles of transparency and non-substitution and independence of judges.

2. Trials shall be conducted with respect to the equality of parties and in adversary proceedings.

Article 7 - Independence of judges

1. A judge shall be independent in his/her activity. The judge shall assess facts and make decisions only according to the Constitution of Georgia, universally accepted principles and standards of international law, other laws and by his/her inner conviction. A judge may not be requested to report, or instructed as to which decision to make on a particular case.

2. Withdrawal of a judge from hearing cases, his/her dismissal from post or transfer to another position shall be permissible only in the cases defined by this Law.

3. If during the hearing of a particular case the court infers that there is a sufficient basis to believe that a law or any other normative act to be applied by the court in deciding the case may be deemed incompatible, in full or in part, with the Constitution of Georgia, it shall

suspend the hearing and apply to the Constitutional Court of Georgia. The hearing shall be resumed after the Constitutional Court of Georgia has made a decision on the matter.

4. If the court of trial finds that a normative act, the examination of which does not fall within the scope of authority of the Constitutional Court of Georgia, is incompatible with the Constitution of Georgia, the court shall deliver a decision according to the Constitution of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Article 8 - No interference in the activity of courts

1. Central and municipal bodies, institutions, public and political associations, functionaries, legal entities and natural persons are prohibited from encroaching upon the independence of the judiciary.

2. Exerting any pressure upon a judge or interfering with his/her activity to influence the decision-making shall be prohibited and punishable under law.

Organic Law of Georgia No 6858 – website, 28.07.2020

Article 9 - Liability for contempt of court

Any act on the part of the parties, other persons involved in the case or any other person attending the hearing or present in the court that conveys contempt of or disrespect for court shall carry the liability provided by law.

Article 10 - Language of proceedings

Proceedings shall be conducted in the official language. Persons having no command of the official language shall be assigned an interpreter. Interpreter services shall be paid from the State Budget of Georgia.

Article 11 - Making a decision

An individual judge shall make a decision solely and multiple judges – as a panel. A panel of judges shall make a decision by a majority of votes. No judge may abstain from voting.

Article 12 - (Deleted)

Organic Law of Georgia No 4952 of 24 June 2011 – website, 6.7.2011

Article 13 - Publicity of trials

1. Every case in court shall be tried at an open session.
2. Trial in chambers may be held only if so provided for by law.
3. A court decision shall be pronounced publicly in every case.

3¹. A court decision made at an open session as a result of hearing a case on the merits shall be fully published on the website of the court, and if a court decision is made at a closed session as a result of hearing a case on the merits, only the resolution part of the decision shall be published on the website of the court. The issue of disclosing personal data of a person that are included in the court decisions shall be resolved in accordance with law.

4. (Deleted – 6.3.2013, No 260)

5. A photo may be taken and cinematographic or video recording may be performed in court, as well as in a courtroom, according to procedures determined by this Law.

Organic Law of Georgia No 260 of 6 March 2013 – website, 20.3.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 580 of 1 May 2013 – website, 20.5.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Article 13¹ - Airing a court session by the media

1. A court shall provide for audio and video recording of a trial. The court shall make audio, video records available to the parties upon request. If the court rules to close the session in part or in whole, the parties shall sign an undertaking of non-disclosure of the audio-, video-records.

2. A public broadcaster may perform taking of photos, cinematographic, video and audio recording of a trial, without limitation, except where the court has ruled to close the session in part or in whole. The public broadcaster shall release the record to any other media upon request.

3. If the public broadcaster fails to exercise the right under paragraph 2 of this article, such right may be exercised by another general over-the-air broadcaster by submitting a written application to a trial judge before the session. If such an application is submitted by more than one general over-the-air broadcaster, the judge shall select an authorised person by casting lots. The person exercising the right under paragraph 2 of this article shall carry the obligation defined by the same paragraph.

4. Taking of photos, cinematographic and video recording in a courtroom may be performed from a place designated in advance by the court. Any person present in the courtroom may perform the audio recording of a session from the place designated in advance by the court. In

performing such acts, no one shall be permitted to move or make a noise in the courtroom or use lights or any other emission that may interrupt the normal process of administration of justice. If this rule is violated, the judge (court) may take the actions provided in the criminal procedure and civil procedure legislation of Georgia.

5. If the session proceeds with participation of jurors, taking of photos, cinematographic, video and audio recording of a session shall be performed without photographing such jurors or disclosing their identity, appearance and/or other personal details.

6. If the interests of a victim and/or a witness so require, based on a substantiated motion of a party, the court may prohibit the photographing of the victim and/or the witness and the disclosure of their identity, appearance and/or other personal details.

7. Taking of photos, cinematographic, video and audio recording in a court yard and a building corridor may be performed and aired without any limitation. A person having entered the court building under procedures determined by the court shall not be deprived of his/her personal effects, including a mobile phone, a computer, a photo, cinematographic or video camera and/or an audio recording device.

Organic Law of Georgia No 260 of 6 March 2013 – website, 20.3.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 580 of 1 May 2013 – website, 20.5.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 3696 of 12 June 2015 – website, 15.6.2015

Article 13² – Judiciary Mediation Programme

1. District (city) courts and appeal courts must, in accordance with the Mediation Act, implement at their respective courts measures envisaged by the Judicial Mediation Programme and other measures required for mediation development.

2. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall approve Judiciary Mediation Programmes.

3. A Judiciary Mediation Programme shall define the standards of communication between the courts and the Georgian Mediators Association, the procedural aspects of judiciary mediation proceedings, and other matters required for the proper functioning of the judiciary mediation system which are not regulated by the Mediation Act.

Organic Law of Georgia No 4963 of 18 September 2019 – website, 27.09.2019

Chapter I¹. Dissemination of the Text of a Judicial Act as Public Information

Article 13³. Scope of this Chapter

1. The full or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act shall be communicated as public information in the manner prescribed by this Chapter only to a person who may not obtain the full text of the same judicial act on the basis of other legal norms in force in Georgia.

2. This Chapter shall apply only in the event where the fully or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act adopted in a case in which the final court decision has entered into legal force is requested as public information.

3. The rules established by this Chapter for resolving the issue of communicating the fully or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act as public information shall apply only if the court has not made a decision to close the relevant part of the court session or to close it completely.

4. The rules established by this Chapter shall not apply to the disclosure to the public of that part of the text of a judicial act, which contains a commercial, professional or state secret recognized in accordance with the law, or the communication of which as public information may lead to the disclosure of such secrets.

5. For the purposes of this Chapter, a judicial act is a final decision rendered by the Common Court of Georgia based on the results of an open court session in accordance with the procedural legislation, or a decision by which the case is not resolved on the merits, if it contains personal data and/or is related to a person's health, finances, family life, or other personal affairs.

6. For the purposes of this Chapter, the full text of a judicial act means the text of a judicial act in the form in which it was adopted by the court.

7. For the purposes of this Chapter, depersonalization of the text of a judicial act means changing the relevant information reflected in it in such a way that it is impossible to link it to the relevant person or establishing such a link requires disproportionately hard efforts, expenses and time. An individual may not be depersonalized with the initials of the first and last name. For the purposes of this Chapter, partial depersonalization of the text of a judicial act means the depersonalization of the legal document in such a way that all personal data contained in it or information relating to a person's health, finances, family life or other personal affairs is not completely modified.

Article 13⁴. Dissemination of the fully or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act as public information adopted before 1 May 2023

1. This article shall apply only to relations pertaining to the communication of the fully or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act as public information adopted before 1 May 2023.

2. Until 1 May 2024, a person shall have the right to apply to the High Council of Justice of Georgia or to the relevant court with a substantiated paper or electronic application and demand protection from disclosure of information about him/her in the form of public information in a judicial act adopted before 1 May 2023. If a person does not apply to the High Council of Justice of Georgia or the relevant court with a substantiated paper or electronic application before 1 May 2024 and does not require protection from disclosure of information about him/her in the form of public information in a judicial act, he/she shall be considered to agree to the disclosure of this information as public.

3. Within 1 year from 1 May 2024, the relevant court, based on the principle of a reasonable balance of human rights guaranteed by the Constitution of Georgia, in particular the right to

protection of life, health, finances, family life and/or other personal affairs, as well as the right to access to a judicial act shall decide on the issue of the admissibility of communicating a fully or partially depersonalized text as public information in relation of which a person requested protection from disclosure of information reflected in the text in the form of public information in accordance with the second paragraph of this article. The court shall issue a reasoned ruling on this matter.

4. A decision provided for by the third paragraph of this article shall enter into force immediately upon the expiration of 1 month from its adoption. Paragraphs 5 and 6 of Article 13⁵ of this Law shall apply to the filing of a complaint against the said decision, the consideration of the complaint and the adoption of a decision on it.

5. In order to obtain the fully or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act as public information, a person may apply to the court that adopted this judicial act, and in case of liquidation of the said court - to another appropriate court operating within the former territorial jurisdiction. An applicant shall have the right to substantiate the necessity and motivation for requesting the fully or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act as public information.

6. Within 10 working days after filing an application with the court in accordance with paragraph 5 of this article, the court office shall ensure the transfer of the fully or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act to the applicant, if the relevant ruling is made and entered into force in accordance with this article, as well as the transfer of the full text of the judicial act, if no person has requested protection from disclosure of information reflected in it as public information in accordance with the second paragraph of this article.

7. The information reflected in a decision provided for by this article may not lead to the disclosure of information reflected in the judicial act, the communication of which as public information is recognized by the court as inadmissible.

8. It shall be inadmissible to communicate the fully or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act adopted before 1 May 2023 as public information until 1 May 2025.

9. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall determine the procedure for communicating the fully or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act adopted before 1 May 2023 as public information, based on this article. In addition, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall periodically inform the public about the rules established by this article, including by publishing this information on its website.

Article 13⁵. Dissemination of the fully or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act as public information adopted after 1 May 2023

1. This article shall apply only to relations related to the communication in the form of public information of the fully or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act adopted after 1 May 2023.

2. Along with the adoption of its last judicial act on the case and based on the principle of a reasonable balance of human rights guaranteed by the Constitution of Georgia, in particular, the right to protection of life, health, finances, family life and/or other personal affairs and the right to access to a judicial act, the court shall decide on the issue of the admissibility of communicating as public information a fully or partially depersonalized text of all judicial acts

adopted by it on the same case as a result of an open court session. The court shall issue a reasoned ruling on this matter.

3. In order to resolve the issue provided for by the second paragraph of this article, the court, before adopting a final judicial act on the case in the manner prescribed by the fourth paragraph of this article, shall ascertain the will of a person regarding the disclosure of information about this person in the form of public information reflected in the judicial act adopted/to be adopted by the court on the same case. If it is established that a person agrees to the disclosure of information about him/her, reflected in a judicial act, in the form of public information, the court shall be obliged to allow the communication of this information as publicly available. If a person refuses to disclose information about him/her, reflected in a judicial act as public information, or his/her will to disclose this information as public information is unclear, the court shall have the right to allow the communication of this information as public information.

4. For the purposes specified in the second and third paragraphs of this article, the court shall invite a person participating in a court case and attending an open court session to agree in writing to the disclosure in the form of public information of information about this person reflected/to be reflected in a judicial act, and set a reasonable time limit for this but not less than the period necessary to complete the consideration of the case. If this person within the specified time limit does not provide the court with a reasoned refusal in writing to disclose the information reflected in the judicial act as public information, it shall be considered that he/she has consented to the communication of this information in the form of public information. In addition, for the purposes specified in the second and third paragraphs of this article, the court shall give the opportunity to a person participating in a court case and attending an open court session to express a reasoned refusal in writing to disseminate information in the form of public information about another person mentioned by this person in the course of the proceedings and reflected/to be reflected in the judicial act, and the court shall set a reasonable time limit for this, but not less than the time necessary to complete the consideration of the case. If this person during this time limit brings such a refusal to the court in writing, it shall be considered that the other person, mentioned by him/her in the course of legal proceedings, refuses to disclose the information about him/her reflected/to be reflected in the judicial act in the form of publicly available information. In other cases, it shall be considered that the will of the above specified other person regarding the disclosure of information about him/he reflected/to be reflected in the judicial act as public information is unclear. A person shall have the right to express the will provided for in this paragraph or refusal referred to in the same paragraph also in a claim, statement, complaint and/or a counterclaim filed with the court. The High Council of Justice of Georgia, by inserting the relevant fields and explanations in its approved sample forms of claims, complaints and counterclaims to be submitted to the court, shall ensure the possibility of expressing the will provided for in this paragraph and refusal referred to in the same paragraph in these claims, complaints and counterclaims. Failure to express refusal referred to in this paragraph in a claim, statement, complaint, counterclaim filed with the court shall entail the same result as the failure to appear in an open court session. If a person has a representative in court proceedings, his/her representative shall act on behalf of this person upon the expression of will provided for by this paragraph, or the refusal specified in the same paragraph, unless this person himself/herself decides otherwise.

5. A ruling provided for in the second paragraph of this article shall enter into force upon the expiration of 1 year from the date of its issuance, and if all the persons indicated in the relevant judicial act agree to communicate information about them reflected in the same judicial act as publicly available information, the said ruling shall enter into force immediately upon its issuance. A person in respect of whom the court, by a ruling provided for in the second paragraph of this article, has allowed the disclosure of information specified in a judicial act in the form of publicly available information, shall have the right to appeal against this ruling

before it enters into legal force. If the decision on the appeal is not made before the entry into force of the ruling, the filing of the appeal before the adoption of a decision on it, but not more than 1 month after its filing, shall suspend the entry into force of the appealed ruling. Within 1 month from the date of filing the complaint, the court adjudicating it shall issue a ruling to refuse to cancel the contested decision or cancel the contested decision by its own ruling and, based on the principle of a reasonable balance of rights provided for in the second paragraph of this article, shall resolve the issue defined by the same paragraph. When resolving this issue, the court adjudicating a complaint shall have the right to decide on the admissibility as publicly available information of the information reflected in the relevant judicial act that was not indicated by an applicant in the complaint. A decision adopted on the basis of the results of consideration of the appeal by the court adjudicating it shall not be appealed and enter into force immediately after its issuance. The rules for filing, considering and deciding on a private complaint established by the Civil Procedure Code of Georgia shall apply to the filing, considering and deciding on a complaint under this paragraph, unless otherwise provided for by this Chapter.

6. A complaint may be filed against a ruling provided for in the second paragraph of this article at any time after its entry into force, but not more than once every 2 calendar years. The filing of an appeal may not suspend the effect of the contested ruling. Within 1 month from the date of filing a complaint, the court adjudicating it shall issue a ruling to refuse to cancel the contested decision or cancel the contested decision by its own ruling and, based on the principle of a reasonable balance of rights provided for in the second paragraph of this article, shall resolve the issue defined by the same paragraph. When resolving this issue, the court adjudicating a complaint shall have the right to decide on the admissibility of information as publicly available information reflected in the relevant judicial act that was not indicated by an applicant in the complaint. A ruling adopted on the basis of the results of consideration of the complaint by the court adjudicating it may not be appealed and shall enter into force immediately after its issuance. The rules for filing, considering and deciding on a private complaint established by the Civil Procedure Code of Georgia shall apply to the filing, considering and deciding on a complaint under this paragraph, unless otherwise provided for by this chapter.

7. In order to obtain the full or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act in the form of public information, a person may apply to the court that adopted this judicial act, or, in the event of liquidation of the said court - to another appropriate court operating within the former territorial jurisdiction. An applicant shall have the right to substantiate the necessity and motivation for requesting the fully or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act as public information.

8. Within 10 working days after filing an application with the court in accordance with paragraph 7 of this article, the court office shall ensure the transfer of the fully or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act to the applicant, if an appropriate ruling has been issued and entered into force in accordance with this article.

9. The information reflected in a ruling provided for by this article may not lead to the disclosure of information reflected in the judicial act, the communication of which in the form of public information is recognized by the court as inadmissible.

10. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall determine the procedure for communicating as public information of the fully or partially depersonalized text of a judicial act adopted after 1 May 2023, pursuant to this article.

Chapter II - Supreme Court of Georgia

Article 14 - Supreme Court of Georgia

1. The Supreme Court of Georgia ('the Supreme Court') is the court of highest review and final instance in the administration of justice throughout Georgia.
2. The Supreme Court shall oversee the administration of justice in the general courts of Georgia and exercise other powers under Articles 88(2) and 90(1) of the Constitution of Georgia and this Law in the established procedural form.
3. The Supreme Court shall consist of 28 members.

Organic Law of Georgia No 1489 of 1 November 2013 – website, 13.11.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Article 15 - Structure of the Supreme Court

1. (Deleted – 8.2.2017, No 255).
2. The following shall be formed within the Supreme Court:
 - a) Chamber of Civil Cases;
 - b) Chamber of Administrative Cases;
 - c) Chamber of Criminal Cases;
 - d) Grand Chamber;
 - e) Plenum;
 - f) Chamber of Disciplinary Cases;
 - g) Chamber of Qualification.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 – website, 18.8.2014

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Article 16 - Chamber of the Supreme Court

1. Any Chamber of the Supreme Court (other than the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases and the Chamber of Qualification) is a court of review examining, under procedures defined by procedural law of Georgia, appeals of the decisions of courts of appeals, also examining, where provided and under procedures determined by law, any other cases falling within its jurisdiction, further examining applications in connection with the Restitution and Compensation Commission decisions involving the violations of the procedures determined by the Law of Georgia on Property Restitution and Compensation for People Affected by the Conflict in the Former Autonomous District of South Ossetia on the Territory of Georgia.

2. Any Chamber of the Supreme Court (other than the Grand Chamber) shall review a case by panels composed of three judges.

3. Based on a substantiated ruling, the court reviewing a case under cassation procedure may refer the case for examination to the Grand Chamber of the Supreme Court if:

a) in terms of its contents, the case is a rare legal problem;

b) the Grand Chamber does not concur with the earlier legal assessment (interpretation of a norm) of another chamber of review;

c) (Deleted).

Organic Law of Georgia No 3620 of 24 September 2010 – LHG I, No 52, 30.9.2010, Art. 334

Organic Law of Georgia No 3959 of 10 December 2010 – LHG I, No 73, 23.12.2010, Art. 439

Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 – website, 18.8.2014

Article 17 - Grand Chamber of the Supreme Court

1. The Grand Chamber of the Supreme Court is a court of review examining, under procedures determined by the procedural legislation of Georgia, especially complex cases defined in Articles 16(3) and 17(5) of this Law.

2. The Grand Chamber is composed of the Chairperson of the Supreme Court, the chairpersons of other chambers and at least 12 judges elected by the Plenum from among the members of Supreme Court chambers for a term of two years.

3. The Grand Chamber shall review a case by a panel composed of 9 judges. The panel shall be composed of judges having originally tried the case regardless of whether they are concurrently the members of the Grand Chamber.

4. The Chairperson of the Supreme Court or by his/her instruction – the chairperson of one of the chambers shall preside over the review of a case by the Grand Chamber.

5. Legal interpretations (interpretation of a norm) by the Grand Chamber of the Supreme Court shall be binding upon the general courts of all instances. Based on a substantiated ruling, the court reviewing a case under a cassation procedure shall refer a case for examination to the Grand Chamber of the Supreme Court if the reviewing chamber does not concur with the earlier legal interpretation (interpretation of a norm) of the Grand Chamber.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3959 of 10 December 2010 – LHG I, No 73, 23.12.2010, Art. 439

Article 18 – The Plenum of the Supreme Court

1. The Plenum of the Supreme Court shall be composed of the Chairperson of the Supreme Court, the First Deputy Chairperson and the Deputy Chairpersons of the Supreme Court, the members of the Supreme Court and the chairpersons of courts of appeals.

2. The Plenum may:

a) elect members of the Grand Chamber on the recommendation of the Chairperson of the Supreme Court;

b) elect the composition of the Supreme Court chambers and their chairpersons upon recommendation of a member of the Plenum of the Supreme Court;

b¹) (Deleted – 8.2.2017, No 255);

c) appoint three members of the Constitutional Court of Georgia;

d) under Article 89(1)(a) of the Constitution of Georgia, in connection with the examination of a particular case and generalisation of precedents, submit a recommendation to the Constitutional Court of Georgia on the compatibility of a normative act with the Constitution of Georgia;

e) (Deleted – 1.11.2013, No 1489);

f) submit a recommendation to the President of Georgia or the Government of Georgia regarding signing international agreements on matters falling within the scope of authority of the Supreme Court;

g) hear and assess briefs from chairpersons of the Supreme Court chambers, reports from the heads of the structural units of the Office of the Supreme Court, and consider proposals to improve their activity;

h) create an official gazette of the Supreme Court and appoint, on the recommendation of the Chairperson of the Supreme Court, its editor and editorial board;

i) form a research-advisory board of the Supreme Court, approve its regulations, composition and academic secretary;

j) within funds allocated from for the Supreme Court from the State Budget of Georgia, determine the amount of a monthly bonus to the official salary of a member of the Supreme Court;

k) approve, on the recommendation of the Chairperson of the Supreme Court, Regulations of the Office of the Supreme Court, and the rates of official salaries to employees and other workers;

k¹) approve, on the recommendation of the Chairperson of the Supreme Court, the Supreme Court Internship Procedure and the Procedure for Assessment of Servants of the Office of the Supreme Court;

l) prepare and publish annual reports on the condition of justice in Georgia;

m) exercise other rights arising out of the constitutional functions of the judiciary and provided by the legislation of Georgia.

3. The Plenum shall:

a) protect and strengthen institutional independence of the judiciary as one of the branches of state authority and an equal branch of state authority, and ensure the independence of judges;

b) help build people's trust and confidence in the judiciary within the scope of its authority.

4. The Plenum shall be duly constituted if at least two thirds of the members of the Plenum are present at a session. Decisions shall be deemed passed if voted for by at least two thirds of the members present at the session.

5. The Plenum shall convene as necessary, but at least once a year. The plenary session shall be called by the Chairperson of the Supreme Court on his/her initiative or by request of at least one fifth of Plenum members.

6. Relevant specialists and other persons may be invited to plenary sessions.

7. A session of the Plenum of the Supreme Court shall be public, as a rule.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5529 of 20 December 2011 – website, 28.12.2011

Organic Law of Georgia No 5920 of 27 March 2012 – website, 19.4.2012

Organic Law of Georgia No 1489 of 1 November 2013 – website, 13.11.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 – website, 18.8.2014

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Article 19 - Chamber of Disciplinary Cases of the Supreme Court

1. The Chamber of Disciplinary Cases of the Supreme Court, composed of 3 members, shall be elected by the Plenum of the Supreme Court for a three-year term. In order to elect members of the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases of the Supreme Court, candidates for membership, including a candidate for the Chairperson of the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases, shall be nominated to the Plenum of the Supreme Court from among the Supreme Court members by a member of the Plenum.

2. (Deleted – 8.2.2017, No 255);

3. If the Plenum of the Supreme Court fails to elect, twice in a row, a candidate for membership of the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases nominated by a member of the Plenum of the Supreme Court as a member of the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases of the Supreme Court, the Chairperson of the Supreme Court may appoint an acting member of the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases from among members of the Supreme Court for not more than a six-month term until electing this candidate.
4. The Chairperson of the Supreme Court may be recused from examination, for the period of examination of a particular application in the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases, by a Chamber member who has grounds for recusal under the procedural legislation of Georgia in connection with the application. In such case, the Chairperson of the Supreme Court shall appoint an acting member of the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases from among Supreme Court members.
5. A member of the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases shall effectively exercise the powers of a judge of the Supreme Court.
6. The Chamber of Disciplinary Cases of the Supreme Court shall consider an appeal from a decision of the Disciplinary Panel of Judges of General Courts of Georgia under the procedure determined by Chapter XIII¹ of this Law, and from a decision of the Ethics Commission of the Georgian Bar Association – under the procedure determined by Chapter XIII¹ of this Law and the Law of Georgia on Lawyers.

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 2267 of 4 May 2018 – website, 21.5.2018

Article 19¹ - The Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court

[1. The Qualification Chamber of the Supreme Court of Georgia shall consider a complaint against a decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on the refusal to appoint a judge for a term of 3 years or for life, as well as a complaint against a decision/nomination of the Supreme Council of Justice of Georgia made in the process of selecting judicial candidates to be proposed to the Parliament of Georgia for the appointment to the Supreme Court.](#)

~~1. The Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court shall, under the procedure established by Articles 35⁴, 36⁵ and 36⁶ of this Law, review appeals of the decisions of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on refusing to appoint a judge to office for a three-year or indefinite term.~~

2. The Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court composed of three members shall be elected by the Plenum of the Supreme Court for a three-year term. A member of the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court may not be a judge of the Supreme Court who, at the same time, is a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

3. Any member of the Plenum of the Supreme Court may present to the Plenum candidates for membership in the Chamber of Qualification (including a candidate for chairperson of the Chamber of Qualification) which are to be elected from among the Supreme Court members. If the Plenum of the Supreme Court twice fails to elect the nominated candidates, the Chairperson of the Supreme Court may appoint one of the members of the Supreme Court as

an acting member of the Chamber of Qualification, for not more than six months, until a member of the Chamber of Qualification is elected.

4. A member of the Chamber of Qualification shall be discharged by the Chairperson of the Supreme Court, with the consent of the Plenum of the Supreme Court.

5. A member of the Chamber of Qualification shall be obliged to withdraw from a case hearing for the period required to review a specific appeal, provided he/she has grounds for recusal in relation to this appeal under the procedural legislation of Georgia. In this case, the Chairperson of the Supreme Court shall appoint an acting member of the Chamber of Qualification from among the Supreme Court members for the period required to review the appeal.

6. A member of the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court shall fully exercise powers of a judge of the Supreme Court.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 – website, 18.8.2014

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Article 20 - Chairpersons of the Supreme Court chambers

1. The Supreme Court chambers have chairpersons. The chairperson of a chamber (except for Chairpersons of the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases and the Chamber of Qualification) shall be elected by the Plenum of the Supreme Court from among members of the chamber for a five-year term. Chairpersons of the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases and the Chamber of Qualification shall be elected by the Plenum of the Supreme Court from among members of the chambers for a three-year term under the procedure established by Articles 19 and 19¹ of this Law. By decision of the Plenum of the Supreme Court, powers of the chairperson of a chamber (except for Chairpersons of the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases and the Chamber of Qualification) may be exercised by the Chairperson of the Supreme Court.

2. The chairpersons of Supreme Court chambers (other than the Chairpersons of the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases and the Chamber of Qualification) shall concurrently serve as Deputy Chairpersons of the Supreme Court.

3. The First Deputy Chairperson of the Supreme Court shall be elected by the Plenum of the Supreme Court from among the chairpersons of Supreme Court chambers (other than the Chairpersons of the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases and the Chamber of Qualification).

Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 – website, 18.8.2014

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Article 21 - Chairperson of the Supreme Court

1. The Chairperson of the Supreme Court:

a) provides overall management of the activity of the Supreme Court;

- b) may serve as the chairperson of one of the chambers; presides over the sessions of the Plenum and the Grand Chamber of the Supreme Court and, if necessary, the sessions of the chambers of the Supreme Court;
- c) (deleted – 1.5.2013, No 580);
- d) in connection with general issues of justice in Georgia, interacts, on behalf of the judiciary in the administration of justice, with other branches of state authority, the media and the population;
- e) manages the operation of the Office of the Supreme Court;
- f) makes decisions on the appointment to the post (recruitment) and discharging from the post (dismissal from office) of public servants of the Office of the Supreme Court;
- g) designates a judge with relevant powers to issue orders for conducting operational-technical measures under the Law of Georgia on Counter-Intelligence Activities;
- h) exercises powers under Chapter XIII¹ of this Law;
- i) (Deleted – 21.7.2018, No 3262);
- j) exercises other powers provided by the legislation of Georgia.

[1¹. The President of the Supreme Court shall be elected by the Parliament of Georgia by a majority of full membership from among the judges of the Supreme Court on the nomination of the High Council of Justice of Georgia for a term of 10 years, but not more than the term of his/her term of office as a judge of the Supreme Court. The same person may not be re-elected as the President of the Supreme Court. At least one-fifth of the full membership of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall have the right to nominate a candidate for the position of President of the Supreme Court. All candidates shall be voted together. A candidate who receives at least two-thirds of the votes of the full membership of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be considered nominated. If none of the candidates receives the required number of votes, the candidate with the best result shall be voted \(if the number of votes is equal, preference shall be given to a candidate with a long record of work in the specialty\). A candidate shall be considered nominated if he/she receives a majority of votes from the full membership of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. If the High Council of Justice of Georgia fails to nominate a candidate for the Presidency of the Supreme Court, the procedure for nominating candidates shall be resumed not earlier than 2 weeks after the last vote. A candidate proposed to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the Presidency of the Supreme Court, who has not received the required number of votes of the members of the Parliament of Georgia as a result of voting, may be nominated only twice during the term of office of the Parliament of the same convocation.](#)

2. If the Chairperson of the Supreme Court is temporarily absent, his/her powers shall be exercised by the First Deputy Chairperson. If the Chairperson and the First Deputy Chairperson of the Supreme Court are absent, the powers of the Chairperson shall be exercised by one of the Deputy Chairpersons by the Chairperson's order.

Organic Law of Georgia No 580 of 1 May 2013 – website, 20.5.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 4389 of 27 October 2015 – website, 11.11.2015

Organic Law of Georgia No 169 of 21 December 2016 – website, 28.12.2016

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Chapter III - Court of Appeals

Article 22 - Court of appeals

A court of appeals shall be created and its jurisdiction shall be defined by decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

Article 23 - Composition of a court of appeals

1. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall define the number of the judges of a court of appeals.

2. The following shall be established within the court of appeals:

- a) the Chamber of Civil Cases;
- b) the Chamber of Administrative Cases;
- c) the Chamber of Criminal Cases;
- d) the Investigation Panel.

2¹. By decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, a narrower specialisation of judges may be conducted at the Court of Appeals.

3. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall define the number of judges in the chambers and Investigation Panel of the court of appeals.

4. If necessary, in order to avoid delay in the administration of justice, the chairperson of the Court of Appeals may assign a judge to participate in a hearing at another chamber or the Investigation Panel of the same court.

5. Each chamber and the Investigation Panel of the Court of Appeals shall have chairpersons. Chairpersons of the chambers and the Investigation Panel of the Court of Appeals shall be appointed for a five-year term from the composition of the respective chamber and the Panel by the High Council of Justice of Georgia. A judge assigned to the position for a three-year term may not be appointed as chairperson of a chamber, or chairperson of the Investigation Panel of the Court of Appeals, except when he/she has at least five years' experience of

working as a judge. A judge assigned to the position for a three-year term may be appointed as chairperson of a chamber, or chairperson of the Investigation Panel of the Court of Appeals within his/her tenure.

6. The chairperson and the deputy chairperson of a court of appeals shall be appointed by a reasoned decision from among judges of the court of appeals for a five-year term by the High Council of Justice of Georgia. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall hold consultations with the judges of the appropriate court prior to the appointment of chairperson of a court of appeals. A judge assigned to the position for a three-year term may not be appointed as chairperson, or deputy chairperson of the Court of Appeals, except when he/she has at least five years' experience of working as a judge. A judge assigned to the position for a three-year term may be appointed as chairperson, or deputy chairperson of the Court of Appeals within his/her tenure.

7. Until the chairperson of the court of appeals, a deputy chairperson of the court of appeals, the chairperson of a chamber or the Investigation Panel is appointed, by decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, his/her powers may be delegated to one of the judges of the same court. The High Council of Justice of Georgia may terminate the chairperson's powers delegated to the judge.

8. Grounds for terminating powers of the chairperson of the Court of Appeals, deputy chairperson of the Court of Appeals, chairperson of a chamber, or chairperson of the Investigation Panel of the Court of Appeals shall be as follows:

a) a personal application;

b) termination of powers of a judge of the Court of Appeals;

c) as a disciplinary measure, discharging of the chairperson of the Court of Appeals, a deputy chairperson of the Court of Appeals, the chairperson of a chamber, or the chairperson of the Investigation Panel of the Court of Appeals;

d) expiry of the tenure of the chairperson of the Court of Appeals, a deputy chairperson of the Court of Appeals, the chairperson of a chamber, or the chairperson of the Investigation Panel of the Court of Appeals.

9. If any of the grounds under paragraph 8 of this article is present (except for the case under subparagraph c) of the same paragraph), the termination of powers of the chairperson of the Court of Appeals, a deputy chairperson of the Court of Appeals, the chairperson of a chamber, or the chairperson of the Investigation Panel of the Court of Appeals shall be formalised by decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

10. In the case under paragraph 8(c) of this article, the chairperson of the Court of Appeals, a deputy chairperson of the Court of Appeals, the chairperson of a chamber, or the chairperson of the Investigation Panel of the Court of Appeals shall be discharged from the post under Chapter XIII¹ of this Law.

Organic Law of Georgia No 1489 of 1 November 2013 – website, 13.11.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 2034 of 7 March 2018 – website, 29.3.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 24 - Powers of the court of appeals

1. The court of appeals, in panels of 3 judges, shall examine petitions for appeal of decisions of district (city) courts) under procedures determined by procedural law. Petitions for appeal on a certain category of cases may be examined by an individual judge under procedures determined by procedural law.
2. The court of appeals shall also exercise powers under the Law of Georgia on Arbitration.
3. A judge of the Investigation Panel of the court of appeals shall solely examine appeals, where so provided for by the legislation of criminal procedure.

Article 25 - Powers of the chairperson of the court of appeals

1. The chairperson of the court of appeals shall:
 - a) personally hear cases;
 - b) supervise the operation of the Court office, make decisions under the procedure determined by the legislation of Georgia on the appointment to the post (recruitment) and discharging from the post (dismissal from office) of a court manager, head of the Bailiffs Office, a court bailiff, assistant to the judge and a secretary of the court session; impose measures of disciplinary liability defined in the Law of Georgia on Public Service upon the court manager and other public servants of the Court Office;
 - c) under the procedure determined by the legislation of Georgia, ensure generalisation of applications, complaints and proposals of the citizens, and submit materials of the generalisation to the High Council of Justice of Georgia;
 - d) exercise the power under Article 23(4) of this Law;
 - e) organise the operation of the court, examine and generalise information on the case-flow management (including the indicators of filing and closing of cases, time limits of the proceedings, reasons for adjourning of the sessions and impeding of the proceedings), and submit, at least annually, this information to judges and the High Council of Justice of Georgia; within the scope of its competence, take measures for eliminating the systemic reasons that impede the proceedings;
 - f) provide for the observance of order in the court, have the right to introduce rules for checking participants and attendees of the process before the beginning of a session and to prohibit the admission of certain items into the court building or courtroom to ensure the safety of the session; also have the right to limit the number of attendees of a session depending on the courtroom space;

g) if order in the court is violated, any contempt of court is expressed or the normal operation of the court is interrupted, have the right to subject the offender to the measures provided by the procedural legislation of Georgia. The procedure for issuing an order on the above matter by the chairperson of the court and appealing such order shall be determined by the procedural legislation of Georgia;

h) exercise other powers provided by the legislation of Georgia.

2. While the chairperson of the court of appeals is temporarily absent, his/her duties shall be discharged by a deputy chairperson of the court of appeals, and if the deputy chairperson of the court of appeals is absent, his/her duties shall be discharged by the chairperson of one of the chambers or the Investigative Panel of the court of appeals on the instruction of the chairperson of the court of appeals.

3. The Chairperson of the Court of Appeals shall at the same time be a member of a chamber/the Investigation Panel of the Court, and may be the Chairperson of a chamber/the Investigation Panel of the Court.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3523 of 21 July 2010 – LHG I, No 46, 4.8.2010, Art. 286

Organic Law of Georgia No 4389 of 27 October 2015 – website, 11.11.2015

Organic Law of Georgia No 169 of 21 December 2016 – website, 28.12.2016

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 1050 of 16 June 2017 – website, 22.6.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 2034 of 7 March 2018 – website, 29.3.2018

Article 26 - Powers of the deputy chairperson of the court of appeals

1. The deputy chairperson of the court of appeals shall:

a) participate in the examination of cases;

b) (Deleted – 8.2.2017, No 255);

c) by assignment from the chairperson of the court of appeals, oversee the operation of the court staff, submit proposals to the chairperson of the court of appeals for imposing disciplinary liability upon court employees for a gross violation of labour discipline or other disciplinary misconduct;

d) by assignment from the chairperson of the court of appeals, implement relevant actions to provide organisational support to the administration of justice;

e) under the procedure determined by the legislation of Georgia, ensure generalisation of applications, complaints and proposals of the citizens, and submit materials of the generalisation to the Chairperson of the Court of Appeals;

f) discharge duties of the chairperson of the court of appeals in the case provided for by this Law;

g) exercise other powers provided by the legislation of Georgia.

2. While the deputy chairperson of the court of appeals is temporarily absent, the duties of the deputy chairperson of the court of appeals shall be discharged by the chairperson of one of the chambers or the Investigation Panel of the court of appeals on the instruction of the chairperson of the court of appeals.

3. The deputy Chairperson of the Court of Appeals shall at the same time be a member of a chamber/the Investigation Panel of the Court, and may be the Chairperson of a chamber/the Investigation Panel of the Court.

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 2034 of 7 March 2018 – website, 29.3.2018

Chapter IV - District (City) Court

Article 27 - Creation of a district (city) court

1. A district (city) court shall be created and its jurisdictional area shall be defined by decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

2. A district court shall be created in a municipality (municipalities).

3. A city court shall be created in a self-governing city. The jurisdictional area of a city court may include a self-governing city as well as a municipality (municipalities).

Article 28 - Number of judges in a district (city) court

1. A district (city) court shall be composed of at least 2 judges.

2. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall define the number of the judges of a district (city) court according to procedures determined by the legislation of Georgia.

3. The composition of a district (city) court may include magistrate judges. A magistrate judge shall be the judge of a district (city) court who practices in an administrative-territorial unit within the jurisdictional area of the district (city) court. The jurisdictional area and the number of magistrate judges shall be determined by decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. The composition of magistrate judges in a district (city) court shall be determined by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

Article 29 - Trial in a district (city) court

1. The district (city) court is the court of first instance that examines cases falling within its jurisdiction according to procedures determined by the procedural legislation of Georgia by an individual judge or, as determined by law, in a panel of 3 judges.
2. An individual magistrate judge shall examine cases unless otherwise provided by law.

Article 30 - Specialisation of judges

1. In a district (city) court composed of 2 judges, 1 judge shall examine criminal cases and the other judge shall examine civil and other categories of cases, except as determined in the procedural legislation of Georgia. Judges shall be specialised based on a decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
2. In a district (city) court of special caseload composed of more than 2 judges, a narrower specialisation of judges may be conducted or specialised judicial panels ('the judicial panels') may be set up by decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
3. The number of judges in the Panels and the composition of the Panels shall be determined by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
4. A judicial panel shall have a chairperson. The Chairperson of a judicial panel shall be appointed from among the panel composition for a five-year term by the High Council of Justice of Georgia. A judge assigned to the position for a three-year term may not be appointed as chairperson of a judicial panel, except when he/she has at least five years' experience of working as a judge. A judge assigned to the position for a three-year term may be appointed as chairperson of the judicial panel within his/her tenure.
5. If necessary, in order to avoid delay in the administration of justice, the chairperson of the court may assign a judge selected under the procedure established by Article 58¹ of this Law, with his/her consent, to hear a case as a member of another specialised staff (judicial panel) of the same court, and to act as a magistrate judge as well, and may assign a magistrate judge to hear a case outside his/her jurisdiction, in a district (city) court.

Organic Law of Georgia No 1489 of 1 November 2013 – website, 13.11.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Article 31 - Jurisdiction of a district (city) court

The jurisdiction of a district (city) court as well as that of a magistrate judge shall be determined by law.

Article 32 - Chairperson of a district (city) court

1. The chairperson of a district (city) court shall be appointed from among the judges of a respective court, and the chairperson of a court in which judicial panels are set up – including from among chairpersons of the judicial panels for a five-year term by the High Council of Justice of Georgia by a reasoned decision. Prior to the appointment of the chairperson of a district (city) court, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall hold consultations with the judges of the appropriate court. A judge assigned to the position for a three-year term may not be appointed as chairperson of a district (city) court, except when he/she has at least five years' experience of working as a judge. A judge assigned to the position for a three-year term may be appointed as chairperson a district (city) court before the expiry of his/her tenure.

2. The chairperson of a district (city) court shall:

a) personally hear cases;

b) manage and supervise the operation of the Court office, make decisions as determined by the legislation of Georgia on the appointment to the post (recruitment) and discharging from the post (dismissal from office) of a court manager, head of the Bailiff's Office, a court bailiff, assistant to the judge and a secretary of the court session; impose measures of disciplinary liability defined in the Law of Georgia on Public Service upon the court manager and other public servants of the Court Office;

c) organise the operation of the court, examine and generalise information on the case-flow management (including the indicators of filing and closing of cases, time limits of the proceedings, reasons for adjourning of the sessions and impeding of the proceedings), and submit, at least annually, this information to judges and the High Council of Justice of Georgia; within the scope of its competence, take measures for eliminating the systemic reasons that impede the proceedings;

d) under the procedure determined by the legislation of Georgia, ensure generalisation of applications, complaints and proposals of the citizens, and submit materials of the generalisation to the High Council of Justice of Georgia;

d¹) exercise the power under Article 30(5) of this Law;

f) provide for the observance of order in the court; be authorised to establish a pre-session checking of parties to the proceeding and persons attending the session, and prohibition of carrying individual items into the court building or the courtroom to ensure safety at the court session; and be authorised, depending on a courtroom space, to limit the number of persons attending the session;

g) be authorised, in case order in the court is violated, any contempt of court is expressed or the normal operation of the court is interrupted, to subject the offender to the measures provided for by the procedural legislation of Georgia. The procedure for issuing a writ on this matter by the chairperson of a district (city) court and appealing the writ shall be determined by the procedural legislation of Georgia;

h) discharge other duties provided for by the legislation of Georgia.

i) (Deleted – 8.2.2017, No 255).

j) (Deleted – 8.2.2017, No 255).

k) (Deleted – 8.2.2017, No 255).

3. Until the chairperson of a district (city) court is appointed, and in a court having Panels – until the chairperson of the Panel is appointed, by decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, the powers of the chairperson of district (city) court (Panel) may be delegated to one of the judges of the same court. The High Council of Justice of Georgia may terminate the chairperson's powers delegated to the judge.

4. The chairperson of a district (city) court, in which judicial panels are set up, shall at the same time be a member of a panel of this court, and may be the chairperson of the panel.

5. Grounds for terminating powers of the chairperson of a district (city) court/chairperson of a judicial panel shall be as follows:

a) a personal application;

b) termination of powers of a judge of the district (city) court;

c) as a disciplinary action, discharging of the chairperson of the district (city) court/chairperson of the judicial panel;

d) expiry of the tenure of the chairperson of the district (city) court/chairperson of the judicial panel.

6. If any of the grounds under paragraph 5 of this article is present (except for the case under subparagraph c) of the same paragraph), the termination of powers of the chairperson of the chairperson of the district (city) court/chairperson of the judicial panel shall be formalised by decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

7. In the case under paragraph 5(c) of this article, the chairperson of the district (city) court/chairperson of the judicial panel shall be discharged under Chapter XIII¹.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3523 of 21 July 2010 – LHG I, No 46, 4.8.2010, Art. 286

Organic Law of Georgia No 1489 of 1 November 2013 – website, 13.11.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 4389 of 27 October 2015 – website, 11.11.2015

Organic Law of Georgia No 169 of 21 December 2016 – website, 28.12.2016

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 1050 of 16 June 2017 – website, 22.6.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 33 - Discharging the duties of the chairperson of a district (city) court

1. In a district (city) court composed of more than 2 judges, while the chairperson of the court is temporarily absent, his/her duties shall be discharged, on the chairperson's assignment, by one of the judges of the court, and in a court having Panels, on the assignment by the chairperson of the court, by the chairperson of one of the Panels. If there is no assignment from the chairperson of the court, the duties of the chairperson shall be discharged by the judge or the chairperson of the Panel who has a longer tenure as a judge.

2. While the chairperson of the Panel is temporarily absent, on assignment by the chairperson of the court, his/her duties shall be discharged by one of the judges of the court or if there is no such assignment from the chairperson of the court – by the judge who has a longer tenure as a judge.

3. In a district (city) court composed of two judges, while the chairperson of the court is temporarily absent, his/her duties shall be discharged by the other judge of the court.

Chapter V - Appointment (Election) and Promotion of Judges

Article 34. Requirements for a judicial candidate

1. Subject to the requirements established by this article, a capable citizen of Georgia over the age of 30 who has a higher legal education with at least a master's degree or an equivalent academic degree/diploma of higher education, at least 5 years of professional work experience, as well as speaks the state language, has passed a judge qualification exam, completed a full course of study at the Higher School of Justice and is included in the qualification list of justice students, may be appointed/elected as a judge.

2. A convicted person, as well as a person dismissed from the position of a judge on the grounds provided for in Article 43)(1)(b) of this Law (with the exception of cases when the norm of Chapter XIII¹ of this Law has become invalid, on the basis of which a person was dismissed from the position of a judge), or on the grounds provided for by subparagraph (h) of the same paragraph of the same article, may be appointed/elected to the position of a judge.

3. In order to hold the position of a judge, the following persons shall be exempted from passing the qualification examination for the position of a judge:

a) a person nominated for a judicial position of the Supreme Court;

b) an active or former member of the Supreme Court;

c) an active or former member of the Constitutional Court of Georgia;

d) a former judge of common courts of Georgia until the expiration of 10 years from the date of termination of the powers of the judiciary.

4. To hold the position of a judge, the following persons shall be exempted from studying at the Higher School of Justice:

a) a person nominated for a judicial position of the Supreme Court;

b) an active or former member of the Supreme Court;

c) an active or former member of the Constitutional Court of Georgia;

d) a former judge who has passed a judge qualification exam, appointed to the position of a judge of the Supreme Court, a district (city) court or an appellate court on a competitive basis and has at least 18 months of experience as a judge;

e) a person who has completed a full course of study at the High School of Justice and is included in the qualification list of justice students, regardless of how long he/she held the position of a judge or whether he/she was appointed to this position after graduating from the High School of Justice.

~~Article 34 – Requirements set to candidates for judge~~

~~1. A competent citizen of Georgia of 30 years of age who has a higher legal education with at least a master's or equal academic degree/higher education diploma, at least five years of working experience in the specialty, has the command of the official language, has passed a judge's qualification exam, has completed a full training course of the High School of Justice and is entered on the Justice Trainee Qualifications List may be appointed (elected) as a judge.~~

~~2. A person with previous conviction, or a person who has been discharged from the position of a judge on the ground provided for in Article 43(1)(b) of this Law (except when the provision of Chapter XIII¹ of this Law on the basis of which the person was discharged from the position of a judge ceased to exist), or on the ground provided for in paragraph 1(h) of the same article may not be appointed/elected to the position of a judge.~~

~~3. A person nominated for election to the office of a Supreme Court judge as well as a former judge who has passed a judge's qualification exam, who has been appointed to the office of a judge in the Supreme Court or a district (city) court and/or a court of appeals by competition and who has at least 18 months of working experience as a judge shall not be required to attend the High School of Justice training to hold the office of a judge. The person who completed a full training course of the High School of Justice and who has been entered on the Justice Trainee Qualifications List shall not be required to attend the High School of Justice training to hold the office of a judge regardless of what period he/she held the office of a judge or whether he/she has been appointed to the office since graduation from the High School of Justice.~~

~~4. A person to be elected to the position of a judge of the Supreme Court shall be released for passing a judicial qualification exam.~~

~~5. A former judge of general courts of Georgia shall be released from the judge's qualification exam until 10 years have passed after the powers of the judge are terminated.~~

~~6. Both current and former members of the Constitutional Court and Supreme Court of Georgia shall be released from taking the judge's qualification exam and studying at the High School of Justice.~~

Organic Law of Georgia No 1489 of 1 November 2013 – website, 13.11.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 2651 of 1 August 2014 – website, 12.8.2014

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 1052 of 16 June 2017 – website, 27.6.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 4526 of 1 May 2019 – website, 2.5.2019

Article 34¹ – Procedure for selecting candidates to be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the position of a judge of the Supreme Court

1. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall, not later than three months before arising of a vacancy of a judge of the Supreme Court or not later than one month after premature termination of powers of a judge of the Supreme Court, commence the procedure, in accordance with the rule established by this Law, for selecting a candidate to be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the position of a judge of the Supreme Court. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall announce the commencement of the selection procedure through the official printing body of Georgia and its own official website, and shall submit appropriate information to the Public Broadcaster and at least two national broadcasters.

2. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall define the form of an application to be submitted by a person for participation in the selection procedure, and the list of the documents enclosed. The application and the documents (including autobiography) submitted by the person must include appropriate information about his/her meeting the judicial qualification requirements, and consent of the person to searching/verifying the information about him/her (including personal details of the person) by the High Council of Justice of Georgia under the procedure established by Article 34² of this Law, and to forwarding it to the Parliament of Georgia/making it public.

3. Applications for a vacancy of a judge of the Supreme Court shall be accepted during three weeks after commencement of the selection procedure.

4. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall, within five days after the expiry of the period for accepting applications, consider the applications and the documents enclosed of persons participating in the selection procedure. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall make the decision to register a person as a candidate if he/she meets the judicial qualification requirements and if he/she, under the procedure established by paragraph 2 of this article, has properly submitted the application and the documents enclosed. Upon the end of the selection procedure, the list of the applicants, their autobiographies and information on their registration as candidates shall be published on the website of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

5. A person participating in the selection procedure may appeal against the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia provided for in paragraph 4 of this article to the Chamber of the Board Expert of the Supreme Court within two working days after the decision is published. The Chamber of the Board Expert of the Supreme Court shall consider the appeal in accordance with the criteria established by paragraph 4 of this article, and make a decision within two working days.

6. Deleted – 01.04.2021, No 447

7. Deleted – 30.09.2020, No 7205

8. Not earlier than 10 working days and not later than 20 working days after the appeal review timeframe indicated in paragraph 5 above expires, the High Council of Justice begins public hearings of individuals registered as candidates. Within 5 working days after expiry of the said appeal review period, individuals registered as candidates must furnish the High Council of Justice with a legally issued notice confirming submission of an official's asset declaration and a drug test certificate. In 2 working days after a candidate submits their drug test certificate, the latter will be published on the webpage of the High Council of Justice. A candidate's registration will be canceled if he/she fails to submit a notice on the submission of their official's asset declaration or a drug test certificate.

9. Not later than five working days before commencement of the hearing of candidates, each member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be forwarded for examination the information on the candidates acquired under the procedure established by this Law.

10. Candidates will appear for their public hearing individually. Members of the High Council of Justice may ask questions of each candidate. Public hearings of candidates must be conducted on the principle of equal treatment of each candidate. Public hearings of candidates will be conducted in accordance with the rules established by the High Council of Justice.

11. After a public hearing of candidates until the next meeting of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, the members of the Council, pursuant to Article 35¹ of this Law, shall evaluate a candidate who does not have judicial experience with points in accordance with paragraph 16 of the same article, and a candidate with judicial experience with points in accordance with paragraph 17 of the same article. Members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, pursuant to Article 35¹ of this Law, shall also evaluate candidates according to the criterion of good faith in accordance with paragraph 15 of the same article. At the same time, each member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, when evaluating a candidate, shall substantiate each point in writing, provided for in paragraphs 16 - 17 of Article 35¹ of this Law, and each element of the criterion of good faith provided for in the same paragraphs 16 - 17 of Article 35¹ of this Law. The above assessments and justifications shall include the name, surname and signature of the relevant member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. If a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia does not assess all candidates in accordance with this paragraph and does not submit these assessments, together with the justifications specified in the same paragraph, to the Office of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, it is considered that this member of the Council does not participate in the procedure provided for by this paragraph. In addition, the results of his/her assessment of all candidates shall be cancelled. If a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia submits an incomplete or unsubstantiated assessment of a candidate to the Office of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, the Office of the Council shall inform this member of the Council about this deficiency. A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia may eliminate this deficiency within 2 working days. If the deficiency is not eliminated within this period, it shall be deemed that this member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia does not participate in the procedure provided for by this paragraph, and the results of the assessment of all candidates carried out by him/her shall be cancelled. The number of points scored by candidates and the grounds for these points, the assessments provided for in paragraph 15 of Article 35¹ of this Law, and the grounds for these points shall be publicly available and published on the website of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, indicating the names of the members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

~~11. When public hearings of candidates are over, during a period before the immediate next meeting of the High Council of Justice, members of the Council will, having regard to Article 36³ of this Law, assess judicial candidates having no previous judicial experience with points pursuant to Article 35¹(16) of this Law, while judicial candidates with prior judicial experience will be assessed with points in accordance with Article 36⁴(8). Members of the High Council of Justice will also assess candidates pursuant to Article 35¹(15). In assessing candidates, each Council member must provide a written reasoning for each score he/she has given to the candidate under Article 35¹(16) and Article 36⁴(8), and for each element under the Integrity Criterion under Article 36³. These assessments and reasonings must indicate relevant Council members' full names and signatures. If a Council member fails to assess all the candidates in accordance with this paragraph and to furnish his/her assessments together with the reasonings hereunder to the High Council of Justice, the Council member will be deemed to not have taken part in the procedure envisaged by this paragraph; this will also entail cancellation of all and any assessments performed by that member. If a member of the High Council of Justice furnishes an incomplete candidate assessment or an assessment with no reasoning included, the Council Apparatus will inform that member of the said defect. The member can then make good the defect in 2 working days. If he/she does not eliminate the defect within the given term, the Council member will be deemed to not have taken part in the procedure envisaged by this paragraph; this will also entail cancellation of all and any assessments performed by that member. Scores collected by candidates and reasons for the scores, assessments envisaged by Article 35¹(15) and reasons supporting the assessments are public and will be published on the High Council of Justice webpage, with the indication of identities of relevant Council members.~~

12. Once candidate assessments and their reasonings are published, the High Council of Justice will issue an ordinance listing candidates who succeeded to proceed to the next stage as well as candidates who did not succeed. Before issuance of such an ordinance, a Secretary of the High Council of Justice submits to Council members assessments and corresponding reasonings for each candidate as provided for in paragraph 11 herein, and a written summary of candidate assessments. As many candidates with the highest summed-up scores under the Competency Criterion as the number of announced vacancies will proceed to the next stage. Moreover, a candidate can only move on to the next stage if their summed-up score under the Competency Criterion equals at least 70 percent of the highest possible score and at least 10 members of the High Council of Justice have considered him/her to be meeting or fully meeting the requirements of the Integrity Criterion. If this is not the case, a subsequent candidate with the highest summed-up score under the Competency Criterion whose summed-up score equals at least 70 percent of the highest possible score and whom at least 10 members of the High Council of Justice have considered him/her to be meeting or fully meeting the requirements of the Integrity Criterion will proceed to the next stage. If the number of candidates meeting the above requirements is less than the number of vacancies announced, only these candidates will be cleared to proceed to the next stage. If, in identifying candidates who have succeeded to move on to the next stage according to the rules set forth in this paragraph, it is discovered that two or more candidates have equal summed-up scores under the Competency Criterion, preference will be given to a candidate whom the High Council of Justice gave a better assessment under the Integrity Criterion; if Integrity Criterion assessments are equal too, then preference will be given to a candidate who has a longer experience working in the profession. A list of candidates who have made it to the next stage and a High Council of Justice ordinance referred to in this paragraph are both public and will be published on the High Council of Justice webpage.

13. In accordance with paragraph 12 of this article, after the publication of the list of candidates who have passed to the next stage and the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, to nominate a candidate for the position of a judge of the Supreme Court to the Parliament of

Georgia, the candidates included in the above list shall be voted at an open meeting of the Council individually, observing following procedure: first, a candidate with the best result among these candidates by the sum of points scored in the course of assessment according to the criterion of competence shall be voted, and then, observing the same principle, the remaining candidates shall be voted respectively. In addition, if any candidate does not receive the support of at least two thirds of the full membership of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, the remaining candidates shall not be voted. If it turns out that the sum of points scored by two or more candidates is equal when assessing them according to the criterion of competence, when determining the order of voting, priority shall be given to the candidate who received the best score according to the criterion of good faith from a large number of members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, and if these ratings are also equal, priority shall be given to the candidate who has a longer work experience in the specialty. Voting under this paragraph is open. When voting, members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be guided by the criteria of good faith and competence determined by Article 35¹ of this Law. A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia participating in voting shall, immediately after voting, submit a written justification for his/her decision recorded during the voting to the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. A candidate shall be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the position of a judge of the Supreme Court, if he/she is supported by at least two thirds of the full membership of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. Candidates shall be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia together, on the nomination of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. This nomination, as well as the voting results provided for in this paragraph, the decisions taken by the members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia in the course of voting, and the reasons for these decisions shall be published on the website of the Council.

~~13. Once a list of candidates who have succeeded to proceed to the next stage and a High Council of Justice ordinance are published in accordance with paragraph 12 above, candidates on the list will be put to a vote, with a view to selecting candidates to be proposed to the Parliament for election to Supreme Court judgeships, at a public hearing of the Council according to the following sequence: in the first place, a candidate having highest summed-up scores under the Competency Criteria among these candidates will be put to the vote; then, using the same principle, the remaining candidates will be put on the ballot one after another. However, if any of the candidates does not receive the support of at least two thirds of all of the High Council of Justice members, the remaining candidates will not be put to the vote any more. If it is discovered that two or more candidates have equal summed-up scores under the Competency Criterion, the sequence of putting them to a vote will be determined by giving preference to a candidate whom the High Council of Justice gave a better assessment under the Integrity Criterion; if Integrity Criterion assessments are equal too, then preference will be given to a candidate who has longer experience working in the profession. The ballot described in this paragraph must be public. During the voting procedure, members of the High Council of Justice must guide themselves with the criteria described in Article 35¹(1), (3)–(14) and Article 36³ of this Law. A member of the High Council of Justice who participated in the ballot must provide reasons for their votes in writing immediately after the voting is completed to a Secretary of the High Council of Justice. A candidate will be proposed to the Parliament for election to a Supreme Court judgeship if he/she receives the support of at least two thirds of all of the High Council of Justice members. Candidates will be proposed to the Parliament at once, in the form of a recommendation authored by the High Council of Justice. This nomination as well as results of the voting referred to herein, individual voting decisions of High Council of Justice members and corresponding reasonings will be published on the Council's webpage.~~

14. After each balloting procedure referred to in this paragraph, a member of the High Council of Justice may furnish his/her dissenting opinion to the High Council of Justice, which will be

published on the Council's website and which the Council will forward to the Parliament at the time of proposing candidates for Supreme Court judgeship to the Parliament. The High Council of Justice will furnish the Parliament with information published on the Council's webpage in accordance with paragraphs 11 to 13 and 15 of this Article and the relevant documentation.

15. If the Parliament is unable to elect the candidate/candidates to Supreme Court judgeship(s), as many candidates as there are vacancies will be selected according to the rules prescribed by paragraph 12 of this Article from the candidates on the list of individuals registered as candidates in a two weeks' time. The candidate(s) thus selected will then be proposed to the Parliament pursuant to paragraph 13 of this Article for election to the judgeship(s) of the Supreme Court. Relevant information and documents will be published on the High Council of Justice webpage in accordance with the above-referenced paragraphs. The procedure described in this paragraph is a one-off procedure.

16. If a candidate for judge of the Supreme Court is a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, he/she shall not enjoy the right to assess candidates and to vote at any stage of the process. He/she may not ask questions to the candidates either during hearing of the candidates by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

17. If the vacancy of a judge of the Supreme Court is not filled under the procedure established by this article, the selection procedure shall be commenced from the beginning, within one month.

18. A candidate, who has failed to receive the number of votes of the Members of the Parliament of Georgia required, may be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the position of a judge of the Supreme Court only twice within the powers of one and the same convocation of Parliament.

Organic Law of Georgia No 4526 of 1 May 2019 – website, 2.5.2019

Organic Law of Georgia No 7205 as of 30 September 2020 – website, 05.10.2020

Organic Law of Georgia No 447 as of 1 April 2021 – website, 01.04.2021

Article 34² – Collecting information on candidates to be proposed to the Parliament for election to Supreme Court judgeships

1. For the purpose of ensuring objective and thorough assessment of candidates for election to Supreme Court judgeships, the relevant structural unit of the High Council of Justice referred to in Article 36⁴(5) will, immediately after candidate registration is completed, commence collecting credible information on the candidates in accordance with the rules established by this Law.

2. When searching information on candidates to be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the position of a judge of the Supreme Court, an authorised structural unit of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall thoroughly examine their professional reputation and activities, verify the accuracy of information submitted by the candidates, and information with regard to criminal prosecution/disciplinary prosecution and/or administrative proceedings against them in the past.

3. Information on candidates to be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the position of a judge of the Supreme Court acquired under this article shall be used for assessing the candidates by members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia may, when voting, consider the information acquired on a candidate to be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the position of a judge of the Supreme Court.

4. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall send to the Parliament of Georgia the recommendation for the nomination of a candidate for judge of the Supreme Court for election. Along with the recommendation, an application under Article 34¹(3) of this Law and the enclosed documents, as well as the data acquired on the basis of the information acquired by the High Council of Justice of Georgia on the aforementioned candidate shall be sent to the Parliament of Georgia. The data acquired as a result of the information search, which are related to the human health, are confidential and they may not be disclosed in any form whatsoever. The aforementioned recommendation must include information regarding a candidate selection procedure and general information on a candidate.

5. An appropriate structural unit of the High Council of Justice of Georgia may, when searching information, under this article, on candidates to be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the position of a judge of the Supreme Court, contact the recommenders, previous employers and colleagues, the administration and academic staff of respective education institutions of the candidates, and the agencies where information on previous criminal conviction of the candidates, on their participation in administrative and disciplinary disputes and on their commission of violations may be retained. To acquire the information, the appropriate structural unit of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall present to an appropriate person a written consent of the candidate to searching/verification of his/her personal information.

6. An authorised structural unit of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall use a standard form of recommendation and a special questionnaire for searching information on candidates to be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the position of a judge of the Supreme Court. An authorised structural unit of the High Council of Justice of Georgia may, as an exception, ask additional questions to an information provider and/or verbally communicate with an information provider to obtain information, which must be drawn up in a written form and approved by the signature of the information provider.

7. Any action and/or communication carried out in order to search information on candidates to be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the position of a judge of the Supreme Court must be evidenced in a unified summary protocol.

8. Information on candidates to be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the position of a judge of the Supreme Court acquired in violation of the procedure established by this article shall not be considered when making a respective decision.

9. Upon submitting to members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia the results of searching information on candidates to be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the position of a judge of the Supreme Court, the Council shall notify the candidates about completion of the information search and ensure accessibility of the information available at the Council to each candidate. After the information search results become accessible to a candidate, he/she may, within two working days, apply to the High Council of Justice of Georgia in writing, submit additional information and/or appropriately annul the data acquired about him/her. A candidate may also, at any time after the voting is completed, familiarise himself/herself with the information. The source of the information shall be confidential. A

candidate shall familiarise himself/herself with the information at a place designated by the High Council of Justice of Georgia for this purpose.

10. Information acquired on candidates to be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the position of a judge of the Supreme Court shall be sealed and retained at a secure place designated by the High Council of Justice of Georgia for this purpose for at least one year.

Organic Law of Georgia No 4526 of 1 May 2019 – website, 2.5.2019

Article 34³. Challenging a High Council of Justice ordinance or a recommendation issued as part of candidate selection for proposition to the Parliament for election to Supreme Court judgeships

1. A candidate taking part in the selection of candidates to be proposed to the Parliament for election to Supreme Court judgeships has the right to challenge a High Council of Justice ordinance enacted under Article 34¹(12) or a High Council of Justice recommendation issued under Article 34¹(12), (15) of this Law in the Qualification Chamber of the Supreme Court if he/she has taken part in the relevant stage of candidate selection referred to in the said paragraphs and believes that

- a) a High Council of Justice member was biased during the candidate selection process;
- b) a High Council of Justice member had a discriminatory approach during the candidate selection process;
- c) a High Council of Justice member exceeded their legal powers which resulted in breached rights of the candidate or jeopardized independence of the judiciary;
- d) information on which the ordinance/recommendation is based is essentially false and the candidate has adduced appropriate evidence to that effect;
- e) the candidate selection process was carried out in breach of legally established procedures capable of having a substantial impact on the final outcome.

2. A complaint can be submitted in a week's time after a High Council of Justice ordinance enacted under Article 34¹(12) or a High Council of Justice recommendation issued under Article 34¹(12), (15) of this Law is published on the Council's webpage. The High Council of Justice will forward the complaint to the Supreme Court's Qualification Chamber immediately. Lodging a complaint with the High Council of Justice shall suspend a procedure under Article 34¹ at the respective stage until the Supreme Court's Qualification Chamber hands down its decision on the complaint.

3. No State Fee is payable regarding the complaint.

4. A complaint must indicate:

- a) Name of the Supreme Court Qualification Chamber;
- b) Name and address of a complainant, and name and address of an opposing party;

c) Exact title of a challenged ordinance/recommendation and name of the body enacting the ordinance/recommendation;

d) Reasons for challenging an ordinance/recommendation referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article, and facts and evidence supporting the claim;

e) List of written materials appended to the complaint;

f) Signature of a person submitting the complaint.

5. All evidence referred to in a complaint must be attached to the complaint. If a complaint is lodged by a complainant's representative, it must also be accompanied with a power of attorney proving the representative's power to submit the complaint on behalf of the complainant.

6. The Supreme Court's Qualification Chamber inspects whether a complaint has been submitted by an eligible candidate and whether the complaint meets the requirements under paragraphs 4 and 5 hereof. If a complaint has been submitted by an eligible candidate and it meets the requirements envisaged by paragraphs 4 and 5 of this Article, the Supreme Court's Qualification Chamber will accept it for examination. If a complaint has been submitted by an eligible candidate but it fails to meet the requirements under paragraphs 4 and 5 of this Article, the Supreme Court's Qualification Chamber directs the author of the complaint to make good the defect allowing them a reasonable time for doing so which must not exceed 2 days. If the defect is not eliminated within the prescribed term or the complaint has not been submitted by an eligible candidate or the legally prescribed timeframe for complaint submission has not been observed, the complaint will remain unexamined. The Supreme Court Qualification Chamber decides on the admissibility of a complaint without an oral hearing.

7. After a complaint is accepted for examination, the complaint together with copies of its accompanying materials will be forwarded to the opposing party. The Supreme Court Qualification Chamber may merge two or more complaints into a single proceeding and hear them as one case.

8. The Supreme Court Qualification Chamber will examine a complaint within two weeks after the High Council of Justice has forwarded it to the Court. In its decision accepting a complaint for examination, the Supreme Court Qualification Chamber will set a date for oral hearing and inform parties thereof in a day after the decision is made. The Supreme Court Qualification Chamber ensures that parties/participants are invited to a hearing before the Qualification Chamber.

9. The High Council of Justice appoints a representative to participate in the examination of a complaint by the Supreme Court's Qualification Chamber on behalf of the Council.

10. Examination of a complaint will result in rendering one of the following judgments by the Supreme Court's Qualification Chamber:

a) a judgment upholding the challenged High Council of Justice ordinance or recommendation;

b) a judgment reversing the challenged High Council of Justice ordinance or recommendation and remanding the case for retrial.

11. If the Supreme Court Qualification Chamber finds that there existed a reason for challenging a High Council of Justice ordinance or recommendation envisaged by paragraph 1

of this Article, this finding can become a basis for cancelling the ordinance or recommendation only if the Qualification Chamber deems that the respective breach was such as to have affected the final outcome resulting in the rendition of a substantially wrong ordinance or recommendation by the Council.

12. Should the Supreme Court Qualification Chamber decide to reverse a High Council of Justice ordinance or recommendation and to remand the case for retrial:

a) the relevant assessment concerning the respective candidate will be reviewed pursuant to the rules of Article 34¹(11) and the High Council of Justice will adopt a new ordinance under paragraph 12 of the same Article, if the Supreme Court Qualification Chamber has reversed a High Council of Justice ordinance envisaged by Article 34¹(12) of this Law. However, the assessment review may not end up with giving the respective candidate a poorer assessment.

b) a High Council of Justice recommendation referred to in Article 34¹(13), (15) will be reviewed pursuant to the rules provided for in the same Article and the High Council of Justice will adopt a new recommendation under the same Article, if the Supreme Court Qualification Chamber has reversed a High Council of Justice recommendation envisaged by Article 34¹(13), (15) of this Law. The Supreme Court Qualification Chamber's judgment reversing a High Council of Justice recommendation referred to in Article 34¹(13), (15) and remanding the case for retrial shall not affect the High Council of Justice decision proposing a candidate to the Parliament for election to a Supreme Court judgeship. In this case, the said proposed candidate will not be put to a vote any more but rather remaining candidates in the ongoing selection procedure will be put to the ballot anew in accordance with Article 34¹(13), (15) of this Law.

13. A recast ordinance or recommendation by the High Council of Justice can be appealed pursuant to the rules of this Article in a three working days' time after its publication on the Council webpage. The Supreme Court Qualification Chamber examines a complaint within one week after the High Council of Justice forwards the complaint to the Court, under the rules of same this Article. If so, a Council ordinance or recommendation adopted as a result of the Supreme Court Qualification Chamber's judgment to reverse a Council ordinance or recommendation and remand the case for retrial cannot be appealed any further.

13¹. If, as a result of considering a complaint under this article, the Qualifications Chamber of the Supreme Court establishes that a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia has shown bias in the selection of candidates, his/her approach was discriminatory and/or he/she exceeded the powers granted to him/her by the legislation of Georgia, as a result of which the rights of the candidate were violated or the independence of the court was threatened, this member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall no longer participate in the process of adopting a second decree/second nomination under the same article by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

14. Deleted – 01.04.2021, No 447)

Organic Law of Georgia No 7205 as of 30 September 2020 – website, 05.10.2020

Organic Law of Georgia No 447 as of 1 April 2021 – website, 01.04.2021

Article 35. Procedure for filling vacant positions of judges in a district (city) court and court of appeals

1. Not later than 3 months before the opening of a vacancy for a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals and not later than 1 month after its opening, the Supreme Council of Justice of Georgia through the official press of Georgia and its official website shall announce a competition and conduct an appropriate procedure for the appointment of a judge for the vacant judicial position of the above-mentioned court. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall provide relevant information about this to the public broadcaster and at least 2 national broadcasters.

2. The High Council of Justice of Georgia, in the manner prescribed by the legislation of Georgia, shall appoint a judge to a vacant judicial position of a district (city) court or court of appeals by a decision adopted by at least two-thirds of the full membership. When appointing a judge on a competitive basis, the Council shall be guided by the procedure established by the legislation of Georgia for the selection of a candidate to be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for the election to the judicial position of the Supreme Court; When evaluating a candidate for the position of a judge (with the exception of an active or former member of the Constitutional Court or the Supreme Court of Georgia), on the basis of the criteria of good faith and competence in accordance with Article 35¹ of this Law, it shall be mandatory to evaluate 5 cases adjudicated by him/her, the summary/final decisions of which have entered into legal force (including evaluation of at least 2 cases (if any), summary / final decisions of which are cancelled / partially cancelled by a higher court). Cases for evaluation shall be selected randomly. The purpose of studying a case/decision is to assess the level of knowledge of the judicial candidate of substantive and procedural legislation, human rights law (including the case law of the European Court of Human Rights), the correctness of the application of the relevant legal norms in his/her decisions, justification and persuasiveness of these decisions, as well as analytical thinking ability of the judge, ability to express opinions clearly and understandably, logical reasoning and analysis. When studying a case/decision, the nature and severity of the legal error made in the decision that is reversed/partially reversed by the higher court shall be assessed as well.

3. If it is not possible to appoint a candidate to an appropriate vacant position of a judge, after the end of voting, at least 3 members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia may, with the consent of the candidate, apply to the council so that the candidate can run again for any of the remaining vacancies within the framework of the ongoing competition. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall make a decision on repeat voting by a majority of those present at its meeting. Repeat voting shall be carried out in accordance with the general rules.

Article 35¹. Evaluation criteria, their characteristics and the evaluation system of a judicial candidate and a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term

1. A judicial candidate and a judge appointed to the position of a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term shall be evaluated according to two key criteria - the criteria of good faith and competence.

2. Characteristics of the criterion of good faith shall be:

a) personal integrity and professional conscience;

b) independence, impartiality and justice;

c) personal and professional behaviour;

d) personal and professional reputation;

e) financial liability - only in the case of evaluation of a judicial candidate who has judicial experience, or a judge appointed to the position of a judge of a district (city) or court of appeals for a 3-year term.

3. Characteristics of the competence criterion shall be:

a) knowledge of legal norms;

b) legal reasoning ability and competence;

c) oral and written communication skills - only in case of evaluation of a judicial candidate without judicial experience;

d) the ability to write - only in the case of evaluation of a judicial candidate with judicial experience, or a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term;

e) oral communication skills - only in the case of evaluation of a judicial candidate with judicial experience, or a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term;

f) professional qualities - only in case of evaluation of a judicial candidate without judicial experience;

g) professional qualities, including behaviour in the courtroom - only in the case of evaluation of a judicial candidate with judicial experience, or a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term;

h) academic achievement and professional training;

i) professional activity.

4. When evaluating a person with the characteristics of personal integrity and professional conscience, his/her honesty, integrity, appropriate awareness of duties and responsibilities, transparency, correctness and accuracy as a judge (in the case of evaluation of a judicial candidate with judicial experience, or a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term) and citizen in the performance of official or other duties, financial or other liabilities (for example, when filling out a declaration of property status, paying a bank or other debt, utility or other tax, a fine for violation of traffic rules), etc., as well as love of truth in the case of evaluating a judicial candidate with judicial experience, or a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term shall be taken into account.

5. When evaluating a person with the characteristics of independence, impartiality and justice, his/her integrity, ability to make decisions independently and resistance to influence, personal strength, irresistibility and so forth, also in the case of evaluation of a judicial candidate with judicial experience, or a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term - impartiality on political or other grounds and justice shall be taken into account.

6. When evaluating a person with the characteristics of personal and professional behaviour, his/her correctness in relations with colleagues and other persons, self-control, the ability to

manage his/her emotions, litigation in which he/she participated as a party, the presence of criminal charges against him/her and so forth, also in the case of evaluation of a judicial candidate with judicial experience, or a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term - his/her compliance with judicial ethics, behaviour and image corresponding to the high rank of a judge, behaviour during disciplinary proceedings against him/her shall be taken into account.

7. When evaluating a person with the characteristics of personal and professional reputation, his/her business and moral reputation and authority in legal circles and society, the nature and quality of relations with legal circles, etc. shall be taken into account.

8. When evaluating a judicial candidate with judicial experience, or a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term with the characteristic of financial liability, information about his/her source of income, assets, property owned and/or used, and the debt and liabilities corresponding to this property and income shall be taken into account. The purpose of checking a financial liability is to assess whether there are grounds for a conflict of property interests of this person with the interests of justice, which may jeopardize his/her impartiality.

9. When evaluating a person with the characteristic of knowledge of legal norms, the level of his/her knowledge of substantive and procedural legislation, human rights law (including the case law of the European Court of Human Rights) shall be taken into account. In order to evaluate a judicial candidate without judicial experience on this basis, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be entitled to request the results of the judge qualification exam passed by this person and the assessment of the independent council of the High School of Justice. When evaluating a judicial candidate with judicial experience, or a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term with this characteristic, an evaluator shall take into account the correct application of legal norms, including the case law of the European Court of Human Rights, in decisions taken by this person on the cases. In order to evaluate a judicial candidate with judicial experience, or a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term with this characteristic, an evaluator shall also request the results of the judge qualification exam passed by this person for a judicial candidate and evaluation by the independent council of the High School of Justice.

10. When evaluating a person with the characteristic of legal reasoning ability and competence, his/her ability for analytical thinking and professional experience shall be taken into account, and when evaluating a judicial candidate with judicial experience, or a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term - as well as the validity of the decisions made on the cases considered by him/her and the persuasiveness.

11. When evaluating a person with the skill of writing, the ability to clearly and understandably express a written opinion, logical reasoning and analysis, and when evaluating a person with an oral speech skill - the ability to speak correctly, the ability to patiently listen to someone else's opinion, openness, the ability to listen to dissenting opinions and so forth shall be taken into account.

12. When evaluating a judicial candidate without judicial experience with the characteristics of professional qualities, his/her punctuality, diligence, ability to think independently, ability to work in a stressful situation, purposefulness, managerial abilities and others shall be taken into account. When evaluating a judicial candidate with judicial experience, or a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term with the professional qualities, including behaviour in the courtroom, his/her punctuality, an organized and responsible preparation of the case, behaviour in the courtroom and the ability to properly

conduct a court session, behaviour in dealing with parties, carefulness and diligence, ability to make decisions without outside help and independent thinking, ability to work in a stressful situation, purposefulness, productivity and speed, compliance with procedural deadlines, managerial qualities and others shall be taken into account.

13. When evaluating a person with the characteristics of academic achievements and professional training, his/her openness to innovations, the ability to self-development, office culture, interest in obtaining new knowledge and skills, participation in professional training programmes, application of acquired knowledge and skills in practical activities and others shall be taken into account.

14. When evaluating a person with a characteristic of professional activity, his/her ability to take the initiative, put forward ideas and proposals, his/her scientific and other publications, his/her merits to the bar and society and others, and when evaluating a judicial candidate with judicial experience, or a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term - also his/her participation in various formats of discussions, meetings and seminars on issues of the legal system and justice, open and free expression of his/her position and views shall be taken into account.

15. When evaluating a judicial candidate, or a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term according to the criterion of good faith, the relevant characteristics of the criterion of good faith established by this article shall be taken into account. As a result of the analysis and comparison of these characteristics, an evaluator shall make one of the following conclusions:

a) a judicial candidate/a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term does not meet the criterion of good faith;

b) a judicial candidate/a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term meets the criterion of good faith;

c) a judicial candidate/a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals appointed for a 3-year term fully complies with the criterion of good faith.

16. A judicial candidate without judicial experience shall be evaluated by the points of the criterion of competence, based on the appropriate characteristics of the criterion of competence as defined by subparagraphs (a-c), (f), (h) and (j) and paragraphs 9-14 of the third paragraph of this article. Depending on the significance of the specified characteristics of the criterion of competence, the maximum number of points that must be obtained for each of these characteristics shall differ from each other and shall be determined as follows:

a) knowledge of legal norms - 25 points;

b) legal reasoning ability and competence - 25 points;

c) written and oral communication skills - 20 points;

d) professional qualities - 15 points;

e) academic achievements and professional training - 10 points;

f) professional activity - 5 points.

17. A judicial candidate with judicial experience shall be evaluated by the points of the criterion of competence, based on the appropriate characteristics of the criterion of competence as defined by subparagraphs (a), (b), (d), (e) and (g-i) and paragraphs 9-14 of the third paragraph of this article. Depending on the significance of the specified characteristics of the criterion of competence, the maximum number of points that must be obtained for each of these characteristics shall differ from each other and shall be determined as follows:

a) knowledge of legal norms - 20 points;

b) legal reasoning ability and competence - 20 points;

c) ability to write - 20 points;

d) oral communication skills - 15 points;

e) professional qualities, including behaviour in the courtroom - 15 points;

f) academic achievements and professional training - 5 points;

g) professional activity - 5 points.

-

Article 35 – Procedure for holding the position of a judge

~~1. If there is a vacancy in the position of a judge at a district (city) court and a court of appeals, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall announce a competition through the official gazette of Georgia.~~

~~2. A person who meets the conditions under Article 34(1-3) of this Law shall be eligible for participation in the competition. Such a person shall be considered a candidate for judge after he/she submits an appropriate application to the High Council of Justice of Georgia.~~

~~3. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall determine the period of applying for registration of candidates for judge. This period shall not be less than 15 calendar days. The competition shall be conducted after the period of registration of candidates for judge expires.~~

~~4. An application of a person submitted for participation in the competition must include his/her consent for searching/verification of the information on this person (including his/her personal data) by the High Council of Justice of Georgia under the procedure established by Article 35² of this Law.~~

~~5. For participation in the competition, a person shall be entitled to submit an application for one or several vacancies for a judge of his/her choice, and to give a respective priority to each vacancy. Giving the priority to a vacancy of a judge by a candidate for judge shall not oblige the High Council of Justice of Georgia to put to vote the candidate for judge for assigning him/her to this position in the first place.~~

~~6. A candidate for judge shall, within a period of seven days after submitting the application, submit to the High Council of Justice of Georgia a certificate of filing the Property Status Declaration for Officials issued under the legislation of Georgia with the Civil Service Bureau.~~

~~7. The competition must be conducted in full compliance with the principles of objectivity and equality. During the competition, equality of candidates for judge must be guaranteed regardless of race, gender, religion, political and other opinions, their status within the society, national, ethnic and social affiliation and other circumstances.~~

~~8. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall review the applications of candidates for judge participating in the competition, and the attached documents within five working days. Immediately after the review process is over, brief background information of those candidates for judge whose documents comply with the requirements established under the legislation of Georgia shall be published on the website of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.~~

~~9. Candidates for judge shall be evaluated according to the criteria determined in Article 35¹ of this Law, based on interviews conducted with them, and information acquired under Article 35² of this Law. Current and former judges, who have at least 3 years' experience of judicial activity, shall be evaluated on the basis of Article 36¹(1) and (2) and Articles 36² and 36³ of this Law, the examination of cases provided for in Article 36⁴ of this Law, the points based assessment system and the forms filled out by members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia independently following the interview. The evaluation system determined for a judge assigned to the post for a 3-year term shall not apply to a current or former judge of the Constitutional Court or the Supreme Court of Georgia. These judges shall be assigned to the post for an unlimited term by decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, based on the criteria and under the procedure determined for the assignment of a judge.~~

~~10. Within a period of five working days after the interview is finished, each member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall complete the evaluation sheet of each candidate for judge, in which results of the evaluation of a candidate for judge according to the criteria determined in Article 35¹ of this Law will be entered. The form of the evaluation sheet of a candidate for judge shall be approved by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.~~

~~11. The information entered in the evaluation sheets of candidates for judge shall be summarised by a respective organisational unit of the High Council of Justice of Georgia within a period of three days, then subsequently it shall submit the evaluation results to the High Council of Justice of Georgia. Within a period of two days after the evaluation results are submitted, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall put to vote the assigning of a candidate for judge to a vacancy of a judge.~~

~~12. Only a candidate for judge to be assigned to a vacancy of a judge shall be voted for, when evaluating of whom according to a good faith criterion, more than a half of the full composition of the High Council of Justice of Georgia considers that the candidate for judge complies or fully complies with the good faith criterion, and when evaluating according to the competence criterion, the sum of points obtained by a candidate for judge according to the characteristics of this criterion is at least 70 % of the maximum number of points.~~

~~13. When making a decision on appointing a person included on the Justice Students Qualifications List to the position of a judge, his/her serial number on the Justice Students Qualifications List and the evaluation by the Independent Board of the High School of Justice shall be taken into consideration.~~

~~13¹. If a candidate could not be assigned to the vacant position / positions specified in paragraph 5 of this Article, after the end of the voting at least 3 members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia have the right to apply to the Council (with the consent of the candidate), so that this candidate can be re-elected for any vacant position within the current competition. The~~

~~High Council of Justice of Georgia decides on a repeat vote by a majority of the members present. A repeat vote shall be conducted according to a general procedure.~~

~~14. The decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia to assign a candidate for judge to the position of a judge, or to refuse to assign him/her to the position of a judge shall be forwarded to the candidate for judge no later than the fifth working day after the decision is made.~~

~~15. If not all vacancies of a judge were occupied on the basis of competition, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall, within a period of three months after the competition results are announced, announce another competition under the procedure established by this article.~~

~~16. Conditions for conducting the competition, as well as a standard form of recommendation to be used when acquiring information on a candidate for judge, and a special questionnaire shall be approved by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.~~

~~17. When a candidate for judge is assigned to the position of a judge, the evaluation sheet of a candidate for judge under paragraphs 10 and 11 of this article, and the summary results of the information contained therein shall be public information. Any person shall have the right to ask for, and receive its copies as determined by law.~~

~~Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017—website, 13.2.2017~~

~~Organic Law of Georgia No 1052 of 16 June 2017—website, 27.6.2017~~

~~-~~

~~Article 35¹—Criteria for selecting a candidate for judge, and their characteristics~~

~~1. A candidate for judge shall be selected on the basis of two basic criteria—good faith and competence.~~

~~2. Good faith and competence of a candidate for judge without judicial experience shall be evaluated according to paragraphs 3–16 of this article, and Good faith and competence of a candidate for judge with judicial experience—according to Article 36³ and Article 36⁴(7–8) of this Law. Further, when evaluating a candidate for judge with judicial experience (except for a current or former member of the Constitutional Court or Supreme Court of Georgia), five cases considered by him/her with summary/final judgments delivered on which have become legally effective (including at least two cases (if any) with summary/final judgments delivered on which have been revoked/partially revoked by a superior court) must be evaluated. Cases to be evaluated shall be picked by adhering to the principle of random selection. The purpose of examining a case/judgement shall be to evaluate the level of knowledge of a candidate for judge in substantive and procedural laws, the human rights law (including the European Court of Human Rights' case law), correct application of appropriate legal norms in the judgement delivered by him/her, reasonableness and certainty of the judgement, the ability of a judge to think analytically, the ability to express an opinion clearly and explicitly, to judge and analyse logically. When examining a case/judgement, the character and graveness of a legal error made in the judgement revoked/partially revoked by a superior court shall also be evaluated.~~

~~3. The characteristics of a good faith criterion shall be as follows:~~

~~a) personal good faith, and professional conscience;~~

b) independence, impartiality and fairness;

e) personal and professional behaviour;

d) personal and professional reputation.

4. The characteristics of a competence criterion shall be as follows:

a) knowledge of legal norms;

b) ability of legal substantiation and competence;

e) writing and verbal communication skills;

d) professional qualities;

e) academic achievements and professional training;

f) professional activity.

5. When evaluating a candidate for judge by the characteristic of personal good faith and professional conscience, consideration shall be given to his/her, as a citizen's honesty, good faith, consciousness corresponding to duties and responsibility, transparency, accuracy and precision when performing official or other duties, financial or other obligations (for example, when completing the property status declaration, paying a bank or other debts, paying utility or other fees, paying a fine for violating traffic regulations), etc.

6. When evaluating a candidate for judge by the characteristic of independence, impartiality and fairness, consideration shall be given to his/her adherence to principles, independent decision making skills and resistance to influence, firmness, inviolability, etc.

7. When evaluating a candidate for judge by the characteristic of personal and professional behaviour, consideration shall be given to his/her ethics in interacting with colleagues and other people, self-possession, ability to manage own emotions, disputes in a court to which he/she has been a party, whether there is a criminal charge against him/her, etc.

8. When evaluating a candidate for judge by the characteristic of personal and professional reputation, consideration shall be given to his/her business and moral reputation and authority among legal professionals and community, the character of interacting with legal professionals, etc.

9. When evaluating a candidate for judge by the characteristic of knowledge of legal norms, consideration shall be given to his/her level of knowledge in substantive and procedural laws, the human rights law (including the European Court of Human Rights' case law). In order to evaluate a candidate for judge by this characteristic, the High Council of Justice of Georgia may make a request for the results of a judicial qualification examination passed by the candidate for judge, and the evaluation by the Independent Board of the High School of Justice.

10. When evaluating a candidate for judge by the characteristic of ability of legal substantiation and competence, consideration shall be given to his/her ability to think analytically and professional experience.

~~11. When evaluating a candidate for judge by the characteristic of writing and verbal communication skills, consideration shall be given to his/her ability to convey an opinion in writing clearly and explicitly, to judge and analyse logically, to speak coherently, openness, ability to listen to a dissenting opinion, etc.~~

~~12. When evaluating a candidate for judge by the characteristic of professional qualities, consideration shall be given to his/her punctuality, diligence, ability to think independently, ability to work in a stressful situation, purposefulness, managerial skills, etc.~~

~~13. When evaluating a candidate for judge by the characteristic of academic achievements and professional training, consideration shall be given to his/her openness to novelties, self-development skills, office work culture, interest in obtaining new knowledge and skills, participation in professional training programmes, application of the knowledge and skills obtained in practical activities, etc.~~

~~14. When evaluating a candidate for judge by the characteristic of professional activity, consideration shall be given to his/her ability to take initiative, suggesting ideas and making proposals, his/her scientific and other publications, merits to the legal profession and community, etc.~~

~~15. When evaluating a candidate for judge by the good faith criterion, consideration shall be given to the characteristics of the good faith criterion under this article. By analysing and collating these characteristics, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall make one of the following decisions:~~

~~a) the candidate for judge fails to meet the criterion of good faith;~~

~~b) the candidate for judge meets the criterion of good faith;~~

~~c) the candidate for judge fully meets the criterion of good faith.~~

~~16. Evaluation of a candidate for judge by the criterion of competence shall be performed with the use of points, according to the characteristics of the competence criterion under this article. Based on the importance of the characteristics of the competence criterion, the maximum points to be earned for each characteristic shall be:~~

~~a) knowledge of legal norms—25 points;~~

~~b) ability of legal substantiation and competence—25 points;~~

~~c) writing and verbal communication skills—20 points;~~

~~d) professional qualities—15 points;~~

~~e) academic achievements and professional training—10 points;~~

~~f) professional activity—5 points.~~

~~17. A candidate for judge without judicial experience evaluated under paragraphs 15 and 16 of this article, and a candidate for judge with judicial experience evaluated under Article 36⁴(7-8) of this Law shall only be put to vote for assigning them to a vacancy of a judge if they meet the requirements determined by Article 35(12) of this Law.~~

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Article 35²—Acquiring information about candidates for judge

~~1. After compliance of the applications of candidates for judge and the attached documents with the requirements under the legislation of Georgia is determined, a respective structural unit of the High Council of Justice of Georgia provided for in Article 36⁴(5) of this Law shall, before conducting an interview, in order to evaluate the candidates for judge objectively and comprehensively, begin acquiring trustworthy information about them under the procedure established by this article.~~

~~2. When acquiring information about the candidates for judge, the respective structural unit of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall thoroughly examine their professional reputation and activity, verify the accuracy of information they have submitted, as well as the information on any previous criminal/disciplinary prosecution, and/or administrative proceedings against the candidates for judge.~~

~~3. The information about the candidates for judge acquired under this article shall be used solely for evaluating the candidates for judge by the members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. When evaluating the candidate for judge, a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall have the right to consider the information acquired about the candidate for judge.~~

~~4. The data of a candidate for judge obtained as a result of acquiring the information shall be confidential and may not be disclosed in any form.~~

~~5. When acquiring information about a candidate for judge, a respective structural unit of the High Council of Justice of Georgia may contact the endorsers of the candidate for judge, his/her previous employers and colleagues, the administration of an appropriate educational institution and teachers, as well as the agencies that may retain the information about any previous convictions of the candidate for judge, his/her administrative and disciplinary disputes and committing any violations. To obtain the information, an authorised structural unit of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall submit to an appropriate person a written consent of the candidate for judge to acquiring/verification of his/her personal data.~~

~~6. To acquire information about a candidate for judge, an authorised structural unit of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall use a standard form of recommendation, and a special questionnaire. An authorised structural unit of the High Council of Justice of Georgia may, as an exception, apply to the information provider with additional questions, and/or use verbal communication with the information provider for obtaining information, which must be formalised in writing and approved by the information provider with a signature.~~

~~7. Any action and/or communication performed to acquire information about a candidate for judge shall be reflected in a general summary protocol.~~

~~8. Information about a candidate for judge acquired in violation of the procedure established by this article shall not be taken into account when making a respective decision.~~

~~9. An appropriate structural unit of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall, not later than one month from beginning to acquire information, submit the results of acquiring the information to the members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.~~

~~10. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall, not less than five working days earlier before conducting an interview with a candidate for judge, provide access for the candidate for judge to the information available at the High Council of Justice of Georgia about him/her. A candidate for judge shall also be entitled to familiarise himself/herself with this information at any time after the interview. The source of information shall be confidential. The candidate for judge shall familiarise himself/herself with this information at a place designated by the High Council of Justice of Georgia for this purpose.~~

~~11. A candidate for judge shall have the right, after he/she has familiarised himself/herself with the information acquired under the procedure established by paragraph 10 of this article, to apply in writing to the High Council of Justice of Georgia, submit additional information and/or in an appropriate manner cancel the acquired data about him/her.~~

~~12. The acquired information about a candidate of judge shall be retained sealed in a secured place designated by the High Council of Justice of Georgia for at least three years.~~

~~13. Each member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall, within a period of one month after the information about the candidates of judge have been submitted to him/her, thoroughly examine the information and relevant documents. After the information and relevant documents are examined, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall set up an interview with the candidates for judge.~~

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017—website, 13.2.2017

-

Article 35³ – Conflicts of interest

1. At the time of conducting a competition for holding a vacancy of a judge, a candidate for judge may, based on a substantiated motion, make a request for recusal of a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia if there is a conflict of interest, in particular a circumstance that casts doubt on the objectivity, independence and/or impartiality of the member of the High Council of Justice. If a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia is a family member, direct ascending- or descending-branch relative, sibling, parent's or child's step-child, or spouse's sibling or spouse's parent to the judicial candidate, he or she must not participate in the procedures of appointing a respective candidate for judge to the vacancy.

2. If there is a conflict of interest, a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall make a statement about it in advance, and shall refuse to participate in making a decision on the issue of appointing a respective candidate for judge to the position of a judge.

3. A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia may not participate in the procedures of a competition for holding a vacancy of a judge as a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia if he/she himself/herself participates in the competition for holding this vacancy of a judge.

4. Decision on challenging a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be made by the High Council of Justice of Georgia by the majority of votes. A member of the Council the issue of challenging of whom is being considered shall not be put to vote.

5. The rules formulated in this Article shall also apply when a member of the High Council of Georgia participates in the process of appointment or promotion of a judge or decides on the issue of imposing disciplinary accountability on a judge; participates in the election of a member of the High Council of Justice to the Independent Board of the High School of Justice, the Prosecutors' Council, the Public Service Council, and other bodies set forth in the law; and participates in adopting a High Council of Justice directive on other issues. The rules regarding the conflict of interest do not apply to those directives of the High Council of Justice of Georgia which do not concern circles of named persons.

6. Demanding a recusal of a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia because of his or her expressing opinion about an abstractly defined circle of persons is inadmissible.

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

-

Article 35⁴—Appealing against a decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia

~~1. A candidate for judge may appeal the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on refusing to assign the candidate for judge to the position of a judge to the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court if he/she considers that:~~

~~a) a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia was biased during the competition;~~

~~b) the attitude of a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia was discriminatory;~~

~~c) a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia exceeded his/her powers under the legislation of Georgia, which resulted in violation of rights of the candidate for judge, or threatening the independence of the judiciary;~~

~~d) the information that served as a basis for this decision is substantially false, in proof of which the candidate for judge has presented the appropriate evidence;~~

~~e) the competition was conducted in violation of the procedure established by the legislation of Georgia, which could substantially affect the final result.~~

~~2. The appeal shall be submitted to the High Council of Justice of Georgia within a period of two weeks after the appropriate decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia is forwarded to the candidate for judge. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall forward the received appeal along with the attached materials within a period of three days to the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court.~~

~~3. After hearing the case, the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court shall deliver one of the following decisions:~~

~~a) to uphold the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on refusing to assign the candidate for judge to the position of a judge;~~

~~b) to revoke the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on refusing to assign the candidate for judge to the position of a judge, and remit the case for a new investigation.~~

~~4. Establishment of the basis under paragraph 1 of this article by the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court may only become the ground for revocation of an appropriate decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia if, in the opinion of the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court, a respective violation has affected the final result, and caused the High Council of Justice of Georgia to make a substantially wrong decision.~~

~~5. If the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court delivers the decision to revoke the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, and remit the case for a new investigation, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall, taking the decision of the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court into account, reconsider the issue of assigning a candidate for judge to the position of a judge, and make the decision to either assign the candidate for judge to the position of a judge, or to refuse to assign him/her to the position of a judge.~~

~~6. If, after reconsidering the issue of assigning the candidate for judge to the position of a judge, the High Council of Justice of Georgia makes the decision to assign the candidate for judge to the position of a judge and the respective position is still vacant as a result of the competition, the candidate for judge shall be assigned to this vacant position.~~

~~7. If, after reconsidering the issue of assigning the candidate for judge to the position of a judge, the High Council of Justice of Georgia makes the decision to assign the candidate for judge to the position of a judge and another judge is already assigned to the respective position as a result of the competition, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall ensure that the candidate for judge be appointed in the same or another court.~~

~~8. The decision on refusing to assign a candidate for judge to the position of a judge delivered by the High Council of Justice of Georgia for the second time shall be appealed under the procedure established by this article.~~

~~9. If the position of a judge is still vacant as a result of competition, and when the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on refusing to assign a candidate for judge to the position of a judge is appealed, a competition for holding this position shall be announced after the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court upholds the respective decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia; and when the respective decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia is revoked by the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court, and the case is remitted for a new investigation, a competition for holding this position shall be announced after the decision on refusing to assign a candidate for judge to the position of a judge is delivered by the High Council of Justice of Georgia for the second time.~~

~~10. When an appeal against the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on refusing to assign a candidate for judge to the position of a judge is filed, when the case is considered and the decision is delivered by the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court, Articles 36⁵ and 36⁶ of this Law shall apply, unless otherwise provided for by this article.~~

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017—website, 13.2.2017

Article 36 - Lifetime appointments of judges ~~Appointing (electing) a judge to office~~

~~1. The chairperson of the Supreme Court shall be elected by the Parliament of Georgia from among the members of the Supreme Court by a majority of the total number of members for a 10-year term, but for not more than his/her term of powers as a judge of the Supreme Court, upon recommendation of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. One and the same person may not be elected again as chairperson of the Supreme Court. At least one fifth of the total number of members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall have the right to nominate a candidate for chairperson of the Supreme Court. All candidates shall be voted for together. A candidate who receives at least two-thirds of votes of the total number of members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be considered nominated. If none of the candidates receives the aforementioned number of votes, a candidate with the best result shall be voted for (if the candidates receive the equal number of votes, preference shall be given to a candidate that has longer experience of working in the specialty). A candidate shall be considered nominated if he/she receives the majority of votes of the total number of members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. If the High Council of Justice of Georgia fails to nominate a candidate for chairperson of the Supreme Court, the candidates' nomination process shall be commenced from the beginning not earlier than two weeks after the last voting. A candidate, who has failed to receive the number of votes of the Members of the Parliament of Georgia required, may be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the position of the chairperson of the Supreme Court only twice within the powers of one and the same convocation of Parliament.~~

2. A judge of the Supreme Court shall be elected, upon recommendation of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, by the Parliament of Georgia by a majority of the total number of members for an unlimited term until reaching the age determined by this Law.

3. The same candidate may be nominated to the Parliament of Georgia for election to the office of member of the Supreme Court only twice.

4. The Supreme Council of Justice of Georgia shall appoint a judge of a district (city) or court of appeals for life until reaching the age established by this Law, by a decision taken by at least two-thirds of the full membership, in accordance with the same Law.

4¹. Not earlier than 2 months and not later than 1 month before the expiration of the term of office of a judge appointed to the judicial position of a district (city) court or court of appeals for a 3-year term, the Supreme Council of Justice of Georgia, on the basis of the analysis of the evaluation results provided for in paragraph 4⁴ of this article, shall discuss and make a decision whether to appoint this judge to the judicial position or not for life. The 3-year term of appointment to the position of a judge shall not apply to an active or former member of the Constitutional Court or the Supreme Court of Georgia, an active or former judge of the court of appeal or district (city) court, if he/she has at least 3 years of experience as a judge, and 10 years have not passed since the termination of the judicial authority of the former judge. These judges shall be appointed to the position for life if they successfully pass the relevant competition and receive the necessary number of votes of the Supreme Council of Justice of Georgia (not less than 2/3 of the full membership of the Supreme Council of Justice of Georgia). In the case of refusing to appoint a judge for life, who is appointed to the judicial position for a period of 10 years, he/she shall continue to exercise his/her authority within the framework of the remaining term of judicial authority.

4². A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia participating in voting on the issue of appointing a judge to the position of a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals for life by the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall submit a written substantiation of his/her decision recorded during the voting to the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia immediately after the end of the voting. The results of this voting, the decisions taken by the members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia during the voting, and the reasons for these decisions shall be published on the website of the Council. A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall have the right to submit his/her dissenting opinion in writing to the High Council of Justice of Georgia, which is published on the website of the Council. If the High Council of Justice of Georgia decides to appoint a judge for life, the judge shall be appointed for life until reaching the age established by this Law.

4³. The judicial power of a judge, in respect of which a decision has not been made to appoint a judge for life, shall terminate after the expiration of a 3-year term of office in the manner prescribed by this Law, and his/her position shall be considered vacant and a competition shall be announced for his/her replacement. During the next 3 years, this judge shall not be able to take part in the announced competition for filling the vacant position of a judge.

~~4. The judge of a district (city) court and a court of appeals shall be appointed to office by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.~~

~~4⁴. A judge of the Court of Appeals or a district (city) court shall be assigned to the position for a 3-year term on competition basis. Not earlier than two months before and not later than one month after this term expires, by analysing the monitoring results under paragraph 4⁴ of this article, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall discuss and make a decision on whether to assign the judge to the position for an unlimited term. The 3-year term of assignment of a judge to the position shall not apply to a current or former member of the Constitutional Court or the Supreme Court of Georgia, and a current or former member of the Court of Appeals or a district (city) court if he/she has at least 3 years' experience of working as a judge and 10 years have not passed since the judicial powers of a former judge were terminated. These judges shall be assigned to the position for an unlimited term if they pass an appropriate competition successfully, and obtain the required number of votes of the High Council of Justice of Georgia (at least two-thirds of the full list of members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia) by secret ballot. If a current judge, who is appointed to the position for a 10-year term, is refused to be assigned to the position for an unlimited term, he/she shall carry on with exercising powers within his/her term of the judicial powers remaining.~~

~~4². After the High Council of Justice of Georgia holds a vote on the assignment of a judge to an office, a rationale for the decision shall be published. The rationale shall include a description of the procedure and a reference to the appointed judge's character and performance, including the number of points he or she scored and a report on the judge's integrity. The reference shall be compiled by the secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be authorized to write a dissenting opinion, which shall be published. If the High Council of Justice of Georgia makes a decision to appoint a judge to office indefinitely, the judge shall be appointed to office indefinitely until he/she reaches the statutory age limit.~~

~~4³. Unless the High Council of Justice of Georgia makes a decision to appoint a judge to office indefinitely, it shall announce, according to Article 36⁷(4) of this Law, a competition for the vacant position of a judge. Unless the High Council of Justice of Georgia makes a decision to appoint a judge to office indefinitely, the judge's powers shall be ceased after the three-year term of office expires as determined by this Law. The judge may not, within the next three years, participate in a competition for vacant position of a judge.~~

4⁴. In order to evaluate the activity of a judge assigned to the position for a three-year term, after one year and after two years of his/her assignment to the position, also four months before expiration of the three-year term of office of a judge, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall select, by lot, one judge member and one non-judge member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia (“the evaluators”). The evaluators shall evaluate the activity of the judge for the given period within two months, independently from each other. After the drawing of lots, the judge to be assessed shall be immediately notified of the identity of the evaluators. The above six assessments shall be performed by different Evaluators. The judge to be assessed shall have access to the reports of each period of assessment as prescribed under Article 36⁴(9). These reports shall be submitted for examination to members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia two months before the three-year term of office of the judge expires.

4⁵. A judge may request in a substantiated motion that the evaluator(s) tasked with the assessment of his/her activity for the given period be recused on the grounds of conflict of interest, in particular, if there are grounds for questioning the objectivity, independence and/or impartiality of this/these evaluator(s). The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall make a decision on the recusal of the evaluator by a majority of votes. The evaluator whose recusal is under discussion may not participate in the voting. If there is a conflict of interest, the evaluator shall be obliged to recuse himself/herself and shall not participate in the assessment.

5. If the judge reaches the age defined in Article 43(1)(g) of this Law or his/her tenure expires before a trial commenced with the participation of the judge completes, the judge’s powers, under decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, may be prolonged until the judge or the judicial panel or the chamber, of which the judge is a member, makes the final decision on the case. During the period of prolongation of the judge’s powers, he/she may not be appointed (elected) as the chairperson/acting chairperson of a court, deputy chairperson/acting deputy chairperson of a court, chairperson/acting chairperson of a judicial panel or chamber. If the judge, whose powers have been prolonged, is the chairperson/acting chairperson of a court, deputy chairperson/acting deputy chairperson of a court, chairperson/acting chairperson of a judicial panel or chamber at the time of reaching the age defined in Article 43(1)(g) of this Law or expiring his/her tenure, the powers of the chairperson/acting chairperson of a court, deputy chairperson/acting deputy chairperson of a court, chairperson/acting chairperson of a judicial panel or chamber shall be ceased after the judge reaches the above age or his/her tenure expires, despite the prolongation of the judge’s powers.

6. If the judge is appointed to office in another court, until his/her powers as a judge in the new office commence, he/she may not be recused from hearing a case that was tried by him/her when he/she was appointed to another court.

Organic Law of Georgia No 1489 of 1 November 2013 – website, 13.11.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 – website, 18.8.2014

Organic Law of Georgia No 2726 of 30 October 2014 – website, 6.11.2014

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Judgement No 3/1/659 of the Constitutional Court of Georgia of 15 February 2017 – website, 21.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 1052 of 16 June 2017 – website, 27.6.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 4526 of 1 May 2019 – website, 2.5.2019

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 36¹ - Purpose and principles for assessing a judge's activity

1. The purpose of the assessment of a judge's activity is to ensure the exercise of independent and qualified justice by means of selecting a worthy, qualified and honest candidate to be appointed indefinitely as a judge.
2. The activity of a judge shall be assessed in an objective, honest and unbiased manner.
3. Upon taking a three-year term of office, the judge shall be notified of the assessment procedure and the circumstances that shall be taken into consideration when assessing the judge based on individual criteria, and when making a decision on his/her indefinite appointment as a judge.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 – website, 18.8.2014

~~Article 36² – Criteria for assessing a judge's activity~~

~~The activity of a judge shall be assessed based on two main criteria – integrity and competence.~~

~~*Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 – website, 18.8.2014*~~

-

~~Article 36³ – Assessment criteria of a judge's activity~~

~~1. Integrity criteria shall be:~~

~~a) personal honesty and professional integrity;~~

~~b) independence, impartiality and fairness;~~

~~c) personal and professional conduct;~~

~~d) personal and professional reputation;~~

~~e) financial obligations.~~

~~2. Competence criteria shall be:~~

~~a) knowledge of legal norms;~~

~~b) ability and competence to provide legal arguments;~~

~~e) writing skills;~~

~~d) oral communication skills;~~

~~e) professional qualities, including conduct in a courtroom;~~

~~f) academic achievements and professional training;~~

~~g) professional activities.~~

~~3. When assessing a judge based on personal honesty and professional integrity, the following qualities of a person, as a judge and a citizen, shall be taken into consideration: integrity, honesty, appropriate awareness of one's duties and responsibility, love of truth, transparency, civility and accuracy when performing official and other duties and fulfilling financial and other obligations (e.g. when completing a declaration of property, paying bank or other loans, utility bills or other charges, or a traffic fine), etc.~~

~~4. When assessing a judge based on independence, impartiality and fairness, account shall be taken of his/her adherence to principles, ability to independently make a decision, and resistance to influence, personal steadfastness and firmness, political or other type of impartiality, fairness, etc.~~

~~5. When assessing a judge based on personal and professional conduct, account shall be taken of his/her adherence to judicial ethics, civility with regard to colleagues and other persons, conduct and image appropriate for a judge's high rank, restraint, the ability to manage one's emotions, appropriate conduct during disciplinary proceedings against him/her, in litigation to which the judge is a party, existence of criminal charges against the judge, etc.~~

~~6. When assessing a judge based on personal and professional reputation, account shall be taken of his/her business and moral reputation and authority in legal circles and society, the nature and quality of relations with legal circles.~~

~~7. When assessing a judge based on financial obligations, account shall be taken of information on his/her source of income, assets, property owned and/or used, and on debts and liabilities related to this property and income. Examination of financial obligations is intended to establish whether there are grounds for a conflict of interest between a judge's material interests and the interest of justice, which may compromise a judge's impartiality.~~

~~8. When assessing a judge based on knowledge of legal norms, account shall be taken of the level of knowledge of substantive and procedural legislation, human rights law, including case law of the European Court of Human Rights. To assess a judge based on this characteristic, the evaluator shall consider the correctness of application of legal norms, including the case law of the European Court of Human Rights with respect to decisions made by the judge on the cases reviewed. To assess a judge based on the above characteristic, the evaluator shall also request and obtain the results of the judicial qualification exams taken by the judge, and the assessment of the Independent Council of the High School of Justice.~~

~~9. When assessing a judge based on competence and the ability to provide legal arguments, account shall be taken of the substantiation and cogency of the decisions made by the judge with respect to cases reviewed, the judge's ability to think analytically, and professional experience.~~

~~10. When assessing a judge based on writing skills, account shall be taken of his/her ability to convey an idea clearly and in an understandable manner, as well as the ability of logical reasoning and analysis.~~

~~11. When assessing a judge based on oral communication skills, account shall be taken of his/her ability to speak fluently, the ability to listen to other people's opinion with patience, his/her openness, and ability to tolerate different viewpoints, etc.~~

~~12. When assessing a judge based on professional qualities, including conduct in a courtroom, account shall be taken of his/her punctuality, preparation of a case with due care and responsibility, conduct in a courtroom and the ability to preside over a court sitting in an appropriate manner, conduct in the relationship with the parties, diligence and industriousness, the ability to make a decision without assistance, and to think independently, the ability to work under stress, purposefulness, efficiency and speed, adherence to procedural time frames, managerial skills, etc.~~

~~13. When assessing a judge based on academic achievements and professional training, account shall be taken of his/her openness to novelties, ability for self development, office culture, interest in gaining new knowledge and skills, participation in professional training programmes, practical application of the knowledge and skills gained, etc.~~

~~14. When assessing a judge based on professional activity, account shall be taken of his/her participation in discussions, meetings and workshops of various formats dedicated to legal systems and justice, open and free expression of his/her attitudes and views, ability to take initiative, put forward ideas and proposals, to scientific and other publications, contribution to the legal profession and society, etc.~~

~~*Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 — website, 18.8.2014*~~

~~-~~

Article 36⁴ - Procedure for assessing a judge's activity

1. The evaluators shall assess the activity of a judge concurrently and independently from each other. The evaluators may not disclose to each other the information and assessment results obtained during the assessment.

2. The evaluator may carry out appropriate judicial assessment activities based on the criteria determined by law at any time within the two-months period provided for the evaluation of the activity of a judge; he/she may examine cases, attend court hearings chaired by the judge to be assessed, upon request obtain audio and video recordings of the court hearings conducted both during and before the assessment period, search for necessary information in the manner prescribed by Law, apply to representatives of legal circles for legal consultation, personally meet the judge to be assessed, and other persons, and interview them in order to obtain information on specific issues. The evaluator may not ask the judge such questions that by their content can be considered as equivalent to requesting a report on an individual case. Information not related to the assessment of a judge based on the criteria determined by this Law may not be sought for. The information obtained may only be used for purposes defined under this Law. The method of obtaining information shall not interfere with the independence of the judge to be assessed.

3. When assessing a judge's activity for a given period, the evaluators shall, concurrently and independently from each other, examine one and the same at least five cases reviewed by the judge, on which summary/final decisions have entered into force, including, at least, two cases on which the summary/final decisions have been overturned/modified (if any) by a higher instance court (if any). The cases to be examined shall be selected randomly. The purpose of the examination of a case/decision is to assess the level of knowledge of substantive and procedural legislation, human rights law, including case law of the European Court of Human Rights, the correctness of application of appropriate legal norms with respect to the decisions made by the judge, the substantiation and cogency of court decisions, analytical skills of the judge, ability to communicate his/her ideas clearly and lucidly, ability of logical reasoning and analysis. When assessing a case/decision, the nature and gravity of legal errors made in the decision overturned/modified by a higher instance court shall also be evaluated.

4. The result of the assessment of the cases specified in the third paragraph of this article may not serve as grounds for revising the decisions made by the judge in those cases, and for instituting disciplinary proceedings against the judge.

5. To facilitate complete performance of the procedure for evaluating a judge, a relevant structural unit shall be established at the High Council of Justice of Georgia. The personnel of this structural unit shall provide technical assistance to an evaluator and carry out some of his/her assignments in order to obtain the information required for assessing a judge based on a specific criterion. This structural unit shall also exercise other powers provided for by this Law.

6. A judge whose activity is being assessed may notify in writing the High Council of Justice of Georgia of an alleged abuse of power by the evaluator. If, after having studied the circumstances of the case, the High Council of Justice of Georgia concludes that the evaluator exceeded his/her powers provided by the legislation of Georgia, it shall assign, by lot, the duty of assessment to another member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, or take other actions in order to eliminate and prevent the abuse of power.

7. When evaluating a judge according to the criterion of good faith, the appropriate features of the criterion of good faith, defined by Article 35¹ of this Law, shall be taken into account. As a result of the analysis and comparison of these characteristics, an evaluator shall make one of the conclusions provided for in paragraph 15 of the same article.

8. A judge shall be evaluated by points of the criterion of competence, based on the appropriate characteristics of the criterion of competence as defined by subparagraphs (a), (b), (d), (e) and (g-i) and paragraphs 9-14 of Article 35¹(3) of this Law. Depending on the significance of the specified characteristics of the criterion of competence, the maximum number of points that must be obtained for each of these characteristics shall differ from each other and shall be determined by paragraph 17 of the same article.

~~7. When assessing a judge based on honesty criteria, account shall be taken of the characteristics of honesty criteria specified in Article 36³ of this Law. Based on an analysis and summing up of those characteristics, the evaluator shall make one of the following conclusions:~~

~~a) the judge fails to meet honesty criteria;~~

~~b) the judge meets honesty criteria;~~

~~c) the judge fully meets honesty criteria.~~

~~8. Using competence criteria, a judge shall be assessed based on the characteristics of the competence criteria specified in Article 36³ of this Law. Due to the significance of the characteristics of the competence criteria, the maximum number of points to be gained for each of these characteristics is different and they shall be determined in the following manner:~~

- ~~a) knowledge of legal norms—20 points;~~
- ~~b) ability to provide legal arguments, and competence—20 points;~~
- ~~e) writing skills—20 points;~~
- ~~d) oral communication skills—15 points;~~
- ~~e) professional qualities, including conduct in a courtroom—15 points;~~
- ~~f) academic achievements and professional training—5 points;~~
- ~~g) professional activity—5 points.~~

9. Upon completion of each period of assessment, a judicial assessment report shall be submitted to the High Council of Justice of Georgia in a sealed format. To analyse the results of the assessment provided in these reports, the judge under evaluation, may, once each period of assessment is over, read the reports at the location designated for this purpose by the High Council of Justice of Georgia. The materials may not be removed from the premises. After the judge reads the reports, they shall be sealed and enclosed in his/her personal files.

10. A judicial assessment report shall include:

- a) a conclusion that provides an appropriate description of and grounds for the results obtained on the basis of each characteristic of both assessment criteria;
- b) a form completed according to a sample approved by the High Council of Justice of Georgia that incorporates the conclusions drawn, according to the seventh paragraph of this article, from the assessment of a judge based on the honesty criteria, and the number of the points gained by a judge for each characteristic of the competence criteria;
- c) all written documents and other materials which were used for the assessment of a judge's activity for the given period.

11. The assessment data on a judge shall be confidential until his/her three-year term of office expires. None of the members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia or employees of the respective structural unit may disclose the assessment results.

12. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall analyse the results of all assessments it has performed during the three-year term of office of a judge. To sum up the assessment points gained by a judge with respect to the competence criteria, calculation shall be made of the total sum of the points gained by the judge in the six evaluations held during three periods of assessment based on the characteristics of the competence criteria, after which a calculation shall be made of the percentage of this sum in relation to the maximum available points determined for the competence criteria.

13. If, when assessing a judge based on the honesty criteria, more than half of the evaluators consider that the judge fails to meet the honesty criteria, and/or the sum of the points gained by the judge based on competence criteria does not make up 70% of the maximally available points, the Chairperson of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall issue a legal act on the refusal by the High Council of Justice of Georgia to review the indefinite appointment of the judge to office. This act may be appealed to the High Council of Justice of Georgia on the grounds provided in Article 36⁵(1)(a-e) of this Law within one week after its submission to the judge.

14. Following the review of the appeal specified in paragraph 13 of this article, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall, by an open ballot, and by the two-thirds majority of the full composition, make the decision to revoke the legal act of the Chairperson of the High Council of Justice of Georgia and conduct an interview with the judge.

15. The establishment of the grounds under Article 36⁵(1) of this Article by the High Council of Justice of Georgia may serve as the basis for cancelling a legal act of the Chairperson of the High Council of Justice of Georgia only if the High Council of Justice of Georgia considers that the infringement in question affected the final result of the assessment and resulted in a substantively wrong decision.

16. If the High Council of Justice of Georgia fails to make the decision under paragraph 14 of this article, an appealed legal act shall not be appealed again.

17. If the High Council of Justice of Georgia makes the decision under paragraph 14 of this article, it shall conduct an interview with the judge and make the decision under the procedure established by paragraphs 19 and 20 of this article. If, following an interview with the judge, the High Council of Justice of Georgia makes the decision to refuse to assign the judge to the position indefinitely, this decision shall be appealed under the procedure established by Article 36⁵ of this Law.

18. A judge who was refused to be appointed to office indefinitely may request that the results of the assessment are not made public. In this case, the results of the assessment shall be sealed and enclosed in the personal files of the judge, and shall be opened only during the competition in which the judge participates, in the manner established by law, in order to reassume judicial office.

19. If, when assessing a judge based on the honesty criteria, three or more evaluators consider that the judge meets or fully meets honesty criteria, and the sum of the points gained by the judge based on the competence criteria is at least 70% of the maximally available points, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall interview the judge and listen to his/her opinion on the results of the assessment. The judge may submit to the High Council of Justice of Georgia his/her opinion on the results of the assessment also in writing, as well as submit an oral and/or written self-assessment, which means that the judge shall submit to the High Council of Justice of Georgia the analysis of, what he/she considers to be the most successful and most unsuccessful decision(s), as well as mistakes made when adopting decisions over the past three years of judicial activity. To obtain information on the issues related to the assessment, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall hear the evaluators.

20. Based on the analysis of the assessment results and the interview with the judge, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall hold a discussion under Article 36(4¹) of this Law and make a decision with at least two-thirds of the full membership and by an open ballot on the appointment of the judge to office indefinitely before he/she attains the age determined by law. A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia who disagrees with this decision, may

record his/her dissenting opinion in writing, which will be enclosed in the case file. If less than two-thirds of the full membership of the High Council of Justice of Georgia votes for the indefinite appointment of a judge to office, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall refuse to appoint the judge to office indefinitely. Within five days, this decision shall be supported by substantiations provided by each member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia participating in the voting, explaining their reasons for voting for or against the indefinite appointment of the judge to office. Immediately after the decision is made, a copy of the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on the appointment of/refusal to appoint a judge to office indefinitely, along with a dissenting opinion or substantiations of the members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be submitted to the judge concerned.

21. If a judge is indefinitely appointed to office, the judicial assessment reports shall be made public and any person may request them under Chapter III of the General Administrative Code of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 – website, 18.8.2014

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Article 36⁵ - Appealing decisions of the High Council of Justice of Georgia

1. A judge may appeal the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on the refusal to appoint him/her to office indefinitely to the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court, if he/she considers that:

a) the evaluator, during the assessment, or a member (members) of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, during the interview, was (were) biased;

b) the attitude of the evaluator during the assessment or of a member (members) of the High Council of Justice of Georgia during the interview was discriminatory;

c) the evaluator exceeded his/her powers granted under the legislation of Georgia that violated the rights of the judge to be assessed, or put the independence of the court at risk;

d) the information upon which the assessment was based, is substantively wrong, which can be proven by appropriate evidence provided by the judge under evaluation;

e) the assessment was not performed in compliance with the procedure determined by the legislation of Georgia, which could have substantively affected the final result.

2. The appeal shall be filed with the High Council of Justice of Georgia within two weeks after the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia has been delivered to the judge. Within three days after receiving the appeal, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall forward the appeal to the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court along with enclosed materials.

3. The judge shall appeal the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia in person or through his/her advocate or other representative.

4. State fees shall not be imposed on the appeal filed against a decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on the refusal to appoint a judge to office indefinitely.

5. The appeal shall include:

a) title of the Chamber of Qualification

b) name and address of the appellant, and name and address of the opposing party

c) exact title of the decision appealed, and name of the body which made the decision

d) reference to the grounds for appeal specified in the first paragraph of this article, also to the facts and evidence that prove the existence of those grounds

e) a list of written materials enclosed with the appeal

f) signature of the appellant.

6. All evidence referred to in the appeal shall be enclosed with the appeal. If an appeal has been filed by a representative, a power of attorney confirming the authority of the representative to file the appeal shall be enclosed with the appeal.

7. Within five days after receiving the appeal, the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court shall check whether it has been filed pursuant to the requirements of the fifth and sixth paragraphs of this article. If the appeal meets the requirements of the fifth and sixth paragraphs of this article, the Chamber of Qualification shall admit it for hearing. If the appeal fails to meet the requirements of the fifth and sixth paragraphs of this article, the Chamber of Qualification shall instruct the applicant to correct the deficiency and shall allow a reasonable period for this purpose, but not more than five days. If the deficiency has not been corrected within this period, or the deadline determined by law for filing an appeal has not been met, the appeal shall be dismissed without hearing. The Chamber of Qualification shall decide on the admissibility of the appeal without an oral hearing.

8. After the appeal has been admitted for hearing, copies of the appeal and of the enclosed materials shall be furnished to the opposing party. The Chamber of Qualification may set a time for the opposing party to respond to the appeal in writing.

9. The Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court shall review the appeal within one month after admitting it for hearing. The Chamber of Qualification shall determine the time for an oral hearing of the case in the ruling on admitting the appeal for hearing. It shall notify the parties about it within three days after the ruling has been made. The Chamber of Qualification shall make arrangements for inviting the parties/participants to participate in the sitting of the Chamber of Qualification.

10. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall appoint its representative to participate in the hearing of the appeal at the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 – website, 18.8.2014

Article 36⁶ - Hearing and decision of a case by the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court

1. An appeal shall be heard orally at an open hearing of the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court. Judicial assessment reports shall be public during the review of the appeal.
2. The sitting of the Chamber of Qualification shall be presided over by the Chairperson of the Chamber of Qualification or by a member of the Chamber of Qualification designated by the Chairperson.
3. The chairperson of the hearing of the Chamber of Qualification shall open the hearing and announce the case to be reviewed. The secretary of the Chamber of Qualification shall report to the members of the hearing on who, from the invited persons, appeared for the hearing, also on whether the persons who failed to appear were notified of the hearing, and on the reasons for their absence. The Chamber of Qualification shall ascertain the identity of the attendees and verify the powers of the representatives.
4. The Chairperson of the Chamber of Qualification shall explain to the parties and their representatives their rights and obligations. The chairperson of the hearing shall announce the composition of the Chamber of Qualification, the secretary of the hearing, and explain to the parties that they may ask for recusal if there are grounds for recusal under the procedural legislation of Georgia.
5. The Chairperson of the Chamber of Qualification shall ask the parties whether they intend to file a motion, or make an announcement that they were not able to do before the hearing.
6. Participants of the trial shall be obliged to keep order and obey the instructions of the chairperson of the hearing of the Chamber of Qualification. If the order is violated, the chairperson of the hearing shall give a warning to the violator.
7. A case hearing shall start with a report on the case by the chairperson of the hearing of the Chamber of Qualification that must be based on the case materials. After the chairperson of the hearing finishes the report, he/she shall give the floor to the parties to provide statements.
8. The appellant/his/her representative shall be the first to provide statements. In particular, he/she shall specify his/her claims, the circumstances upon which those claims are based, the evidence supporting those circumstances, whether or not he/she still supports those claims, and whether or not he/she intends to withdraw the appeal, etc.
9. After listening to the appellant/his/her representative, the Chamber of Qualification shall hear the statements of the opposing party/his/her representative on whether or not he/she acknowledges the appeal, etc.
10. If only one party appears at the hearing of the Chamber of Qualification, the Chamber shall hear statements from that party.
11. With the permission of the chairperson of the hearing of the Chamber of Qualification, each party may put questions to the opposing party and its representative. If a question is not relevant to the subject of the hearing and does not tend to examine and establish the details of the case, the chairperson of the hearing may disallow this question at the request of the party or on his/her own initiative.
12. A member of the Chamber of Qualification may put questions to the parties that will help completely and clearly establish the circumstances that are essential to the solution of the case, and determine the validity of those circumstances.

13. Oral arguments shall consist of statements by the parties and their representatives. The floor shall be given first to the appellant and his/her representative, then to the opposing party and his/her representative.

14. After hearing each participant of the oral arguments, the chairperson of the hearing of the Chamber of Qualification shall allow the parties to present their rebuttal arguments.

15. After oral arguments are over, the Chamber of Qualification shall retire to the deliberation room to make a decision, notifying the parties accordingly.

16. After returning from the deliberation room, the chairperson of the hearing of the Chamber of Qualification shall announce the decision made and explain its grounds, and then declare the sitting closed.

17. Minutes shall be drawn up at the hearing of the Chamber of Qualification that shall be signed by the chairperson and secretary of the hearing.

18. The Chamber of Qualification shall make a decision by a majority of votes. Members of the Chamber of Qualification may not refrain from voting during the decision-making process.

19. The decision of the Chamber of Qualification shall include the contents of the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, the contents of the appeal filed, results of the review of the case at the Chamber of Qualification, and the essence and justification of the decision made by the Chamber of Qualification.

20. The Chamber of Qualification shall make one of the following decisions:

a) not to modify the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on the refusal to appoint a judge to office indefinitely;

b) to overturn the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on the refusal to appoint a judge to office indefinitely; it shall also make a decision on resubmitting the case for a repeat hearing.

21. The establishment of the grounds provided for in Article 36⁵(1) of this Law by the Chamber of Qualification may become a basis for overturning the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia only if the Chamber of Qualification considers that the infringement affected the final outcome and resulted in the High Council of Justice of Georgia making a substantively wrong decision.

22. A decision made by the Chamber of Qualification shall be drawn up in writing and shall be signed by the members of the Chamber of Qualification. A copy of the decision shall be furnished to the parties.

23. A decision of the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court shall be final and may not be appealed.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 – website, 18.8.2014

Article 36⁷ - Consequences of the decision of the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court

1. If the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court overturns the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia and makes a decision to resubmit the case for a repeat hearing, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall, taking into consideration the decision of the Chamber of Qualification, reconsider the appointment of the judge to office indefinitely, and within two weeks of receiving the copy of the Chamber of Qualification's decision shall make a decision, under Article 36⁴(20) of this Law, on whether to appoint the judge to office indefinitely.
2. A repeat decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on the refusal to appoint a judge to office indefinitely shall be appealed in compliance with the procedure determined by this Law for the appeal of the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on the refusal to appoint a judge to office indefinitely.
3. If the High Council of Justice of Georgia makes a decision to appoint a judge to office indefinitely, the judge shall be deemed appointed to office indefinitely as soon as the High Council of Justice of Georgia makes this decision.
4. If a decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on the refusal to appoint a judge to office indefinitely is appealed, the position of the judge shall be considered vacant and a competition will be announced to fill the position after the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court leaves the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia unmodified or after the High Council of Justice of Georgia issues a repeat decision on the refusal to appoint a judge to office indefinitely in the case where the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court overturns the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia or makes a decision on resubmitting the case for a repeat hearing.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 – website, 18.8.2014

Article 36⁸ - Liability for unlawful interference in the activities of evaluators

Unlawful interference in the activities of evaluators shall not be allowed and it shall incur penalties as determined under the Criminal Code of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 – website, 18.8.2014

Article 37 - Procedure for appointing a judge as a judge of another court without competition

1. When there is a vacancy, a judge appointed to a position may be appointed with his/her consent as a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals without competition. If a judge has not been appointed indefinitely, he/she shall be appointed without competition as a judge of a district (city) court or court of appeals within his/her tenure.
2. A judge of a district (city) court shall be appointed without competition as a judge of a court of appeals if he or she meets the requirements under Article 41 of this Law.

Organic Law of Georgia No 1489 of 1 November 2013 – website, 13.11.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 37¹. Procedure for imposing powers on another judge/sending a judge on secondment to another court

1. In case of necessity, in the interests of justice (a judge is absent in a district (city) court or the court of appeals, or highly increase of the number of cases to be considered and/or other objective circumstances), the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall make a proposal to judges of other courts to exercise judicial powers. If, within 5 days of the submission of a proposal, any judge gives his/her consent, the Council shall decide whether to send a judge on secondment to another court (including from the court of appeals the district (city) court, or from the district (city) court to the court of appeals) for a period specified by the Council.

2. If a judge cannot be selected under the procedure established by Paragraph 1 of this Article, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall have the right to make a substantiated decision, without consent of a judge, to send a judge on secondment to another court (including from the court of appeals to the district (city) court, or from the district (city) court to the court of appeals), but not for more than 2 years. Without consent of a judge, a secondment term may be continued for a period not more than 2 years.

3. One and the same judge may be sent on secondment to another court without his/her consent only once during ten years. After appropriate grounds are eliminated, the secondment shall be prematurely terminated.

4. A seconded judge may appeal only once against the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia taken according to the procedure laid down by this Article, to the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court within 7 days after the decision is submitted. Proceedings in the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court shall be conducted according to the procedure laid down by Articles 36⁵ and 36⁶ of this Law.

Article 38 - Oath of a judge

1. Before starting to exercise his/her powers, the chairperson of the Supreme Court or a member of the Supreme Court, if elected to the office of judge for the first time or his/her powers as a judge had been terminated before he/she was elected to the office of Supreme Court judge, also the judges of a court of appeals and district (city) court being appointed to office for the first time, shall take a solemn oath before holding the office.

2. The chairperson of the Supreme Court and members of the Supreme Court shall take an oath before the Parliament of Georgia and judges of a court of appeals and district (city) court – before the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

3. The text of the oath of a judge shall be approved by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 1489 of 1 November 2013 – website, 13.11.2013

Article 39 - Incompatibility with the judge's office

A judge's office shall be incompatible with any office and paid activity except as determined by the Constitution of Georgia. A judge cannot be a member of a political association or engage in political activity.

Article 40 - Immunity of judges

1. A judge shall be inviolable. It shall be impermissible to bring criminal proceedings against a judge, arrest or detain him/her, search his/her place of residence or work, and car, or to conduct a personal search without consent of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. An exception shall be his/her catching on the scene of crime to be immediately notified to the High Council of Justice of Georgia. If the High Council of Justice of Georgia refuses to give its consent, the judge whose liberty has been restricted shall immediately be released. The decision on giving consent shall be made by the High Council of Justice of Georgia by a majority of two-thirds of the total number of members.

2. The State shall ensure the security of judges and their families.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Article 41 - Promotion of a judge

1. A judge of a district (city) court may be appointed in the Court of Appeals if he/she has exercised judicial powers in a district (city) court during at least five years. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall establish criteria for promotion of a judge.

2. (Deleted – 8.2.2017, No 255).

3. Judges shall be assessed against promotion criteria by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Chapter VI – Dismissal (Termination of Powers) of Judges; Liability of Judges

Article 42 – Dismissal of a judge

1. The chairperson of the Supreme Court and a judge of the Supreme Court may only be dismissed by way of impeachment.

2. At least one third of the total number of members of the Parliament of Georgia may raise an issue of dismissing the chairperson of the Supreme Court and a judge of the Supreme Court on the grounds of violating the Constitution of Georgia and/or presence of elements of crime in the action. The Parliament of Georgia may, after an appropriate report of the Constitutional Court of Georgia is received, dismiss the chairperson of the Supreme Court and a judge of the Supreme Court by a majority of the total number of members.

3. Judges of a court of appeals and a district (city) court shall be dismissed by the High Council of Justice of Georgia by a majority of two-thirds of the total number of members.

Organic Law of Georgia No 192 of 28 December 2012 – website, 30.12.2012

Organic Law of Georgia No 1489 of 1 November 2013 – website, 13.11.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Article 43 - Grounds for discharging a judge, chairperson of the Supreme Court and member of the Supreme Court

1. The grounds for discharging a judge, chairperson of the Supreme Court and member of the Supreme Court shall be:

- a) a personal application;
- b) committing disciplinary misconduct;
- c) (Deleted – 8.2.2017, No 255);
- d) being recognised by court as having limited competence or as a beneficiary of support, unless otherwise determined under court decision;
- e) termination of Georgian citizenship;
- f) entry into force of a final judgment of conviction against him/her;
- g) reaching the age of 65;
- h) committing a corruption offence as determined in Article 20(6) of the Law of Georgia on Conflicts of Interest and Corruption at Public Institutions;
- i) death;
- j) liquidation of the court, redundancy of the judge's office;
- k) appointment (election) to another court;
- l) appointment (election) to another agency;
- m) expiration of tenure.

2. The recommendation of the Disciplinary Panel shall be necessary for a case under paragraph 1(b) of this article.

2¹. Paragraph 1(b) and (h) of this article may not serve as the ground for termination of the powers of the chairperson of the Supreme Court and a judge of the Supreme Court.

2². Paragraph 1(j) of this article may not serve as the ground for dismissal of a judge appointed to the position for an unlimited term.

3. The High Council of Justice of Georgia may discharge a judge if he/she has been unable to discharge his/her duty for more than four months in the last 12 months and there is a relevant medical certificate showing that he/she won't be able to discharge his/her duties in the future, either.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5920 of 27 March 2012 – website, 19.4.2012

Organic Law of Georgia No 3397 of 20 March 2015 – website, 31.3.2015

Organic Law of Georgia No 4389 of 27 October 2015 – website, 11.11.2015

Organic Law of Georgia No 169 of 21 December 2016 – website, 28.12.2016

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Article 44 - Appointing a judge to another judicial office and discharging a judge upon liquidation of court or redundancy of the office of the judge

1. If the court is liquidated or the judge's office is made redundant, a judge may be assigned, by his/her prior written agreement, according to the procedures determined by the legislation of Georgia, to discharge the duty of a corresponding or lower court. If the judge has been appointed to office for a specific term, he/she may be assigned to discharge the duty of the corresponding or lower court only during his/her judicial tenure.

2. If a judge refuses to discharge the duty of a judge on the ground and according to the procedure provided in the first paragraph of this article or if he/she is not assigned the duty of a judge of another court, the judge shall be discharged from office, and by his/her prior written consent and according to the procedure determined by the legislation of Georgia, shall be transferred to the reserve. If the judge has been appointed to office for a specific term, he/she shall be in the reserve until his/her judicial tenure expires.

3. A judge discharged according to the procedure determined by the second paragraph of this article but not removed from the reserve shall receive a salary in an amount determined by the legislation of Georgia while in the reserve. A judge in the reserve shall retain the right to receive a salary for three years after having been transferred to the reserve. The judge may be assigned the duty of a judge of another court with his/her prior written consent and according to the procedures determined by the legislation of Georgia. In such case, the judge shall be deemed to have been removed the reserve during the period of his/her assigned judicial duty. If the

judge has been appointed to office for a specific term, he/she may be assigned to discharge the duty of another court only within his/her judicial tenure.

4. A judge shall be struck from the reserve if he/she holds an office incompatible with that of a judge in the period of receiving a salary or by his/her personal application.

Organic Law of Georgia No 1489 of 1 November 2013 – website, 13.11.2013

Article 45 - Recusing a judge from trial

1. The High Council of Justice of Georgia (hereafter referred to as the Council) is authorized - on the basis of a substantiated motion of the Independent Inspector and with the support of the majority of the full membership, apply to the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia (hereinafter referred to as the Disciplinary Board) with a recommendation for a decision to recuse a district (city) or court of appeals judge from trials, if:

- a) criminal prosecution is initiated against a judge;
- b) disciplinary prosecution against a district (city) or court of appeals judge is initiated according to the procedure laid down by Article 75⁸ of this Law and there is reasonable belief, that remaining on this position he/she will prevent disciplinary proceedings and/or recovery of damages caused by disciplinary misconduct, and/or will continue violation of labour discipline.

2. Upon making a decision on the appeal with a recommendation, the Council with the support of the majority of the full membership appoints a representative who will take part in the proceedings related to the consideration of the recommendation to the Disciplinary Board, and in case of appeal against the decision of the Disciplinary Board - also in the proceedings related to the appeal to the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases of the Supreme Court of Georgia (hereinafter - the Disciplinary Chamber).

3. The Disciplinary Board considers the recommendation according to the general rules determined by this Law within 5 working days after the recommendation is received. Failure of the parties to appear shall not impede the consideration of the recommendation and a delivery of a decision.

4. If the Disciplinary Board shares the conclusions reflected in the recommendation of the Board on the existence of any of the grounds provided for in Paragraph 1 of this Article, it will make a decision to recuse a judge from trial until the final conclusion of the relevant proceedings; otherwise, the Disciplinary Board will make a decision to reject the recommendation, submitted by the Council.

5. The decision made by the Disciplinary Board on the recommendation of the Council may be appealed by the parties to the Disciplinary Chamber within 3 working days after the submission of the decision; restoration or extension of this term is not allowed. The appeal should be submitted to the Disciplinary Board. Within 2 working days after receiving the appeal, the Chairman of the Disciplinary Board transfers the disciplinary case together with the received appeal to the Disciplinary Chamber and informs about it the parties of the disciplinary case.

6. The Disciplinary Chamber considers the appeal according to the general rules determined by this Law, given the following different procedural time frames:

- a) Within 2 working days from the receipt of the appeal, the Disciplinary Chamber must check whether the appeal has been filed in compliance with the requirements of Article

75⁵⁵ of this Law. If the appeal complies with the requirements of this Article, the Disciplinary Chamber shall admit it;

- b) If an appeal fails to comply with the requirements of Article 75⁵⁵ of this Law, the Disciplinary Chamber shall order a person filing the appeal to correct the deficiency and shall allow a time period for this purpose, but not more than 3 working days. If the deficiency has not been corrected within this period, or the deadline determined by law for filing an appeal has not been met, the appeal shall be dismissed without hearing;
- c) The Disciplinary Chamber shall review the appeal within 5 working days after admitting it for hearing. An appeal may also be considered at an oral hearing if, in the opinion of the Chamber, this will facilitate a lawful and substantiated decision. However, failure of the parties to appear at the oral hearing of the case shall not impede the consideration of the case and a delivery of a decision.

7. Within the period of validity of the decision on recusing a judge from trial, the power of the judge is suspended, due to which the payment of salary and other material benefits are also suspended. In case of acquittal, the judge will be fully reimbursed for unpaid salary and other material benefits. In addition, the judge shall retain the rights provided for in Article 40 of this Law during this period.

8. From the moment of making a decision by the Disciplinary Board on dismissal of a judge in the form of a disciplinary penalty, the judge shall be considered recused from trial, with the legal consequences specified in paragraph 7 of this Article.

Article 46 – (Deleted)

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Chapter VII - High Council of Justice of Georgia

Article 47 - High Council of Justice of Georgia

1. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be created to ensure the independence of courts (judges) and the quality and effectiveness of justice, to appoint and dismiss judges, to organise judicial qualification examinations, to formulate proposals towards implementing a judicial reform, and to accomplish other objectives determined by law.

1¹. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be accountable to the Conference of Judges of Georgia. The chairperson of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall submit to the Conference of Judges an annual report on the activities which the High Council of Justice has performed, which shall be published on the web page of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. The annual report referred to in this Article shall be approved by no less than a two-thirds majority vote of all the members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

2. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall consist of 15 members. Eight members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, of whom at least one member represents a court of every

instance, shall be elected by a self-governing body of judges of the general courts of Georgia according to the procedure determined by this Law; five members shall be elected by the Parliament of Georgia and one member shall be appointed by the President of Georgia. The chairperson of the Supreme Court shall, by virtue of his/her position, be a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

2¹. The chairperson of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be elected by the High Council of Justice of Georgia by a majority of votes from among judge members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia for a 4-year term according to the procedure provided in the organic law, as long as that term does not extend past the expiry of his/her term of office of a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. The right to nominate a candidate for chairperson of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be assigned to at least 1/5 of the total number of the High Council of Justice members. Votes for all candidates for chairperson of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be cast in a single voting procedure. A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be authorized to vote for only one candidate. If a chairperson of the High Council of Justice has not been elected, an election procedure shall be rescheduled no later than 5 working days after the date of the initial vote.

3. More than half of the members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be the members elected by the self-governing body of Georgian general court judges according to this Law.

4. General courts of Georgia shall be represented in the High Council of Justice of Georgia by the chairperson of the Supreme Court and eight members elected by the Conference of Judges of Georgia, including the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. A member elected by the Conference of Judges of Georgia shall only be a general court judge. A member elected by the Conference of Judges of Georgia may not be a judge assigned to the position for a three-year term (except when he/she has at least five years' experience of working as a judge), a member of the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases or the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court. More than a half of the members elected by the Conference of Judges of Georgia may not be the chairperson of a court, his/her first deputy or a deputy, or the chairperson of a judicial panel or a chamber.

5. The Parliament of Georgia shall elect five members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on a competition basis, by secret ballot, by a majority of three-fifths of the total number of members, under the procedure established by the Rules of Procedure of the Parliament of Georgia. Candidates for membership of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be selected from among the professors and scholars working in higher education institutions of Georgia, members of the Bar Association of Georgia and/or the persons nominated by non-entrepreneurial (non-commercial) legal entities of Georgia, upon recommendation of a collegial management body of the organisation concerned. One of the fields of activity of the above non-entrepreneurial (non-commercial) legal entities shall be, for at least the last two years before the announcement of the competition, participation with representative authority in court proceedings. Each of the organisations mentioned above may present a maximum of three candidates for membership of the High Council of Justice of Georgia to the Parliament of Georgia. No member of the Parliament of Georgia, judge or prosecutor may be nominated as candidate for membership of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. The procedure and a time-limit for nominating candidates for membership of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, determining their compliance with the requirements under this paragraph and paragraph 6 of this article, for reviewing the nominees and presenting them to the plenary session of the Parliament of Georgia shall be determined by the Rules of Procedure of the Parliament of Georgia.

6. The Parliament of Georgia may elect a Georgian citizen as a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, who has a higher legal education with a master's or equal academic degree/higher education diploma, at least 10 years of working experience in the legal specialty, excellent reputation and who is a recognised specialist in the field of law. A candidate's prior consent shall be required for his/her election to the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

7. (Deleted – 6.12.2018, No 3903).

8. (Deleted – 6.12.2018, No 3903).

9. (Deleted – 6.12.2018, No 3903).

10. (Deleted – 6.12.2018, No 3903).

11. (Deleted – 6.12.2018, No 3903).

11¹. The President of Georgia appoints a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia by competition not earlier than one month and not later than one week before the term of office of the relevant member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia expires, and if the powers of the member are terminated – not later than one month after such termination. In the first case, candidates are presented to the President of Georgia within 10 days from the 30th day before the expiry of the term of office of the member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia and in the second case – within 10 days after the termination of the powers of such member. The Administration of the President shall publish the information about the competition on the official website and through mass media. The organisation nominating a candidate to the High Council of Justice of Georgia and the candidate shall meet the relevant requirements under the fifth and sixth paragraphs of this article. The organisation may present not more than one nominee to the President of Georgia.

12. The term of office of a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be four years. A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia may not perform his/her duty after the expiry of the term of office. The new member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be elected (appointed) not earlier than 30 calendar days before and not later than seven calendar days after the expiry of the term of office of the relevant member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, and if the powers of the member are terminated – within not later than one month after such termination. If during the election of the High Council of Justice members by the Parliament of Georgia the above timeframes coincide, in full or in part, with a period between the sessions of the Parliament of Georgia, the term defined by this Paragraph for the elections shall be prolonged for the corresponding period of time.

13. A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia appointed by the President of Georgia/elected by the Parliament of Georgia may not hold any other civil service position, engage in business, directly exercise the powers of a member of the permanent management, supervisory, controlling, audit or advisory body of such entity, or engage in any paid activity other than scientific, pedagogical or creative activity. He/she may not be a member of a political association and/or take part in political activity.

14. In order for a judge member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia to effectively discharge his/her duties, the High Council of Justice of Georgia may pay him/her salary increments, while a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia appointed by the President of Georgia/elected by the Parliament of Georgia shall be paid remuneration in the amount of the salary of a judge of a court of appeals. The measures under this article shall be

financed within the limits of the budgetary allocations to the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

15. Meetings of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be convened when necessary, but at least once every three months, by the chairperson of the High Council of Justice of Georgia or the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia by his/her order. If the chairperson of the High Council of Justice of Georgia is unable to discharge his/her duties or, in any other case, where there is the necessity provided for by law to convene a meeting of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall convene a meeting of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. The High Council of Justice meeting may also be convened by request of 1/3 of its members.

16. The chairperson of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall chair the meetings of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, and the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia where so provided in paragraph 15 of this article.

16¹. A decision by the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be of an individual or normative nature. A directive by the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be an individual legal act by the High Council of Justice of Georgia, whereas a resolution by the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be a normative act.

16². A directive passed by the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall include written argumentation. A directive by the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall reference a legal act or subordinate normative act or a corresponding legal provision which served as a basis for adopting the directive. A person whose legal interests are directly and immediately affected by the High Council of Justice of Georgia directive shall have the right to submit his or her opinion in writing to the High Council of Justice prior to the adoption of the directive.

17. The decisions of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be signed by the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, except as provided for in paragraph eighteen of this article.

18. The decisions of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on appointing and dismissing judges, assigning the powers to another judge, assigning the powers of the chairperson of a court, judicial panel or chamber, also on assigning the powers of a judge or terminating the assigned powers in connection with the liquidation of a court or redundancy of the office of a judge shall be signed by the chairperson of the High Council of Justice of Georgia or, in his/her absence, by the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5920 of 27 March 2012 – website, 19.4.2012

Organic Law of Georgia No 580 of 1 May 2013 – website, 20.5.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 1489 of 1 November 2013 – website, 13.11.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 – website, 18.8.2014

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 1052 of 16 June 2017 – website, 27.6.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3903 of 6 December 2018 – website, 14.12.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 48 - Grounds for terminating the powers of a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia

1. The grounds for terminating the powers of a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be:

- a) personal application;
- b) transfer or election to another office by his/her consent;
- c) recognition by court as having limited competence or as a beneficiary of support, unless otherwise determined under court decision;
- d) entry into force of a final judgment of conviction against him/her;
- e) termination of a Georgian citizenship;
- f) expiry of the term of office determined by this Law;
- g) death;
- h) inability to discharge his/her powers for more than four months a year;
- i) systematic non-fulfilment or improper fulfilment of duty;
- j) holding an incompatible office or engaging in an incompatible activity;
- k) being appointed or elected as a member by an unauthorised body or in violation of the procedure laid down by this Law.

2. A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be dismissed by the Parliament of Georgia, the Conference of Judges of Georgia, or the President of Georgia, respectively. If any of the circumstances referred to in paragraph 1(a-g) of this article is present, the Parliament of Georgia, the Conference of Judges of Georgia or the President of Georgia shall receive information on those circumstance as a notification, without making a decision; if any of the circumstances referred to in paragraph 1(h-m) of this article is present, the Parliament of Georgia, or the Conference of Judges of Georgia shall put to vote the decision on terminating the powers of a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, and the President of Georgia shall make the decision on terminating the powers of the member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

3. In addition to the grounds provided in the first paragraph of this article, a judge member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia may be dismissed on the ground of his/her early dismissal (removal) from the office of a judge.

Organic Law of Georgia No 580 of 1 May 2013 – website, 20.5.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 3397 of 20 March 2015 – website, 31.3.2015

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 3903 of 6 December 2018 – website, 14.12.2018

Article 49 - Powers of the High Council of Justice of Georgia

1. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall:

a) appoint and dismiss Georgian general court judges (other than the chairperson and members of the Supreme Court);

b) determine the composition of the Qualification Examination Commission;

c) determines the specialisation of judges of a court of appeals and a district (city) court;

d) approve the structure, job titles, staff list and salaries of the personnel of the Office of the High Council of Justice of Georgia (except of the Office of the Independent Inspector), the salary of a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, as well as the structure and staff size of the administrative office of Georgian common courts (except of the Supreme Court);

d¹) lay down procedure for the payment of business trip expenses of the High Council of Justice members appointed by the President of Georgia/elected by the Parliament of Georgia;

e) prepare and approve the procedure for the organisational work of Georgian general courts;

e¹) approve the procedure for internship in the High Council of Justice of Georgia, district (city) courts and courts of appeals;

e²) approve the procedure for the appraisal of employees of the offices of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, district (city) courts and courts of appeals;

f) review materials related to judicial statistics analysis;

g) conduct disciplinary proceedings against Georgian general court judges in the prescribed manner and within the scope of its powers;

h) hear the report of the chairperson of the Department of General Courts;

i) make decisions on giving incentives to judges in the manner prescribed by law;

j) formulate proposals for judicial reform;

j¹) elect, under Article 10 of the Law of Georgia on Legal Aid, one member from among its non-judge members to nominate him/her to the Legal Aid Council;

j²) elect, under Article 19(4) of the Law of Georgia on Public Service, two members of the Public Service Council from among the general court judges;

- j³) Approve Judiciary Mediation Programme(s);
- j⁴) Approves the procedure of remuneration for the mediators' work;
- j⁵) Exercise the powers under the Code on the Rights of the Child;
- j⁶) ensures to people with disabilities access to proceedings and, to this end, develops standards and methodology for working with trial participants that take into account specifics of judicial examination of such cases;
- k) exercise any other powers provided by the legislation of Georgia.
2. The rules of procedure of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be determined under regulations approved by a two-thirds majority of the full membership of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
3. The Office of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be formed to provide organisational and technical support to the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
4. Decisions made by the High Council of Justice of Georgia, information on changes in the composition of the Council, protocols of the Council's sessions, and other information with regard to its activities, including statistical information regarding the reports presented by the Independent Inspector of the High Council of Justice of Georgia (hereafter – Independent Inspector) and statistical information about the initiation of disciplinary proceedings and imposition of disciplinary liability by the High Council of Justice of Georgia, as well as the information about the competition to occupy a vacancy of a judge and its results shall be posted on the website of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall ensure at the appropriate request that the audio recordings of a session are immediately accessible to the interested persons. The information about the date and the agenda of a session of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be made public on the website of the High Council of Justice no later than three working days before the date of the session. A draft of a normative act by the High Council of Justice of Georgia to be discussed at a session of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be made public on the website of the High Council of Justice of Georgia at least seven days before the date of the session.

Organic Law of Georgia No 4461 of 22 March 2011 – website, 1.4.2011

Organic Law of Georgia No 5529 of 20 December 2011 – website, 28.12.2011

Organic Law of Georgia No 580 of 1 May 2013 – website, 20.5.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 1489 of 1 November 2013 – website, 13.11.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 1789 of 13 December 2013 – website, 28.12.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 4389 of 27 October 2015 – website, 11.11.2015

Organic Law of Georgia No 169 of 21 December 2016 – website, 28.12.2016

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 2034 of 7 March 2018 – website, 29.3.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 4963 of 18 September 2019 – website, 27.09.2019

Organic Law of Georgia No 5006 of 20 September 2019 – website, 27.09.2019

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website 25.12.2019

Article 50 - Decision-making Procedure of the High Council of Justice of Georgia

1. The High Council of Justice of Georgia may review a matter and make a decision if more than half of the full membership of the Council is present at its meeting.
2. A decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be deemed to have been adopted if it is supported by a majority of the members present, except as otherwise provided in the legislation of Georgia.
3. A decision on disciplinary matters shall be deemed to have been adopted if it is supported by secret ballot by a majority of the full membership of the Council.
4. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall appoint a person as a judge if the candidate receives at least 2/3 of the votes of the full membership of the Council by secret ballot.
5. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall make decisions by voting.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5920 of 27 March 2012 – website, 19.4.2012

Organic Law of Georgia No 580 of 1 May 2013 – website, 20.5.2013

Article 50¹ - Procedure for electing members of the Legal Aid Council

1. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall elect one member of the Legal Aid Council from among its non-judge members. A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia who is present at the meeting may nominate a candidate. The member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia for the election of whom the voting is held shall not participate in the voting.
2. If a member of the Legal Aid Council cannot be elected after the voting, the nominated candidates shall be put to a repeat vote. The candidate with the highest number of votes, but at least 1/3 of the votes cast, shall be deemed elected.

Organic Law of Georgia No 1789 of 13 December 2013 – website, 28.12.2013

Article 51 - Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia

1. The Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be elected by the Conference of Judges of Georgia for a four-year term from among the members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia elected by the Conference. The Secretary of the High Council of Justice of

Georgia may not at the same time hold the office of the chairperson of a court, his/her first deputy or deputy, or the chairperson of the judicial panel or chamber.

2. The Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall exercise the powers under the third paragraph of this article in parallel with the judicial powers, free of charge.

3. The Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall:

- a) provide organisational and technical support to the High Council of Justice of Georgia;
- b) administer the Office of the High Council of Justice of Georgia; make decisions on the appointment to the post (recruitment) and discharging from the post (dismissal from office) of public servants of the Office of the High Council of Justice of Georgia;
- c) arrange meetings of the High Council of Justice of Georgia;
- d) sign official documents within the scope of his/her powers;
- e) exercise any other powers provided for by the legislation of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5920 of 27 March 2012 – website, 19.4.2012

Organic Law of Georgia No 580 of 1 May 2013 – website, 20.5.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 4389 of 27 October 2015 – website, 11.11.2015

Organic Law of Georgia No 169 of 21 December 2016 – website, 28.12.2016

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Article 51¹ – The Office of the Independent Inspector of the High Council of Justice of Georgia

1. For the purpose of performing an objective, impartial and comprehensive investigation and preliminary examination of an alleged disciplinary misconduct of a judge, the Office of the Independent Inspector shall be established at the High Council of Justice of Georgia to be administered by the Independent Inspector. A structure, job titles, staff list and salaries of the personnel of the Office within the budget allocations for the office shall be approved by the Independent Inspector.

2. The Independent Inspector shall be elected on competition basis for a five-year term by a majority of the full composition of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. The procedure for conducting the competition shall be determined by the Regulations of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. The Conference of Judges of Georgia shall be authorized to submit to the High Council of Justice of Georgia a recommendation by at least one third of the full composition to dismiss the Independent Inspector, should there be appropriate grounds for such request.

2¹. The decision to dismiss the Independent Inspector from office shall be made by at least 2/3 of the total number of the members of the High Council of Justice. The decision on the

dismissal of the Independent Inspector can be appealed in court in accordance with the procedure laid down in the law and within the established time table, unless this law specifies otherwise.

2². The decision by the High Council of Justice of Georgia to dismiss the Independent Inspector from office can be appealed within 10 calendar days at an appropriate district (city) court, which shall hear the appeal within 30 calendar days. The district (city) court decision can be appealed within 10 calendar days from the day of handing down the decision at a court of appeals, which shall hear the appeal within 30 calendar days. The court of appeals decision can be appealed within 10 days from the date of handing down the decision at the Supreme Court, which shall hear the appeal within 30 calendar days.

3. A citizen of Georgia who has a higher legal education, an academic degree of Master of Laws or its equivalent and a higher education diploma, at least 5 years' experience of working in the profession, and a good reputation can be elected as Independent Inspector.

4. The Independent Inspector may not hold any other office in civil service or a municipality body, conduct entrepreneurial activities, directly exercise powers of a member of an administrative, supervising, controlling, inspecting or consultative standing body, or conduct other paid activities, except for scientific, teaching and/or creative activities. He/she may not be a member of a political union of citizens, and/or participate in the political activity.

5. Based on the purposes of paragraph 1 of this article, powers of the Independent Inspector of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be determined under Chapter XIII¹ of this Law.

6. Grounds for terminating powers of the Independent Inspector shall be:

a) a personal application;

b) recognition as a person with limited legal capacity, or as a beneficiary of support by court, unless otherwise defined by court judgement;

c) entry into legal force of the court's final judgement of conviction against him/her;

d) termination of Georgian citizenship;

e) expiry of the term of powers under this Law;

f) death;

g) inability to exercise this power during more than three months in a year;

h) systematic failure to fulfil the duty;

i) gross violation of the rights of judges or authors of complaints, which substantially affected the outcome of the case;

i¹) disclosure of confidential information related to disciplinary proceedings intentionally or due to gross negligence;

j) conduct that clearly disturbs public order (for which an administrative penalty has been imposed on the Independent Inspector) and tarnishes the judiciary authority's public standing or damages public trust in courts;

k) holding of a position or conducting of an activity incompatible with the position of the Independent Inspector.

7. If any of the circumstances referred to in paragraph 6(a-f) of this article is present, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall receive information on such a circumstance as a notification, without making a decision, and if any of the circumstances referred to in subparagraphs (g-k) of the same paragraph is present, it shall put to vote the decision on terminating the powers of the Independent Inspector.

8. If any of the circumstances referred to in paragraph 6(g-k) of this article is present, at least one third of members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall have the right to request that the issue of dismissing the Independent Inspector be put to vote. Before voting, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall hear explanations of the Independent Inspector and investigate the appropriate evidence. Members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia may express their opinions regarding the issue.

8¹. The salary of the Independent Inspector shall be set to equal the salary of a judge of a court of appeals.

9. The Independent Inspector maintains an independent document flow.

10. The Independent Inspector shall appoint employees of the Office of the Independent Inspector on competition basis, and shall dismiss them. The procedure for conducting this competition shall be determined under the Regulations of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

11. Interference in the activity of the Independent Inspector in order to have an influence on a disciplinary proceeding shall be prohibited. The Independent Inspector shall notify the High Council of Justice of Georgia of such interference.

12. When requested, but at least once a year, the Independent Inspector shall submit report on conducted activities (including information regarding received complaints and relevant conclusions) to the High Council of Justice of Georgia, and the Conference of Judges of Georgia. The information on the activities of the Independent Inspector (including information regarding received complaints and relevant conclusions) shall be made public proactively at least once in four months on the website of the Independent Inspector's Service.

13. The current expenses of the Independent Inspector's Service cannot be reduced compared to preceding year's current expenses without the Independent Inspector's consent.

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 1052 of 16 June 2017 – website, 27.6.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 52 - Qualification Examination Commission

1. To organise and conduct judicial qualification examination, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall create the Qualification Examination Commission according to procedures contained in the Council regulations and approve the composition and regulations of the Commission.
2. The Qualification Examination Commission shall be created not earlier than 10 days before the judicial qualification examination and its composition may not be announced until the exam is finished.

Article 53 - Judicial qualification examination

1. Any Georgian citizen who has reached the age of 25 and has a higher legal education may take judicial qualification examination.
2. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall determine the procedure for holding a judicial qualification examination, the qualification examination programme and the examination participation fee.
3. The qualification examination programme shall state that the exam be conducted in test format. After successfully passing the exam, a person takes a written examination. Tests and the written examination shall be taken in the following subjects:
 - a) Constitutional Court of Georgia;
 - b) Criminal Law;
 - c) Criminal Procedure;
 - d) Civil Law;
 - e) Civil Procedure;
 - f) Administrative Law;
 - g) Administrative Procedure;
 - h) International human rights acts and treaties and international agreements of Georgia.
4. The results of a judicial qualification examination shall become invalid if a person is not enrolled in the High School of Justice or is not elected to the office of a judge within ten years after passing the exam.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5529 of 20 December 2011 – website, 28.12.2011

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Chapter VIII - Department of General Courts

Article 54 - Department of General Courts

1. The Legal Entity under Public Law – Department of General Courts of the High Council of Justice of Georgia ('Department of General Courts') shall provide logistical support to Georgian general courts.
2. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall exercise state control over the activity of the Department of General Courts.
3. The structure and rules of procedure of the Department of General Courts shall be defined under the Regulations of the Department of General Courts approved by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
4. Working for the Department of General Courts shall be regarded as public service.
5. The chairperson and vice chairpersons of the Department of General Courts shall be appointed for three years and dismissed by the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia with the approval of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
6. Decisions on the appointment to the post (recruitment) and discharging from the post (dismissal from office) of other employees of the Department of General Courts shall be made by the chairperson of the Department of General Courts;
7. The chairperson of the Department of General Courts shall be accountable to the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
8. The cost estimate, staff listing and payroll budget of the Department of General Courts shall be approved by the chairperson of the Department of General Courts in agreement with the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
9. The Department of General Courts shall be financed from the State Budget of Georgia and any other revenues determined by the legislation of Georgia.
10. The Department of General Courts shall have a seal bearing the National Coat of Arms of Georgia and an account with the State Treasury. The Department of General Courts may also have an account with a commercial bank, when so provided by the legislation of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5529 of 20 December 2011 – website, 28.12.2011

Organic Law of Georgia No 2943 of 12 December 2014 – website, 24.12.2014

Organic Law of Georgia No 4389 of 27 October 2015 – website, 11.11.2015

Organic Law of Georgia No 169 of 21 December 2016 – website, 28.12.2016

Article 55 – Powers of the Department of General Courts

The Department of General Courts shall:

- a) manage funds to support the activity of courts and their material and technical base;
- b) provide the courts with adequate premises;
- c) provide the courts with normative acts and other materials necessary for their activity;
- d) audit the spending of financial and material resources by courts;
- e) take other measures towards providing logistical support to the activity of the courts.

Chapter IX - Providing organisational support to the activity of courts

Article 56 – Administrative offices of courts, court managers

1. Administrative offices of courts shall operate in general courts to administer justice without delay, study and generalise judicial practice, analyse judicial statistics, and support any other activity of courts.
2. A court manager shall manage the administrative office of a court according to the legislation of Georgia, and if necessary, within the scope of powers defined by the chairperson of the court. The court manager shall, under the procedure determined by the legislation of Georgia, appoint and discharge employees of the office of court (except for the head of the Bailiffs Office, a court bailiff, assistant to the judge and a secretary of the court session).
3. The structure of the administrative office and the rules of procedure of the structural units of the Supreme Court shall be determined under the Regulations of the Administrative office of the Supreme Court approved by the Plenum of the Supreme Court.
4. The structure of administrative offices and the rules of procedure of structural units of district (city) courts and courts of appeals shall be determined under Regulations of the Administrative Office of the Supreme Court approved by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
5. Employees of administrative offices of courts are public servants. Employees and persons employed under labour contracts of the administrative offices of courts shall enjoy all the social guarantees afforded to employees and persons employed under labour contracts of the legislative authority and the executive authority respectively.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3523 of 21 July 2010 – LHG I, No 46, 4.8.2010, Art. 286

Organic Law of Georgia No 4389 of 27 October 2015 – website, 11.11.2015

Organic Law of Georgia No 169 of 21 December 2016 – website, 28.12.2016

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Article 56¹ – The Department of Court Management of the High Council of Justice of Georgia

1. Administration of general courts of Georgia and supervision of their management shall be implemented by the Department of Court Management of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

2. The Department of Court Management of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall:

a) examine information related to the case-flow management in general courts, case load and case hearing indicators in general courts, the need for sending judges on secondment, information related to the quality of files management and providing service to citizens, and shall submit recommendations to the High Council of Justice of Georgia and the respective general courts;

b) oversee the operation of the electronic files management programme, and shall submit recommendations to the High Council of Justice of Georgia for its improvement;

c) supervise the efficient and targeted use of resources of general courts, and for this purpose, shall coordinate activities of the Department of General Courts and the general courts;

d) study the managerial experience in both private and public sectors, as well as the international experience of court management, facilitate the introduction of managerial culture into general courts, in co-operation with the High School of Justice, contribute to the improvement of managerial skills of the chairpersons of general courts, court managers and other employees of the office of court;

e) submit to the High Council of Justice of Georgia reports and recommendations on the important issues of administration of general courts ;

f) exercise other powers under the legislation of Georgia and the Regulations of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Article 56² – Election and dismissal of the chairperson of the Department of Court Management of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. Procedure of staffing and rules of procedure of the Department of Court Management

1. The chairperson of the Department of Court Management of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be elected by the High Council of Justice of Georgia on competition basis, by the majority of its full composition.

2. Procedure for electing and dismissing the chairperson of the Department of Court Management of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, as well as the procedure of staffing and rules of procedure of the Department of Court Management shall be determined by the Regulations of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Article 57 - Requirements imposed upon employees of administrative offices of courts when appointing them to office

1. A Georgian citizen, who usually has a higher legal education, has knowledge of the language of court proceedings, holds a certificate of public servant defined under the Law of Georgia on Public Service, has completed about a year-long paid internship at a general court and a special training course at the High School of Justice, may be appointed, under the procedure established by the High Council of Justice of Georgia/Plenum of the Supreme Court, as an officer of the Court Office, whose functions are directly related to the administration of proceedings in court.

2. In the case of a paid internship, a person shall be appointed to appropriate respective position of the Court Office. A person meeting the basic requirements established under the Law of Georgia on Public Service for an officer may be appointed as a paid intern.

3. When a person is appointed as an officer of the administrative office of court, he/she shall not be required to take a paid internship and/or a special training course referred to in the first paragraph of this article, if he/she meets one of the following requirements:

a) has at least one year work experience as a judge, prosecutor, investigator or advocate;

b) has passed a judicial qualification exam;

c) has at least two-year work experience in the legal profession;

d) has at least one-year work experience in his/her speciality in court.

4. A person shall not be required to take the special training course referred to in the first paragraph of this article if he/she has completed a special training course of an assistant judge in the High School of Justice.

5. A person who is not required to take an internship under the third paragraph of this article may take internship in a general court voluntarily.

6. Decisions on the appointment to the post (recruitment) and discharging from the post (dismissal from office) of an officer of the court office, whose functions are not directly related to the administration of proceedings in court, and of other employees, shall be made under the procedure established by the legislation of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5529 of 20 December 2011 – website, 28.12.2011

Organic Law of Georgia No 6092 of 26 April 2012 – website, 10.5.2012

Organic Law of Georgia No 4389 of 27 October 2015 – website, 11.11.2015

Organic Law of Georgia No 169 of 21 December 2016 – website, 28.12.2016

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 1050 of 16 June 2017 – website, 22.6.2017

Article 58 - Assistant judge and secretary of a court session

1. An assistant judge shall receive citizens, accept their complaints and applications, prepare cases for hearing at a court session, search for appropriate legal literature and judicial practice materials, draft relevant documents, and discharge other duties relating to the hearing at the instruction of the judge.
2. Cases in court shall be heard with the participation of the secretary of a court session.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5529 of 20 December 2011 – website, 28.12.2011

Article 58¹ – Distribution of cases between judges

1. Cases shall be distributed between judges of a district (city) court, a court of appeals and the Supreme Court automatically, with an electronic system, by adhering to the principle of random distribution.
2. The procedure for distributing cases in general courts of Georgia automatically, with an electronic system, shall be approved by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
3. In case of temporary failure of the electronic system for automatic distribution of cases, cases may be distributed between judges without the electronic system, based on the numerical order meaning that cases will be distributed between judges according to the numerical order of cases received and the alphabetical order of judges.

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Chapter X - Bailiff

Article 59 – A Bailiff; the Bailiff’s Office

1. A Bailiff’s Office may be established within general courts and the High Council of Justice of Georgia. Its purpose shall be to ensure public order and protect the premises of the courts and the High Council of Justice of Georgia, and to perform other functions prescribed by the legislation of Georgia.
2. The chairperson of the Supreme Court shall carry out general administration and monitoring of the Bailiff’s Office.
3. A bailiff shall be a public servant who is appointed and dismissed by the chairperson of the court or the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

4. A legally competent Georgian citizen from the age of 22 may be appointed as a bailiff if he/she knows the official language and his/her state of health allows him/her to discharge official duties.

5. A person with a previous conviction may not be appointed as a bailiff.

6. Bailiffs shall wear a uniform and carry a badge when discharging their official duties. The design of the bailiff's uniform and the badge shall be approved by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

Decision of the Constitutional Court of Georgia No 3/2/767, 1272 of 14 December 2018 – website, 20.12.2018

Article 60 – Head of the Bailiff's Office

1. The head of the Bailiff's Office shall be appointed and dismissed by the chairperson of a court or the Secretary of the High Council of Justice, respectively.

2. The head of the Bailiff's Office shall meet the requirements of Article 59 of this Law. The head of the Bailiff's Office shall be a person with higher education.

3. The head of the Bailiff's Office shall:

a) manage the activity of the Bailiff's Office, be responsible for accomplishing the objectives of the Bailiff's Office;

b) ensure timely and proper fulfilment of the instructions of the chairperson of a court, the secretary of a court session as well as of the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia;

c) give bailiffs appropriate instructions with respect to discharging their duties;

d) exercise other powers provided for by the legislation of Georgia.

Article 61 - Rights and duties of bailiffs

1. A bailiff shall:

a) ensure that judges, participants of court proceedings and witnesses are safe and secure;

b) keep order in the court as well as in the High Council of Justice;

c) fulfil instructions of the chairperson of a court and a presiding judge as well as of the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia concerning maintaining order;

d) guard the administrative buildings of courts and the High Council of Justice;

e) make sure that the courtroom is ready for a court hearing to commence; on the instructions of a judge, ensure that criminal case materials and physical evidence are brought into the courtroom and kept safe;

f) eliminate violations of order in court as well as in the High Council of Justice; identify and, if necessary, arrest the wrongdoer to transfer him/her to the police and shall draft an appropriate arrest report, the form of which shall be approved by the High Council of Justice of Georgia;

g) exercise other powers provided in the legislation of Georgia.

2. When performing official duties, a bailiff may:

a) use physical force, special equipment and a weapon in the prescribed manner and circumstances;

b) call the police for help, if necessary.

Article 62 - Circumstances and procedure for the use of physical force, special equipment and weapons by bailiffs

1. A bailiff may use physical force, special equipment and weapons only if his/her official duties cannot be fulfilled with the use of other less forceful measures.

2. Without prejudice to the first paragraph of this article, a bailiff may use physical force and special equipment in the following circumstances:

a) when repressing any violation of law and arresting the wrongdoer;

b) when repelling an attack against a judge, a participant of the proceedings, a witness or any other person in the court;

c) when a bailiff encounters physical resistance when performing his/her duty;

d) when handing an arrestee over to the police, where there are sufficient grounds to believe that the arrestee may abscond or injure others nearby.

3. A bailiff may use a weapon in the following circumstances:

a) when repelling an armed attack or facing armed resistance;

b) when a judge, a participant of proceedings, a witness or any other person in the court is under an armed attack.

4. When using physical force, special equipment or weapons, a bailiff shall:

a) warn the person concerned that physical force, special equipment or weapons will be used against him/her and allow him/her sufficient time to comply with the bailiff's demands, except when delay will pose a danger to lives and health of the bailiff or other persons or have other grave consequences, or when advance warning is impossible;

b) use physical force, special equipment and weapons according to the nature of danger with a view to causing as minimum damage as possible;

c) inform, in writing, the chairperson of the court (the Secretary of the High Council of Justice) on the use of physical force, special equipment or weapons within 24 hours after the event;

d) not endanger the lives and health of those nearby when using physical force, special equipment or weapons.

5. Bailiffs may not use physical force, special equipment and weapons against a pregnant woman, a disabled person or a minor; physical force and special equipment may be used against such persons only if the conduct of a pregnant woman, a disabled person or a minor poses clear threat to the lives and health of the bailiff or other persons. Weapons may be used against a pregnant woman, a disabled person or a minor only if they put up armed resistance or carry out an armed attack.

Chapter XI - Conference of Judges of Georgia

Article 63 - Conference of Judges of Georgia

1. The Conference of Judges of Georgia is a self-governing body of Georgian general court judges. The Conference of Judges of Georgia shall consist of the Supreme Court, judges of courts of appeals and district (city) courts.

2. The Conference of Judges of Georgia shall protect and strengthen the independence of the judiciary, promote increased confidence and faith of the people in the courts and enhance reputation of judges.

3. The Conference of Judges of Georgia shall perform its activity according to the Constitution and the legislation of Georgia, the Charter and the Regulations of the Conference of Judges of Georgia. The Charter and the Regulations of the Conference of Judges of Georgia, which define the core principles of its activity, shall be approved by the Conference of Judges of Georgia by a majority of full membership upon the recommendation of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

Article 64 - Organisational structure of Conference of Judges of Georgia

1. An Administrative Committee shall be set up within the Conference of Judges of Georgia to facilitate performance of the functions defined under Article 63 of this Law.

2. The Administrative Committee of the Conference of Judges of Georgia shall consist of nine members. The Administrative Committee may make decisions and prepare acts in connection with the administration of Georgian general courts; the acts shall be submitted to the Conference of Judges of Georgia for approval.

3. The Conference of Judges of Georgia shall elect the members and chairperson of the Administrative Committee for three years. A member of the Administrative Committee cannot simultaneously be the chairperson, first vice chairperson or vice chairperson of a court or the chairperson of a judicial panel or a chamber.

Organic Law of Georgia No 580 of 1 May 2013 – website, 20.5.2013

Article 65 - Powers of Conference of Judges of Georgia

1. The Conference of Judges of Georgia shall:

a) elect by a 2/3 majority present at its meeting:

a.a) the chairperson and members of the Administrative Committee;

a.b) the Secretary and other members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia;

a.c) judge members of the judicial disciplinary panel of the general courts of Georgia;

b) approve the Charter and the Regulations of the Conference of Judges of Georgia;

c) hear annual reports of the chairperson of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, the Independent Inspector of the High Council of Justice of Georgia and the chairperson of the Department of General Courts on the operation of these bodies;

d) exercise other powers provided by law, the Charter and the Regulations of the Conference of Judges of Georgia;

e) adopt judicial ethics rules proposed by the High Council of Justice of Georgia, and the regulations of the Judicial Ethics Council; elects Judicial Ethics Council members in accordance with the regulations of the Judicial Ethics Council.

2. At the elections referred to in paragraph 1(a) of this article, any judge present at the Conference of Judges of Georgia may nominate a candidate.

3. The Conference of Judges of Georgia shall separately vote on candidates for members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia for the position of a member judge who, in accordance with Article 47(4) of this Law, shall have the right to hold the position of the President of the court, his/her first deputy or deputy, or the chairperson of the judicial panel or the chamber, along with the position of a member of the council, and vote separately for candidates for members of the Supreme Council of Justice of Georgia for the position of a member judge who is not entitled to hold any of these positions. Before voting, a candidate shall have the right to apply to the conference of judges and present his/her vision and opinion on issues related to the exercise of his/her powers as a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, if elected. A person who holds the position of a member of the Disciplinary Chamber or the Qualification Chamber of the Supreme Court or the president of the court, his/her first deputy or deputy, the chairman of the judicial panel or the chamber and is running for the position of a member who does not have the right to hold any of the above-mentioned positions, in case of being elected as a member of the Council, his/her authority to hold a position incompatible with the position

of a member of the Council shall be terminated. If the number of candidates for election to the position of the member, who has the right to fill the position of the President of the court, his/her first deputy or deputy or the chairperson of the judicial panel or chamber, is less than the number of eligible persons, or if as a result of voting the required number of votes have been received by persons less than the number of eligible persons, a person who does not hold the position of the President of the court, his/her first deputy or deputy, or the chairperson of the judicial panel or chamber may be elected to the vacant position.

~~3. The Conference of Judges of Georgia shall separately put to vote candidates for membership of the High Council of Justice of Georgia for the position of a member judge that has the right under Article 47(4) of this Law to concurrently hold the position of a member of the Council and of the chairperson of a court, his/her first deputy or a deputy, or the position of the chairperson of a judicial panel or a chamber, and shall separately put to vote candidates for membership of the High Council of Justice of Georgia for the position of a member judge that has no right to concurrently hold any of the above positions. A person who holds the position of a member of the Chamber of Disciplinary Cases and of the Chamber of Qualification of the Supreme Court, or the position of the chairperson of a court, his/her first deputy or a deputy, the position of the chairperson of a judicial panel or a chamber, and runs for the position of a member that has no right to concurrently hold any of the above positions, shall, if elected as a member of the Council, forfeit the right to hold any position that is incompatible with the position of a member of the Council. If the number of applicants for the position of a member that has the right to concurrently hold the position of the chairperson of a court, his/her first deputy or a deputy, or the position of the chairperson of a judicial panel or a chamber is less than the number of persons to be elected, or if, as a result of voting, the necessary number of votes were received by less individuals than the number of persons to be elected, a person that does not hold the position of the chairperson of a court, his/her first deputy or a deputy, or the position of the chairperson of a judicial panel or a chamber may be elected to the vacant position.~~

4. If at the time of the exercise by the Conference of Judges of Georgia of the powers under paragraph 1(a) of this article more than the required number of candidates receives the necessary number of votes, only the number of the highest scoring candidates that is necessary to fill the vacant positions shall be deemed elected. If two or more candidates receive an equal number of votes, they shall be put to repeat voting. The candidate with the best result who receives at least $\frac{1}{4}$ of the votes of the full membership of the Conference of Judges of Georgia shall be deemed elected.

5. If at the time of exercise by the Conference of Judges of Georgia of the powers under paragraph 1(a) of this article fewer than the required number of candidates receives the necessary number of votes, the candidates failing to receive the necessary number of votes shall be put to repeat vote. Only the number of the highest scoring candidates that is necessary to fill the vacant positions shall be deemed elected. At the same time, the number of votes received by such candidates shall not be less than $\frac{1}{4}$ of the votes of the full membership of the Conference of Judges of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 580 of 1 May 2013 – website, 20.5.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 2647 of 1 August 2014 – website, 18.8.2014

Organic Law of Georgia No 255 of 8 February 2017 – website, 13.2.2017

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66 - Rules of procedure of Conference of Judges of Georgia

1. The Conference of Judges of Georgia shall be convened at least once each year. An extraordinary conference shall be called on the initiative of the Administrative Committee or by written request of 1/5 of Georgian general court judges. The extraordinary conference shall be called when electing, according to law, any judge member(s) of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, judge member(s) of the Disciplinary Panel of Georgian General Court Judges, and member(s) of the Administrative Committee. The extraordinary meeting of the Conference of Judges of Georgia shall be held only with a specific agenda and shall be adjourned when the agenda is exhausted. The agenda of the meeting of the Conference of Judges of Georgia shall be posted on the Supreme Court website not later than seven calendar days before the meeting.
2. The meeting of the Conference of Judges of Georgia shall be open. The Conference of Judges of Georgia may consider a matter and make a decision if more than half of Georgian general court judges are present. Where so provided for in Article 65(1)(a) of this Law, the Conference of Judges of Georgia shall make a decision by secret ballot. In all other cases, decisions shall be made by open vote, by a majority of the members present at the meeting.
3. Any other matters related to the activity of the Conference of Judges of Georgia and its structural units defined by this Law shall be determined by Regulations of the Conference and regulations of the structural units.

Organic Law of Georgia No 580 of 1 May 2013 – website, 20.5.2013

Chapter XI¹ – High School of Justice

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66¹ – Status, purpose, and functions of the High School of Justice

1. The High School of Justice (hereafter – school), a legal entity under public law, is established under this law.
2. The purpose of the school is to professionally train the persons to be appointed as judges in the common courts system – justice attendees. The goal of the school is to ensure the broadening of the justice attendees’ theoretical knowledge and develop their skills required for practical work, as well as to ensure that the justice attendees recognize their future responsibility and freedom to operate within the limits established by the law, and to promote the attendee’s gradual integration into the social environment where they will have operate in the future as a judge.
3. The purpose of the school is also to retrain incumbent judges for their professional betterment, and to train and retrain candidates for judge’s assistants and other specialists to staff the common courts system of Georgia with highly qualified specialists.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66² – School governance

School governance bodies shall be the Independent Board of the School and the Directorate of the School.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66³ – Staffing process and functions of the Independent Board of the school

1. The Independent Board of the School (hereafter the Independent Board) shall determine the directions of school activities, coordinate them, and supervise their implementation. The Independent Board shall have seven members.

2. A citizen of Georgia who has a higher education and sufficient qualifications and professional experience to perform the functions of a member of the Independent Board as described under this law, can be a member of the Independent Board.

3. The chairperson of the Supreme Court cannot be a member of the Independent Board.

4. Three members of the Independent Board, who shall be judges, each representing one of the three instances of common courts, shall be elected for a four-year term by the Conference of Judges of Georgia in accordance with the procedure established by the Conference regulations. Two members of the Independent Board (one judge member and 1 non-judge member) shall be elected by the High Council of Justice of Georgia from among its members for a term which coincides with the term of their tenure as members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. The remaining two members of the Independent Board, who shall hold academic positions of professor, associate professor, or assistant professor in a higher education institution accredited in accordance with the procedures established by the law, but who shall not be employed in a public institution, shall be elected for a four-year term upon nomination by at least three members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

5. The chairperson of the Independent Board shall be elected by the High Council of Justice of Georgia from among the Independent Board members elected by the Conference of Judges of Georgia for the term of their tenure as Independent Board members. The same person shall be elected the chairperson of the Independent Board for no more than two consecutive terms.

6. The Independent Board elects from among its members the deputy chairperson of the Independent Board.

7. The Independent Board shall:

a) Write and approve the statute of the school;

b) Design and approve the procedure of drawing up the school's budget;

c) Make a reasoned decision on the admission of a person to the school as a justice attendee and the expulsion of the justice attendee from the school;

d) Hear the annual report of the director of the school on the school's work;

e) At the recommendation of the director of the school:

- e.a) Approve the school's internal regulations
 - e.b) Approve and submit in compliance with the Georgian law the school's budget;
 - e.c) Approve the school's staffing structure;
 - e.d) Approve the school's payroll fund and amounts of compensation tables, as well as sizes of justice attendees' stipends;
 - e.e) Elect deputy director of the school and the head of internship;
 - e.f) Approve educational (theoretical course and seminar discussions), internship, and retraining curricula;
 - e.g) Approve theoretical course tests and graduation examination materials;
 - e.h) Approve the qualification lists of the justice attendees;
 - f) Exercise other powers granted by this law and the statute of the school.
8. The work of the chairperson and member of the Independent Board in the Independent Board shall not be remunerated.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66⁴ – Releasing a member of the Independent Board from duty

1. The following shall constitute the grounds for releasing a member of the Independent Board from duty:
- a) Resignation;
 - b) Dismissal from the post which the member occupied when he or she was elected a member of the Independent Board;
 - c) Failure to perform the duty of a member of the Independent Board for longer than 6 months;
 - d) Court decision recognizing a member of the Independent Board as a person with a limited legal capacity or as a missing person, or declaring him or her deceased, unless a court decision states otherwise;
 - e) Entry into force of a guilty court sentence handed down to a member of Independent Board;
 - f) Loss of the Georgian citizenship;
 - g) Expiry of the term of appointment;
 - h) Death.
2. A member of the Independent Board shall be dismissed by the High Council of Justice of Georgia at the recommendation of the chairperson of the Independent Board, whereas the

chairperson of the Independent Board shall be dismissed by the Conference of Judges of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66⁵ – Session of the Independent Board

1. A session of the Independent Board shall assemble at least twice a year. The session of the Independent Board shall be presided by the chairperson of the Independent Board, and in his or her absence – by the deputy chairperson of the Independent Board.
2. The information about the session and the agenda of the session shall be published on the school’s website at least one week before the date of the session.
3. The school director shall attend the session of the Independent Board and shall have a consultative vote.
4. The session of the Independent Board shall be authorized if a majority of board members are in attendance. The Independent Board shall make decisions with a majority of the votes of the members in attendance. If the votes are divided equally, the vote of the chairperson of the Independent Board shall be decisive.
5. The Independent Board shall make reasoned decisions in writing on the issues to be discussed. A protocol of the session shall be drawn up, describing the proceedings during the sessions, and it shall be signed by the chairperson of the session and the secretary of the session.
6. The decision made by the Independent Board, together with the protocol of the session, shall be made public on the school’s website no later than three working days after the date of the session.
7. The rules for preparing, calling, and conducting the session are laid down in the statute of the Independent Board which shall be drawn up and approved by the Independent Board.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66⁶ – The Directorate of the School

1. The directorate of the school shall comprise the director of the school, the deputy director, and the head of internship.
2. The director of the school is appointed by the Independent Board for a five-year term in accordance with the procedure described in the school’s statute.
3. A Georgian citizen older than 25, who has a higher legal education and at least three years of experience of working in the profession can be elected the director of the school. More qualification requirements for occupying the vacancy of director of the school can be specified in the statute of the school.
4. The same person can be elected as director of the school for no more than two consecutive terms.

5. The deputy director of the school and the head of internship are elected for five-years terms. The same person can be elected as deputy director of the school or head of internship for no more than two consecutive terms. Election of a new director of the school shall result in termination of powers of the deputy director and head of internship.

6. The areas of competence and operating procedures of the Directorate are defined by this law and the statute of the school.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66⁷ – Powers of the school director

1. The director of the school shall:

a) Represent the school; ensure that the school is functioning and running the educational courses for justice attendees and retraining courses;

b) Ensure that the Independent Board decisions are implemented;

c) With consent from the Directorate of the school and the Council of Teachers, recommend to the Independent Board for approval the training, internship, and retraining programmes;

d) Perform administrative functions in the school building;

e) Performs other duties as specified by this law and the statute of the school.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66⁸ – Powers of the deputy school director and head of internship

1. The deputy director of the school shall ensure implementation of the training and retraining programmes. His or her powers in the area of the training courses (with the exception of internships) and retraining shall be defined by this law and the statute of the school.

2. The head of internship shall ensure the implementation of internship programmes. His or her powers in the area of internship shall be defined by this law and the statute of the school.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66⁹ – Dismissal of the director, deputy director, head of internship from position

1. The grounds for the dismissal of the director of the school, deputy director of the school, head of internship from their positions shall be:

a) Resignation;

b) Gross or systematic violation of the school's international regulations;

c) Violation of the conditions specified in the statute of the school;

d) Court decision recognizing him or her as a person with a limited legal capacity or as a missing person, or declaring him or her deceased, or recognizing him or her as a recipient of support, unless a court decision states otherwise;

e) Entry into force of a guilty court sentence handed down to him or her;

f) Loss of the Georgian citizenship;

g) Expiry of the term of appointment;

h) Death;

i) Other reasons described in this law and the statute of the school.

2. The director of the school, the deputy director of the school, the head of internship are dismissed from position by the Independent Board.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66¹⁰ – Organizational structure of the school

The organizational structure of the school and the operational procedures of the structural units of the school are defined in the statute of the school.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66¹¹ – Budget of the school

1. Expenses required for the functioning of the school shall be accounted in the budget of the common courts as a separate entry.

2. To perform the functions described in this law, the school, by decision of the Independent Board, shall be able to receive financial revenue or material aid permitted by the Georgian law.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66¹² – Admission of a person to the school as justice attendee

1. A person shall be admitted to the school as justice attendee on a competitive basis.

2. An admission competition to the school shall be held at least once a year.

3. The decision to hold admission competition shall be made by the High Council of Justice of Georgia with consideration for the existing number of judges in the common courts system of Georgia.

4. The High Council of Justice of Georgia decision to hold an additional admission competition during the year at a different time shall be made public at least one month in advance.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66¹³ – A person participating in admission competition

1. A Georgian citizen who is legally competent, has no criminal record, speaks the official language, has a higher legal education and holds the academic degree of Master of Laws or equivalent/higher education diploma, and has passed the judiciary qualification examination (hereafter – qualification examination) in compliance with this law within the last 10 years, shall be eligible to take part in the school admission competition.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66¹⁴ – Holding the school admission competition

1. The admission competition shall be conducted by the school.
2. When selecting a candidate for justice attendee, the results of the qualification examination, the candidate's qualifications and his or her skills and abilities of legal analysis, reasoning, verbal communication and expression shall be taken into account. The form of the admission competition, registration of the candidates for justice attendee, and other matters related to the admission competition shall be defined by the statute of the school.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66¹⁵ – Total number of justice attendees to be admitted to the school

Before the announcement of the admission competition, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall establish the tentative number of the judges' vacancies to be appointed at a time when the justice attendees to be admitted in the current year will complete the full course of studies at the school, and shall recommend to the school for approval a total number of justice attendees to be admitted to the school, which should exceed the tentative number of the judges vacancies by 20%. The school shall have the discretion to approve a number that is smaller than the number recommended by the High Council of Justice of Georgia only if the school's budget and/or facilities and infrastructure preclude the school from admitting more justice attendees.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66¹⁶ – Justice attendee's status

The justice attendee status shall be assigned to a person who, after passing the admission competition, has been admitted to study in the school by the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia. For the duration of studies, the justice attendee shall be issued an identification document confirming his or her status of justice attendee.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66¹⁷ – Justice attendee's state study allowance

For the duration of studies, a justice attendee shall be paid a state study allowance, which cannot amount to less than 1/3 of the salary of the judge of a court of first instance.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66¹⁸ – Justice attendee's disciplinary accountability

1. A justice attendee shall have an obligation to comply with disciplinary standards.
2. Violation of disciplinary standards by a justice attendee shall result in one of the following disciplinary penalties:
 - a) Warning;
 - b) Reprimand;
 - c) Withholding of up to 10 days' state study allowance;
 - d) Expulsion from the school.
3. A disciplinary penalty imposed on a justice attendee shall be proportionate to the gravity of the disciplinary violation. The types of disciplinary violations and the rules for imposition of disciplinary penalties shall be provided in this law and the statute of the school.
4. The issue of a justice attendee's disciplinary liability shall be discussed and decided by the Directorate of the school.
5. The Directorate of the school shall have the authority to grant a justice attendee an early relief of the disciplinary penalty, if he or she does not commit another disciplinary violation and proves himself or herself a responsible justice attendee.
6. If a justice attendee shall not incur another disciplinary penalty within seven months from the imposition of a disciplinary penalty, he or she shall be considered free of disciplinary liability.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66¹⁹ – Counting the time of studying in the school as the professional work experience

The time a justice attendee spends studying in the school shall be considered professional employment experience.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66²⁰ – Justice attendee's personal information

1. A justice attendee shall have the right to access all the information about him or her and all of his or her official documents available at the school, and receive their copies.
2. A person shall retain the right granted under Clause 1 of this Article after graduation from the school, regardless of whether he or she was appointed as judge.
3. A justice attendee shall have the right to request that the school amend, update, or augment his or her personal information (including the Independent Board assessments) if it is inaccurate, outdated, or incomplete, or was collected or processed in violation of the law.

4. In the circumstances described in Clause 3 of this Article, the justice attendee's request shall be submitted, discussed, and decided upon in compliance with the rules laid down in Articles 22 and 23 of the Law on Georgia on Personal Data Protection.

5. The school shall have an obligation not to disclose any information about the justice attendee and his or her official documents by transferring, disseminating, or otherwise making the information accessible without his or her consent, except when required by law.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66²¹ – Duration of studies in the school

1. The duration of the studies in the school shall be 16 months. The full training course includes a theoretical course, internship, and seminar course.

2. For a justice attendee who has at least 10 years of experience of working as head of a structural subunit of the Staff of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, head of a common court staff or its structural subunit, judge's assistant, secretary of a court session, investigator, prosecutor and/or defense lawyer, the duration of a full training course is 12 months.

3. The duration of a theoretical course, internship, or seminar course is set in the statute of the school.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66²². Training programme for trainee judges

The curriculum for the training of trainee judges must ensure the deepening of theoretical knowledge, the development of practical skills and qualities in accordance with the criteria established by Article 35¹ of this Law. In addition to compulsory subjects, optional subjects may be included in the training programme for a trainee judge. An independent council shall approve the list of subjects on the recommendation of a principal.

~~Article 66²²—Training curriculum for justice attendees~~

~~The justice attendee training curriculum shall ensure broadening of the theoretical knowledge meeting the criteria established in Clauses 1 and 3-14 of Article 35¹ of this law and development of practical abilities and skills. In addition to compulsory courses, the justice attendee training curriculum may include optional courses. The list of courses shall be approved by the Independent Board at the recommendation of the director of the school.~~

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66²³ – Training methods employed in the school

1. The training methods employed in the school shall include seminars, moot courts, discussions, courses on making and reasoning judicial decisions, evening education, distance education, and other forms which shall be approved by the Independent Board at the recommendation of the director of the school. The training curricula may include short-term business trips for justice attendees to courts and prosecutor's offices.

2. A justice attendee's training course may include his or her studies and/or internships at authoritative foreign educational institutions.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66²⁴ – Teacher of the school, Council of Teachers

1. In the school, training to the justice attendees shall be provided by teachers of the school.
2. Teachers of the school shall sign labour agreements with a fixed term which is not to exceed two years.
3. Teachers of the school form the Council of Teachers.
4. The Council of Teachers' meetings shall be regularly called and presided by the deputy director of the school.
5. The functions and working procedures of the Council of Teachers shall be defined in this law and the statute of the school.
6. For the purpose of training the justice attendees, the school may periodically invite specialists in different areas of the legal profession and specialists in optional disciplines, including foreign experts. Terms and conditions of their employment, activities, and remuneration for their services shall be approved by the Independent Board at the recommendation of the director of the school.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66²⁵ – The theoretical course graduation examination and rules for its administration

1. Upon the completion of the theoretical course, a justice attendee shall take an examination intended to test the theoretical knowledge acquired during the period of studies in the school.
2. The examination commission shall be approved by the Independent Board at the recommendation of the director of the school.
3. The rules and conditions for administering the examination, as well as the system of grading the examination results, shall be laid down in the statute of the school.
4. The statute of the school shall define the procedures to take effect should the examination not be passed within the set time period.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66²⁶ – Justice attendees' internship. Forms of internship.

1. Upon passing the theoretical course graduation examination, a justice attendee shall enroll in an internship.
2. A justice attendee shall take an internship in common courts, the Constitutional Court of Georgia, the bar, a notary public's office, prosecutor's office, and/or administrative bodies

whose list, at the recommendation of the director of the school, shall be approved by the Independent Board. The issue of a justice attendee's enrollment in an internship at a different body than a common court is decided, with consideration for the needs of the justice attendee, by the Independent Board at the recommendation of the director of the school.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66²⁷ – Head of internship, internship coordinator

1. The head of internship shall nominate, and the director of the school shall appoint, internship coordinators at the bodies specified in Clause 2, Article 66²⁶ of this law.
2. A judge, a prosecutor, or a high-level official of the institution can be an internship coordinator.
3. An internship coordinator shall:
 - a) Administer and supervise a justice attendee's internship;
 - b) Upon the completion of the internship, assign every justice attendee attached to him or her a qualification score and write a recommendation letter;
 - c) Upon the completion of the internship, submit a report on the head of internship on the internship programme performance.
4. During the internship, types of assignments to be performed by a justice attendee shall be defined in the internship programme.
5. The intern performance evaluation system and rules for assignment of qualification scores to justice attendees shall be provided in the statute of the school.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66²⁸ – Justice attendee's written report and research paper

Upon the completion of internship, a justice attendee shall compile a written report on the work he or she performed and writes a paper on the functioning of the host organization, problems that exist within it, and ways to address those problems. The said written report and the paper shall be submitted to the head of internship, sealed, and attached to the justice attendee's personal file.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66²⁹ – Seminar courses

1. At the end of internship, the school shall hold holds seminars for justice attendees for the purpose of summarizing the knowledge and practical experience they acquired during the theoretical course and internship and to help the attendees prepare for the school graduation examination.
2. Seminars shall be conducted by teachers of the school or invited specialists.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66³⁰ – School graduation examination

1. Upon the completion of the studies at the school, a justice attendee shall take a school graduation examination, whose purpose is evaluating the theoretical knowledge and practical experience acquired by the justice attendee.
2. The school graduation examination shall be administered in written form. A justice attendee shall be given an actual case from court records and asked to write draft proceedings documents for it.
3. The school graduation examination shall be administered by a commission comprised of:
 - a) A Supreme Court judge;
 - b) A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia;
 - c) A law professor from a state university;
 - d) Three members appointed by the Independent Board;
 - e) The director of the school.
4. The commission members listed in subclauses a), b), and c) of Clause 3 of this Article shall be approved by the Independent Board.
5. The commission member listed in subclause a), Clause 3 of this Article shall be nominated for approval by the chairperson of the Supreme Court, the commission member listed in subclause b) – by the High Council of Justice of Georgia, and the commission member listed in subclause c) – by the minister of justice of Georgia.
6. The rules and conditions for the administration of the school graduation examination, as well as a system for grading the examination results, shall be defined in the statute of the school.
7. The statute of the school shall define the procedures to take effect should the school graduation examination not be passed within the set time period.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66³¹ – Compilation of a ranking list of the justice attendees

1. Within one month from the end of the school graduation examination, the director of the school shall submit to the Independent Board for approval a ranking list of the justice attendees.
2. A justice attendee shall be informed about his or her position in the ranking list of the justice attendees.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66³² – The ranking list compilation criteria

1. The process of compilation of the ranking list shall involve summing up quotients for:
 - a) The score received in the qualification examination;
 - b) The score received in the theoretical course graduation examination;
 - c) The score received when enrolled in the internship;
 - d) The score received in the school graduation examination.
2. The total score accumulated by a justice attendee shall be calculated using a rule described in the statute of the school.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66³³ – Assessment of the Independent Board

1. When selecting a candidate for judge, a justice attendee's position in the justice attendee's ranking list and the Independent Board assessment shall be taken into account. The Independent Board assessment shall include:
 - a) An assessment of the theoretical course graduation examination results;
 - b) An assessment of the internship results;
 - c) An assessment of the seminar course results;
 - d) An assessment of the school graduation examination results;
 - e) An assessment by the head of internship;
 - f) An assessment by the teachers of the school;
 - g) Assessments of the written report compiled by a justice attendee and of the paper he or she wrote during the internship;
 - h) An assessment of the justice attendee's discipline.
2. The Independent Board shall perform an assessment using the assessment criteria set by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
3. One copy of the Independent Board assessment is submitted to the justice attendee.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66³⁴ – Document certifying the completion of the school's educational course

1. After the justice attendees' ranking list is approved, a justice attendee included in the list shall be issued a document certifying the completion of the school's educational course, which states that the justice attendee has completed a full training course of the school and is included in the justice attendee's ranking list.

2. The design of the document described in Clause 1 of this article is approved by the Independent Board in compliance with the rule set in the statute of the school.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66³⁵ – Purpose of retraining

1. The school shall design and run retraining programmes on an annual basis.
2. The purpose of retraining shall be upgrading the professional skills of persons who, under this law, are eligible to take retraining courses, by familiarizing them with legislative and other legal and scientific novelties, helping them adapt to new social and legal realities, and summarizing and sharing practical experience, including the practical experience of judiciary activities in foreign countries.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66³⁶ – Forms of retraining and curriculum

1. Retraining shall be implemented by holding seminars of various types, training courses and conferences, conducting theoretical and practical classes, and organizing discussions.
2. Teachers of the school shall manage the retraining process.
3. For the purpose of retraining, the school may periodically invite specialists in different areas of the legal profession and specialists in optional disciplines, including foreign experts. Terms and conditions of their employment, activities, and remuneration for their services shall be defined in the statute of the school.
4. The curriculum of the activities to be performed within the framework of a retraining course shall be designed by the deputy director of the school with consent of the council of teachers and presented to the director of the school, who considers the curriculum and submits it to the Independent Board for approval in the month of October every year.
5. When designing the retraining curriculum, the school shall solicit recommendations from chairpersons of courts of first, appellate, and cassation instances.
6. Professional skills of a person who is eligible for retraining under the law can be upgraded by training him or her at authoritative foreign educational institutions and/or by enrollment in an internship programme.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66³⁷ – Incumbent judge’s eligibility for retraining

1. After the publication of an annual retraining programme activities, persons who wish to apply for retraining shall apply to the school administration to take part in the scheduled activities. The application shall indicate why the candidate is interested in taking part in the particular activity.

2. The Directorate of the school shall have an obligation to consider the retraining candidate's application and reply to the applicant within the time frame specified by the Georgian law, but no later than two weeks before the launch of the retraining activity.
3. The denial of the application to a retraining course must be reasoned.
4. The rules and conditions of organizing retraining courses and participating in them shall be specified in the statute of the school.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66³⁸ – Retraining of incumbent judges

An incumbent judge shall be obliged to dedicate at least 5 days in a three-year period to retraining at the school. The judge's retraining programme must be suite to his or her needs.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66³⁹ – Participation of a prosecutor, defense lawyer, and other persons in etraining

The rules for admitting prosecutors, defense lawyers, and other persons to the activities to be implemented within the framework of a retraining course shall be specified by the statute of the school.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66⁴⁰ – Participation of foreign citizens in a special training course

1. A special training course can be designed for foreign judges, court personnel, and other representatives of the legal profession.
2. The special graining course's programme shall be approved by the Independent Board upon its submission by the director of the school.
3. The special training course will be offered in an appropriate foreign language.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66⁴¹ – Educational grant

1. The school shall have the discretion to issue an educational grant to only to a judge or a court staffer for the purpose of their retraining.
- 2 The rules and conditions for the issuance of educational grants are determined by the Independent Board.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66⁴² – Scientific research

1. Research institutions can be established at the school to analyze and research the challenges facing the judiciary system.

2. The school shall engage in publishing work within the limits of its powers.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 66⁴³ – Dispensation from the requirement to attend the school

Persons nominated as candidates for a vacancy of the Supreme Court judge, former judges who have passed the qualification examination, have been appointed on the basis of a competition as a judge of the Supreme Court, a district (city) court, or court of appeals, and have at least 18 months experience of working as a judge shall be dispensed from the requirement to study at the school in order to occupy a judge's vacancy. A person who completed a full course of studies in the school and has been included in the ranking list of the justice attendees shall be dispensed from the requirement to study at the school to occupy a judge's vacancy, regardless of the duration of his or her judicial tenure or whether or not he or she was appointed as judge upon completion of the school.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Chapter XII - Financing of General Courts; Social and Legal Guarantees of Judges

Article 67 - Financing of general courts

1. General courts shall be financed from the State Budget of Georgia. Expenses relating to the organization and activity of the Supreme Court fall within a separate code of the State Budget of Georgia.

1¹. Judiciary mediation programmes running at the district (city) and appellate courts shall be financed with allocations made from the State Budget of Georgia to the Georgian common courts.

2. The High Council of Justice of Georgia, based on the proposals of the Department of General Courts, shall submit a draft version of the section of the State Budget of Georgia that deals with the financing of general courts (other than the Supreme Court) and the Department of General Courts to the Government of Georgia. Before the Parliament of Georgia hears a revised version of the draft Law on the State Budget, the High Council of Justice of Georgia may submit opinions to the Parliament of Georgia on the draft of the section dealing with the financing of general courts and the Department of General Courts. The chairperson of the Supreme Court shall submit a draft budget for the organization and activity of the Supreme Court to the Government of Georgia according to the procedures laid down by law.

3. The expenses allocated in the State Budget of Georgia for general courts may be reduced compared to the corresponding amount of the previous year only with a prior approval of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5920 of 27 March 2012 – website, 19.4.2012

Organic Law of Georgia No 4963 of 18 September 2019 – website, 27.09.2019

Article 68 - Legal and social protection of judges

1. Legal and social guarantees of judges shall be defined by the Constitution of Georgia, this Law and the legislation of Georgia.
2. To ensure the independence of judges, the State shall create dignified living and working conditions, and protect the safety of judges and their families. If the life or health of a judge is at risk, on the decision of the Prime Minister of Georgia, and based on the application of the judge, the competent state authorities shall ensure the protection of the judge and his/her family members according to rules contained in the legislation of Georgia.
3. The State shall provide the necessary living space or pay the necessary housing expenses for a judge who has no living accommodation in a self-governing city (municipality) where he/she has to exercise judicial powers. The decision to provide the chairperson and members of the Supreme Court with living accommodations shall be made by the chairperson of the Supreme Court. The decision on providing judges of courts of appeals and district (city) courts with living accommodations shall be made by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
4. While exercising judicial powers, a judge transferred to the reserve of the defence forces of Georgia shall not be subject to conscription in times of mobilisation or war declared in the country or to a military training.
5. Appointing a person as a judge shall not terminate his/her membership in a public association. A person who has been appointed as a judge ceases to be a member of a political association.
6. A judge shall be granted a leave under the procedure established by Articles 62 and 64 of the Law of Georgia on Public Service.
7. The procedure for compensating the business trip expenses of the chairperson, first vice chairperson and chairperson of the Supreme Court, a member of the Supreme Court, the chairperson and vice chairperson of a court of appeals, the chairperson of a district (city) court and a general court judge shall be approved by the High Council of Justice of Georgia according to the ranking of similar officials established for the government agencies.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3523 of 21 July 2010 – LHG I, No 46, 4.8.2010, Art. 286

Organic Law of Georgia No 4461 of 22 March 2011 – website, 1.4.2011

Organic Law of Georgia No 1489 of 1 November 2013 – website, 13.11.2013

Organic Law of Georgia No 2034 of 7 March 2018 – website, 29.3.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3623 of 31 October 2018 – website, 21.11.2018

Article 69 – Remuneration of a judge

1. The remuneration of a judge shall consist of an official salary and a salary increment.

2. A monthly official salary rate of a judge shall be as follows:

- a) of the chairperson of the Supreme Court – GEL 7 000;
- b) of the first deputy chairperson of the Supreme Court – GEL 6 500;
- c) of a deputy chairperson of the Supreme Court – GEL 6 300;
- d) of a judge of the Supreme Court – GEL 6 000;
- e) of the chairperson of a court of appeals – GEL 5 800;
- f) of a deputy chairperson of a court of appeals – GEL 5 600;
- g) of the chairperson of a chamber (panel) of a court of appeals – GEL 5 300;
- h) of a judge of a court of appeals – GEL 5 000;
- i) of the chairperson of a district (city) court – GEL 4 600;
- j) of the chairperson of a panel of a district (city) court – GEL 4 300;
- k) of a judge, and of a magistrate judge of a district (city) court – GEL 4 000;
- l) of a judge on the reserve list – GEL 500.

3. The remuneration of a judge seconded to another court under this Law shall be defined as the amount of an official salary of a judge of an appropriate court if the amount of the above official salary exceeds the amount of an official salary of a judge in a court where he/she is appointed under the statutory procedure.

4. The official salary of a judge may not be reduced throughout the whole period of his/her term of office.

5. Material benefits of a judge shall be defined by the legislation of Georgia.

6. A salary increment of a judge (except for a judge of the Supreme Court) shall be defined by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

7. The amount of an official salary increment of a judge of the Supreme Court shall be defined by the Plenum of the Supreme Court.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Article 70 - Procedure for awarding a state compensation to general court judges

1. Upon expiry of the term of office or reaching a retirement age, a Supreme Court judge shall be awarded a state compensation of GEL 1 200.

2. A Georgian general court judge (other than a Supreme Court judge) who has been appointed to the office of a judge under Article 35 of this Law shall be awarded a state compensation in the manner and in the amount determined by the Law of Georgia on State Compensation and State Academic Scholarship.

Article 71 - Benefits in the case of a judge's death, severe injury or recognition as a person with disability status

1. If a judge dies while he is serving as a judge, his/her family shall be awarded a lump-sum compensation of GEL 25 000 from the State Budget of Georgia.

2. If while serving as a judge a judge suffers severe injury, a bodily injury or any other aggravation of health as a result of which he/she is recognised as a person with a disability status, he/she shall be awarded a lump-sum compensation of GEL 10 000 from the State Budget of Georgia.

If a judge dies, his/her family member(s) shall be awarded state compensation in the manner and in the amount prescribed by the Law of Georgia on State Compensation and State Academic Scholarship.

Article 72 - Insurance of judges

1. Insurance on a judge's life and health shall be mandatory. The insurance cost of the chairperson and members of the Supreme Court shall be paid from the budget of the Supreme Court, while the insurance cost of judges of courts of appeals and district (city) courts shall be paid from the budget of general courts.

2. The mandatory insurance on the chairperson and members of the Supreme Court shall be performed by means of a voucher or under a contract concluded between the Supreme Court and a licensed insurance organisation in the manner prescribed by the legislation of Georgia. The mandatory insurance of judges of courts of appeals and district (city) courts shall be performed under a contract concluded between the Department of General Courts and a licensed insurance organisation according to the procedure laid down by the legislation of Georgia or by means of a voucher.

Chapter XII¹ – Procedure for Communication with Judges of General Courts of Georgia

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3796 of 30 November 2018 – website, 13.12.2018

Article 72¹ – Inadmissibility of communication with a judge

1. From the moment a case is submitted to a court until the court judgment made on the case takes effect, and at the stage of criminal investigation, any communication of a participant to legal proceedings, an interested person, a public servant, a state servant, a state political official and a political official, which is related to the consideration of a specific case or issue, and/or to a presumable result of a case, and which fails to comply with the principles of independence and impartiality of court/judge, and of the adversarial nature of legal proceedings.

2. The liability under this Chapter shall not be entailed for an action which contains the elements of a crime under the Criminal Code of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3796 of 30 November 2018 – website, 13.12.2018

Article 72² – Liability of a judge (chairperson of court)

1. In the case of communication under Article 72¹(1) of this Law of a participant of legal proceedings, an interested person, a public servant, a state servant, a state political official and a political official with a judge, the judge shall immediately notify in writing the chairperson of the court or a judge authorised by him/her. If there was communication with the chairperson of the court, the chairperson of the court shall immediately notify in writing the chairperson of a higher instance court or a judge authorised by him/her. If there was communication with a judge of the Supreme Court, he/she shall immediately notify in writing the first deputy chairperson of the Supreme Court or a deputy authorised by the chairperson of the Supreme Court. If there was communication with the Chairperson of the Supreme Court, he/she shall immediately notify in writing the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

2. A judge authorised to consider a written notification of the communication with a judge, and the High Council of Justice of Georgia in the cases provided for by this Chapter may, in the case of communication with a judge under Article 72¹(1) of this Law, apply the following measures to a participant to legal proceedings, an interested person, a public servant, a state servant, a state political official and a political official:

a) to make a decision on imposing a fine on him/her;

b) with respect to a public servant, to raise an issue before the Secretary of the High Council of Georgia of imposing disciplinary liability on him/her.

3. Failure to comply by a judge (the chairperson of court) with the requirements under Article 72¹(1) of this Law and paragraphs 1 and 2 of this article shall entail the imposition of disciplinary liability provided for by Chapter XIII¹ of this Law under the procedure determined by this Law.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3796 of 30 November 2018 – website, 13.12.2018

Article 72³ – Liability of an employee of a prosecutor's office

Failure to comply with the requirement under Article 72¹ of this Law by an employee of a prosecutor's office shall be considered to be an unsuitable conduct for an employee of a prosecutor's office, and shall entail disciplinary liability under the Organic Law of Georgia on Prosecutor's Office, and the imposition of a fine under the procedure determined by this Chapter.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3796 of 30 November 2018 – website, 13.12.2018

Article 72⁴ – Liability of a lawyer

Failure to comply with the requirement under Article 72¹ of this Law by a lawyer shall be considered to be a violation of the lawyers' professional ethical standards, and shall entail the imposition of disciplinary liability under the Law of Georgia on Lawyers, and of a fine under the procedure determined by this Chapter.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3796 of 30 November 2018 – website, 13.12.2018

Article 72⁵ – Liability of an investigator

Failure to comply with the requirement under Article 72¹ of this Law by an investigator shall entail the imposition of disciplinary liability under the legislation of Georgia and of a fine under the procedure determined by this Chapter.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3796 of 30 November 2018 – website, 13.12.2018

Article 72⁶ – Liability of a public servant, state servant, state political official and political official

Failure to comply with the requirement under Article 72¹ of this Law by a public servant, a state servant, a state political official and a political official shall entail the imposition of a fine under the procedure determined by this Chapter, and in the case of a public servant, it shall also entail the imposition of disciplinary liability under the legislation of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3796 of 30 November 2018 – website, 13.12.2018

Article 72⁷ – Imposition of a fine on a participant of legal proceedings, interested person, public servant, state servant, state political official and political official

1. Failure to comply with the requirement under this Chapter by a participant of legal proceedings, an interested person, and a public servant –

shall carry a fine of not more than GEL 5 000.

2. Failure to comply with the requirement under this Chapter by a state servant, a state political official and a political official –

shall carry a fine of not more than GEL 10 000.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3796 of 30 November 2018 – website, 13.12.2018

Article 72⁸ – Consideration of a fact of a prohibited form of communication with a judge

1. A written notification of the communication under Article 72¹(1) of this Law with a judge shall be considered by an authorised judge within 14 days after receiving it, and he/she shall make a decision on imposing a fine on a participant of legal proceedings, an interested person, a public servant, a state servant, a state political official and a political official, and in the case of a public servant, he/she shall make a decision on raising an issue before the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia of imposing disciplinary liability on the public servant.

2. The following persons shall have the right to participate in the consideration of a written notification of communication with a judge: a person who, based on the written notification, had the communication under Article 72¹(1) of this Law with a judge, his/her defence lawyer (legal representative), and the author of the written notification of communication. A judge authorised to consider a written notification of communication with a judge shall have the right to summon and question a person whose evidence has an essential significance for the consideration of the written notification, and to offer the parties to present documents to verify the information specified in the written notification, and other evidence. A judge authorised to consider a written notification of communication under Article 72¹(1) of this Law with a judge may hold an oral hearing involving the parties. Failure of the parties to appear shall not impede the consideration of the written notification of communication with a judge. If the parties participate in the oral hearing, they shall have the right to provide explanations to the judge authorised to consider the notification, and to formulate their opinions. An order on imposing a fine must be substantiated and must include a reference to the failure to comply with the requirements defined in this Chapter, and to the circumstances that prove the fact of committing this failure. The order on imposing a fine shall immediately be forwarded to the parties and to the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

3. The Secretary of the High Council of Georgia shall consider a written notification of a prohibited form of communication with a judge within one month after it is received.

4. If the requirements under this Law are not complied with, the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be authorised to:

a) apply with a recommendation to an appropriate official under Article 72⁹(1–4) of this Law for proper response;

b) forward the case materials submitted to the appropriate investigative bodies according to the jurisdiction if, as a result of consideration of the materials, he/she concludes that the action may contain elements of a crime under the Criminal Code of Georgia.

5. If a judge authorised to consider a written notification of communication with a judge fails to consider the written notification of communication under Article 72¹(1) of this Law with a judge within the period determined under paragraph 1 of this article, the judge that prepared the written notification shall be authorised to apply to the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

6. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall consider a written notification of communication under Article 72¹(1) of this Law with a judge within one month after receiving it, and it shall make a decision on imposing a fine on a participant of legal proceedings, an interested person, a public servant, a state servant, a state political official and a political official, and in the case of a public servant, it shall make a decision on applying to an official under Article 72⁹(1–4) of this Law for an appropriate response to the issue of imposing disciplinary liability on a public servant. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall consider a written notification of communication under Article 72¹(1) of this Law with a judge under the procedure determined in paragraph 2 of this article.

7. If a judge authorised to consider a written notification of communication under Article 72¹(1) of this Law or the High Council of Justice of Georgia conclude, as a result of consideration of the materials submitted, that the action may contain elements of a crime under the Criminal Code of Georgia, he/she shall forward the case materials to appropriate investigative bodies according to the jurisdiction.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3796 of 30 November 2018 – website, 13.12.2018

Article 72⁹ – Recommendation of the Secretary of the High Council of Georgia on a prohibited form of communication with judges

1. If an employee of a prosecutor’s office fails to comply with the requirements of this Chapter, the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall apply to the General Prosecutor of Georgia with a recommendation to respond, and shall forward appropriate materials to him/her.

2. If a lawyer fails to comply with the requirements defined in this Chapter, the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall apply to the chairperson of the Georgian Bar Association with a recommendation to respond, and shall forward appropriate materials to him/her.

3. If an investigator fails to comply with the requirements defined in this Chapter, the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall apply to an appropriate official with a recommendation to respond, and shall forward appropriate materials to him/her.

4. If a public servant fails to comply with the requirements defined in this Chapter, the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall apply to an appropriate authorised body or official with a recommendation to respond, and shall forward appropriate materials to them.

5. The officials under paragraph (1–4) of this article shall consider the recommendation of the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia within one month after receiving it and shall notify the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia of the decision made. The failure to fulfil this obligation shall be considered as the decision to refuse to impose disciplinary liability.

6. The Secretary of the High Council of Georgia shall be authorised to appeal the decision on refusing to impose disciplinary liability under the procedure determined by the legislation of Georgia for appealing an individual administrative-legal act.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3796 of 30 November 2018 – website, 13.12.2018

Article 72¹⁰ – Appeal of an order to impose a fine

1. An order of a judge authorised to consider a written notification of communication under Article 72¹(1) of this Law with a judge regarding the imposition of a fine on a participant of legal proceedings, an interested person, a public servant, a state servant, a state political official and a political official may be appealed only once within 3 days to the chairperson of a court of a higher instance or his/her authorised judge by a person on whom a fine was imposed, or his/her lawyer (legal representative), and by the judge with whom, based on his/her notification, the communication under Article 72¹(1) of this Law was conducted, and an order of a deputy chairperson of the Supreme Court may be appealed to the chairperson of the Supreme Court.

2. When considering an appeal, a person considering the appeal shall verify whether a judge authorised to consider a written notification of communication with a judge has complied with the requirements under Article 72⁸ of this Law when issuing an order on imposing a fine.

3. A person considering an appeal shall consider an appeal within 7 days and shall make one of the following decisions on:

a) upholding the appealed order and refusing to grant the appeal;

b) revoking, or changing the appealed order, or fully or partially granting the appeal.

4. Copies of a resolution made as a result of considering an appeal shall be passed to the parties and submitted to the High Council of Justice of Georgia. The resolution shall be final and shall not be appealed.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3796 of 30 November 2018 – website, 13.12.2018

Article 72¹¹ – Publicity of information on communication with judges of general courts of Georgia

1. A judge authorised to consider a written notification of communication under Article 72¹(1) of this Law with a judge shall immediately submit an order on imposing a fine, and any other statistical information on the application of this Chapter to the Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
2. Information on the communication under Article 72¹(1) of this Law with a judge shall be public, except for information of the identity of a judge with whom the communication was conducted, and for the information of a case on which the communication was conducted.
3. To ensure publicity of the information on the communication under Article 72¹(1) of this Law with judges of general courts of Georgia, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall maintain, under the legislation of Georgia, the unified database of statistical information on the application of this Chapter, which also includes the information on the identity of persons imposed with a fine under the procedure determined by this Chapter.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3796 of 30 November 2018 – website, 13.12.2018

Chapter XIII - Location of the Supreme Court; Symbols of the Judiciary**Article 73 - Location of the Supreme Court**

The location of the Supreme Court shall be the Palace of Justice House of Georgia in Tbilisi.

Article 74 - Symbols of the judiciary and the procedure for their approval

1. The symbols of the judiciary shall be the official insignia of judges designed and approved by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
2. A judge shall participate in the judicial hearing of a case wearing a special uniform and official insignia approved by the High Council of Justice of Georgia.
3. A judge shall be issued an identity card that certifies his/her position and is signed by the chairperson of the Supreme Court. The identity card certifying the position of the chairperson of the Supreme Court shall be signed by the chairperson of the Parliament of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 1489 of 1 November 2013 – website, 13.11.2013

Article 75 - Court seal

A court seal shall bear the National Coat of Arms of Georgia and the name of the court.

Chapter XIII¹ – Disciplinary Liability of Judges of General Courts of Georgia and Disciplinary Proceedings

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75¹ – Grounds for disciplinary misconduct of a judge and types of disciplinary misconduct

1. Disciplinary liability shall be imposed on a judge only if the fact of perpetration of a disciplinary misconduct by the judge is established by conducting disciplinary proceedings laid down in this Chapter.
2. A judge shall be assigned disciplinary liability only for culpable conduct, i.e. only if he or she could objectively avert disciplinary misconduct by resorting to an appropriate action, but has not done so.
3. Only an action committed by a judge intentionally or due to negligence and referenced in this law shall constitute disciplinary misconduct.
4. A conduct which a judge realized could cause harm at a time when he or she was perpetrating it shall be considered intentional disciplinary misconduct.
5. A conduct which a judge did not realize could cause harm at a time when he or she was perpetrating it, although he or she was expected to, and could reasonably realize it, shall be considered disciplinary misconduct by negligence.
6. An incorrect interpretation of a law, which is based on judge's inner conviction, shall not constitute disciplinary misconduct, and disciplinary liability shall not be imposed on a judge for such conduct.
7. A conduct which formally bears characteristics of some type of conduct described in this law, but due to its insignificance does not cause harm that would necessitate attribution of disciplinary liability, or does not create a risk of causing harm, shall not be considered disciplinary misconduct.
8. The following shall constitute disciplinary misconduct:
 - a) Conduct that violates the principle of independence, in particular:
 - a.a) Political or social influence or influence of personal interests when a judge exercises judiciary powers;
 - a.b) Judge's interference in other judge's activities for the purpose of influencing the outcome;
 - b) Conduct that violates the principle of impartiality, in particular:

b.a) Public expression of an opinion by a judge on a case currently handled by court. Judge's commentary on organizational and technical matters pertaining to the case currently handled by court for the purpose of informing the public shall not constitute disciplinary misconduct;

b.b) Disclosure of the outcome of a case to be heard by a judge in advance, except in the circumstances specified by the Georgian procedural law;

b.c) Violation of Clause 1, Article 72¹ or Clause 1, Article 72² by a judge;

b.d) Judge's refusal to recuse oneself or satisfy a request for recusal when clear legal grounds for recusal exist;

b.e) Accession to membership in a political association, engagement in political activities, public support for a political entity running in an election, or public expression of a political opinion by a judge;

b.f) Illegal interference by a judge in the process of distribution of cases in a court;

b.g.) Expression of opinion by a judge in violation of the principle of political neutrality;

c) Conduct that violates the principle of integrity, in particular:

c.a) Corruption-related offenses, i.e. perpetration by a judge of offenses under articles 5, 5², 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 13⁴, 13⁵, 20⁴ of the Law of Georgia on Conflict of Interests and Corruption in Public Institutions;

c.b) Hindering disciplinary proceedings by a judge;

d) Conduct that violates the principle of propriety, in particular;

d.a) Establishment of personal and intense (friendly, familial) relations with a participant in a process to be held for a case to be handled by him or her personally, which results in the judge's bias and/or placement of a participant in a process in a favorable position, if the judge had an information about the side;

d.b) Sexual harassment by a judge;

d.c) Disclosure of confidentiality of a judiciary council by a judge;

e) Conduct that violates the principle of equality, in particular:

e.a) Discriminatory verbal or other action by a judge towards any person on any grounds, performed when performing judiciary duties;

e.b) Judge's failure to react if he or she witnesses a discriminatory verbal or other action towards a participant in a process by a court staffer or a participant in a process;

f) Conduct that violates the principle of competence and diligence, in particular:

f.a.) Substantial violation of the term determined by the procedural legislation of Georgia by a judge for an unreasonable excuse. The excuse for the violation of this term shall not be considered unreasonable if the judge was unable to observe the term due to objective

circumstances directly related to the administration of justice (number of cases, complexity of the case, etc.);

f.b) Expression of undisguised disrespect by a judge towards a different judge, a court staffer, or a participant in a court process;

f.c) Judge's failure to react if he or she witnesses a legal violation or disciplinary misconduct described in this clause by a different judge, a court staffer, or a participant in a court process;

f.d) Judge's failure to fulfil or improper fulfilment of appropriate administrative duties, in particular, duties of a head of a court, a judiciary panel, or chairperson of a chamber;

g) Any conduct incompatible with the exalted status of a judge (action (conduct) unbecoming the exalted status of a judge, perpetrated in or outside a court, which clearly disturbs public order or universally recognized moral standards and thereby damages the standing of, or undermines trust in, the court)

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.04.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 75² – Time limits of disciplinary proceedings

Disciplinary liability shall not be imposed on a judge if 3 years have passed from the day when disciplinary misconduct was committed until the initiation of disciplinary proceedings for misconduct, and 1 year has passed from the day when the decision was made to impose disciplinary liability.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.04.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 75³ – Disciplinary Penalties and Disciplinary Actions

1. The following shall be disciplinary penalties:

a) Warning;

b) Reprimand;

c) Severe reprimand;

d) Withholding 5% to 20% of salary for no longer than 6 months' period;

e) Dismissal of the chairperson, the first deputy or a deputy chairperson of a court, the chairperson of a judicial panel or chamber from position;

f) Striking out of a judge.

1¹. A rebuke, reprimand, severe reprimand, dismissal of a judge from office can be applied only as a primary disciplinary penalty.

1². A dismissal of the chairperson, the first deputy or a deputy chairperson of a court, the chairperson of a judicial panel or chamber from office and deduction from 5% to 20% from the salary can be used as a primary, as well as additional disciplinary penalty.

2. The following shall be the disciplinary actions:

a) Application to a judge with a private note of recommendation;

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 75⁴ – Confidentiality of disciplinary proceedings

1. The process of disciplinary proceedings shall be confidential. A judge against whom disciplinary proceedings are in progress shall have the right to demand that the meetings of the Judicial Panel of Judges of General Courts of Georgia and of the Disciplinary Chamber of the Supreme Court of Georgia be public, and that the meeting held by the High Council of Justice of Georgia to make a decision under Article 75¹³ of this Law (except for the deliberations and decision-making procedures) be public. Duly authorised officials and public servants shall keep confidentiality of any information that has become known to them during disciplinary proceedings, except as provided for by this Law.

2. A decision on terminating, suspending or resuming disciplinary proceedings against a judge, and a decision under Article 75⁴¹(1)(e) of this Law shall be forwarded to the author of an appeal (application) and an appropriate judge within 5 days after it was made.

3. A decision on initiating disciplinary prosecution against a judge and imposing disciplinary liability on a judge, and decisions under Article 75⁴¹(1)(c) and (d) of this Law shall also be forwarded to the author of an appeal (application/notification) within 5 days after they were made if a general court has ceased to hear a case in relation to which disciplinary proceedings were conducted.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁵ – Grounds for Initiating Disciplinary Proceedings Against a Judge

1. The following may constitute the grounds for initiating disciplinary proceedings against a judge:

a) a complaint or application of any person, except for an anonymous complaint or application;

b) an explanatory note of another judge, a member of court or the High Council of Justice of Georgia or an officer of the administration of court or the High Council of Justice of Georgia with regard to the commission of a disciplinary misconduct by a judge;

c) a notification by an investigative body;

d) information disseminated through mass media, and information in the report and/or proposal of the Public Defender of Georgia with respect to an act committed by a judge that may be considered as a disciplinary misconduct.

2. The complaint (application) under paragraph 1(a) of this article shall comply with the sample form approved by the High Council of Justice of Georgia, and shall usually be filled out in printed form. The complaint (application) can also be submitted in an electronic form. The complaint (application) shall be submitted to the Independent Inspector's Service. The submission of a complaint (application) that fails to comply with the sample form approved by the High Council of Justice of Georgia may not become a ground for refusing to accept (register) it.

3. If in the complaint (application) under Paragraph 1(a) of this Article an identity of the judge, the case and / or the fact of possible disciplinary misconduct are not specified, the Independent Inspector will identify the deficiency of the complaint (application) and set a deadline of no more than 5 days to eliminate it. In case if the deficiency will not be corrected within the mentioned period, the complaint (application) will not be considered.

4. The Independent Inspector shall immediately notify the relevant judge of receiving a complaint, application or any other information on the commission of a disciplinary misconduct by a judge.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁶ – Authority to Initiate Disciplinary Proceedings Against a Judge

Disciplinary proceedings against a judge shall be initiated, and the preliminary examination and investigation of a disciplinary case shall be conducted by the Independent Inspector. The Independent Inspector shall submit his/her reasoned conclusions and opinions to the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 75⁷ – Initiation of disciplinary proceedings and preliminary examination

1. The Independent Inspector shall, within 1 months after receiving a complaint, application or other information on committing a disciplinary misconduct by a judge, preliminarily examine its justification. In carrying out these procedures, the Independent Inspector relies on the standard of reasonable belief. The period of the preliminary examination may be extended by 2 weeks, or suspended if the examination cannot be conducted.

1¹. The Independent Inspector shall be authorized, when verifying the validity of a complaint, application, or other information on the commission of a disciplinary misconduct by a judge, to directly access the electronic database of the Public Service Development Agency, legal entity under public law, the electronic database of the National Agency of Public Registry, legal entity under public law, the electronic database of the Notary Chamber of Georgia, legal entity under public law, the electronic database of the National Bureau of Enforcement, legal entity under public law, and the electronic database of the Revenue Service, legal entity under public law. In the process of verification, the Independent Inspector shall also be authorized, within 10 days from receiving an application, to request information about administrative penalties imposed and criminal record using an interactive electronic system, and information about crossings of the national border, motor vehicle, and registration of firearms directly from the automated search resource of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of Georgia. The Independent Inspector shall be furthermore authorized to send a substantiated letter to the Ministry of Internal Affairs of Georgia with request to supply more information, which the Internal Affairs Ministry of Georgia can decide to release in compliance with the Georgian law.

1². If the Independent Inspector discovers evidence of a criminal offense during the preliminary examination and investigation of a disciplinary case, he or she shall apply to the High Council of Justice of Georgia with a substantiated recommendation to make a decision on transfer of the materials of the case to the Prosecutor's Office of Georgia.

2. Circumstances not specified in the complaint, application or other information regarding commission of a disciplinary misconduct by a judge but were identified during the preliminary examination may serve as a basis for imposing disciplinary liability on the judge.

3. The disciplinary proceedings may not be re-initiated against the same judge on the same grounds that were used in the disciplinary proceedings.

4. Powers of the Independent Inspector during disciplinary proceedings shall be defined under this Law and relevant legal acts of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 75⁸ – Evaluation of the Validity of the Grounds for Initiating Disciplinary Proceedings Against a Judge

1. As a result of the preliminary examination, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall evaluate the validity of the grounds for initiating disciplinary prosecution against a judge and shall, within the overall time limit determined under Article 75⁷(1) of this Law for conducting preliminary examination, make a substantiated decision, by a majority of the total number of members, to initiate disciplinary prosecution against the judge and to take explanations from the judge. In making this decision, the High Council of Justice of Georgia relies on the standard of reasonable belief. If the High Council of Justice of Georgia fails to make such a decision, the disciplinary prosecution against the judge shall be terminated. A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia who disagrees on this decision may express his/her dissenting opinion in a written form, which will be attached to the disciplinary case.

2. If the High Council of Justice of Georgia makes a decision to take explanations from a judge, the grounds for disciplinary prosecution must be specified in the decision with the indication of an appropriate subclause of Article 75¹(8) of this Law. Provision of an explanation shall be the right of a judge.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 75⁹ – Consolidation of disciplinary cases into one proceeding

The Independent Inspector, and the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be authorised, by its own decision, to consolidate two or more than two disciplinary cases conducted on different grounds against one judge into one proceeding.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75¹⁰ – Examination of a disciplinary case. Challenge and recusal of the Independent Inspector

1. Investigation of a disciplinary case must be completed within one month from making the decision to take explanations from a judge. If necessary, this period may be extended by not more than two weeks.

2. Written explanations shall be taken from a judge against whom a disciplinary proceeding is in progress. The Independent Inspector shall be authorised to take written explanations from the author of an appeal (application). The Independent Inspector shall be authorised to request all the information, documents and materials related to the fact of committing a disciplinary misconduct, and to invite another person to hear his/her information. The Independent Inspector shall consider a motion of a judge against whom disciplinary prosecution is in progress, and take additional explanations from the judge if he/she requests so. The judge shall have the right to defence during the investigation of a disciplinary case. He/she may invite a lawyer or another judge, or another representative to defend him/her.

3. Preliminary examination and investigation of a disciplinary case must be conducted objectively, comprehensively and impartially. Both the mitigating and the aggravating factors of the judge's liability must be examined.

4. A judge shall have the right to challenge the Independent Inspector. The challenge must be substantiated. A motion of the judge must be granted if the suspicion of impartiality of the Independent Inspector in a given case is grounded. If the same ground exists, the Independent Inspector shall recuse himself/herself. The issue of challenging the Independent Inspector shall be reviewed by a three-member Panel (2 judges and 1 non-judge) of the High Council of Justice of Georgia to be selected by casting lots. If the motion of the judge is granted, the disciplinary case shall be investigated by a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia to be identified under the procedure established by the Regulations of the High Council of Justice of

Georgia. The issue of challenging this member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be resolved under this Paragraph. A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, who is to exercise the powers granted to the Independent Inspector by this Law, shall not be involved in making the decision to impose disciplinary liability on the judge with regard to the given case. The period spent during the decision-making process with regard to challenging the Independent Inspector shall not be included in the disciplinary case investigation period.

5. During disciplinary proceedings, control over legality of the acts issued by a judge shall not be permitted.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75¹¹ – Suspension of disciplinary proceedings

1. Disciplinary proceedings shall be suspended if:

a) the disciplinary case investigation materials expressly indicate commission of a crime by a judge. In this event, the disciplinary case materials shall be forwarded to an investigative body. If criminal prosecution is not initiated and/or a judgment of conviction is not made against the judge, and if the period for imposing disciplinary liability on the judge is not expired, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall resume the disciplinary proceeding;

b) an objective difficulty or obstacle arose during investigation of a disciplinary case (the illness of a judge against whom the disciplinary prosecution is in progress, or any other case) that makes the case investigation temporarily impossible. In this event, the Independent Inspector shall, by his/her decision, suspend the disciplinary proceeding. When the grounds for suspending the disciplinary proceeding are eliminated, the Independent Inspector shall resume the proceedings.

2. The period of suspending a disciplinary proceeding shall not be included in the period determined under this Law for the investigation of a disciplinary case, nor shall it be included in a one-year period of imposing disciplinary liability, but the period of suspension shall be included in a five-year period determined by this Law for imposing disciplinary liability.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75¹² – Grounds for terminating disciplinary proceedings against a judge

1. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall make a substantiated decision to terminate disciplinary proceedings against a judge if:

a) as a result of investigation of the disciplinary proceedings, the fact of committing a disciplinary misconduct under this Law, or its culpable commission by a judge is not proved;

b) the time limit for imposing disciplinary liability, or imposing disciplinary liability and penalty on a judge has expired;

c) there is a decision made by the body conducting disciplinary proceedings against the same judge and on the same grounds;

d) judicial powers of a judge have been terminated.

2. The decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia to terminate disciplinary proceedings against a judge shall be communicated to the respective judge and shall be published on the webpage of the High Council of Justice of Georgia without identifying information of the judge and other persons – parties to the disciplinary proceedings. If the judge has requested that the disciplinary proceedings be public under Article 75⁴ of this Law, the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia to terminate disciplinary proceedings against the judge shall be published with identifying information of the judge.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75¹³ – Imposing disciplinary liability on, or terminating disciplinary proceedings against a judge

1. Following the disciplinary case investigation, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall, within the overall time limit determined under Article 75¹⁰(1) of this Law for the investigation of a disciplinary case, make a substantiated decision, by a majority of the total number of members, to impose disciplinary liability on a judge. If the High Council of Justice of Georgia fails to make such a decision, disciplinary proceedings against the judge shall be terminated. A member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia who disagrees on this decision may express his/her dissenting opinion in a written form, which will be attached to the disciplinary case.

2. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall also be authorised to order the Independent Inspector to conduct additional investigation into the disciplinary case and give appropriate instructions. In this event, the time limit for investigation of the disciplinary case shall be extended by two weeks. This time limit may not be further extended. Following the investigation of the disciplinary case, this issue shall be put to vote again for the High Council of Justice of Georgia to make the decision.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75¹⁴ – Decision on imposing disciplinary liability on a judge

1. The decision on imposing disciplinary liability on a judge must contain the summary of a disciplinary accusation filed against him/her.

2. By the same decision, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall appoint its representative to the Disciplinary Board of Judges of General Courts of Georgia to support the disciplinary accusation during the case hearing. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be authorised to appoint several representatives to support the disciplinary accusation, or replace its representative at any stage of the disciplinary proceedings.

3. A copy of the decision together with copies of the case materials shall be handed to the judge imposed with disciplinary liability.

4. The judge imposed with disciplinary liability shall have the right, within 10 days after receiving a copy of the decision, to submit to the High Council of Justice of Georgia a written statement of defence with regard to the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia on imposing disciplinary liability on him/her and appropriate evidence. Within three days after the statement of defence is submitted by the judge or the time limit determined for submission of the statement of defence is expired, materials of the disciplinary case, together with the documents submitted by the judge, shall be forwarded to the Disciplinary Board of Judges of General Courts of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75¹⁵ – Making a decision on disciplinary matters by the High Council of Justice of Georgia

1. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall make a decision on disciplinary matters under this Law and the procedure determined by the Regulations of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

2. A meeting for considering a disciplinary matter shall be chaired by the chairperson of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

3. The Secretary of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall convene a meeting of the High Council of Justice of Georgia to consider a disciplinary matter.

4. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall, at the meeting, consider the issue of imposing disciplinary liability on a judge, and the related materials. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall invite a respective judge to the meeting. If the High Council of Justice of Georgia considers it necessary, the author of an appeal (application/notification) may be invited to the meeting. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall hear the information and explanations of the invited persons.

5. If a judge admits the accusation, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall make the decision to impose disciplinary liability on the judge and forwards the disciplinary case materials under the procedure determined by Article 75¹⁴(4) of this Law to the Disciplinary Board of Judges of General Courts of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3262 of 21 July 2018 – website, 10.8.2018

Article 75¹⁷ – A body considering disciplinary cases and the legal basis for its activities

1. Disciplinary cases against judges of general courts of Georgia shall be considered by the Disciplinary Board of Judges of General Courts of Georgia ('the Disciplinary Board').

2. The Disciplinary Board shall perform its activities under this Law and upon recommendation of the Disciplinary Board, under the procedure established by the Conference of Judges of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75¹⁸ – Binding nature of executing Disciplinary Board decisions

Execution of a Disciplinary Board decision shall be binding.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75¹⁹ – Procedure for setting up the Disciplinary Board

1. The Disciplinary Board shall consist of five members; three of them are the judges of general courts of Georgia, and two of them are not judges. The member judges of the Disciplinary Board shall be elected by the Conference of Judges of Georgia. Any judge attending the Conference of Judges of Georgia may nominate before the Conference of Judges a candidate for member of the Disciplinary Board. The members of the Disciplinary Board that are not judges shall be elected by the Parliament of Georgia. The procedure and term for nominating candidates to the Parliament of Georgia, determining compliance with the established requirements, for considering and submitting them to a parliamentary plenary session, and electing them as members of the Disciplinary Board shall be determined by the Regulations of the Parliament of Georgia. A member of the Disciplinary Board shall be elected for a two-year term.

2. The Parliament of Georgia may elect as a Disciplinary Board member a citizen of Georgia who has higher legal education, at least 10-years' experience of working in the profession, enjoys a high reputation and is a recognised expert in the field of law. A prior written consent of a candidate for membership shall be required when electing him/her as a Disciplinary Board member.

3. A Disciplinary Board member elected by the Parliament of Georgia may not hold any other position in the civil service, be engaged in entrepreneurial activities, personally exercise powers of a member of a standing administrative, supervisory, monitoring, auditing or advisory body of an entrepreneurial entity, or be engaged in any paid activity other than scientific, pedagogical and creative activities. He/she may not be a member of any political association and/or participate in political activities.

4. A Disciplinary Board member may not be:

a) the chairperson of the Supreme Court of Georgia, his/her first deputy or a deputy; the chairperson of a court, his/her first deputy or a deputy; the chairperson of a Board or a Chamber, or a person who has held any of such positions within the last one year;

b) a member of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

5. A judge may not be a member of the Disciplinary Board if disciplinary liability and penalty have been imposed on him/her within the last five years for committing a disciplinary misconduct.

6. The following shall be the grounds for terminating powers of a Disciplinary Board member:

- a) his/her personal application;
- b) entry into legal force of the final judgement of conviction against him/her;
- c) termination of his/her Georgian citizenship;
- d) expiry of his/her term of office;
- e) recognising him/her as a person with limited legal capacity or a beneficiary of support by court, unless otherwise defined by the court judgment;
- f) his/her death;
- g) disclosure of confidential information about a disciplinary proceeding;
- h) commission of a disciplinary misconduct;
- i) regular failures to fulfil his/her duties or their improper fulfilment;
- j) holding of an incompatible position or engagement in incompatible activities.

7. Violation of other standards of judicial ethics may be the grounds for terminating powers of a Disciplinary Board member.

8. A decision on terminating powers of a Disciplinary Board member shall be made respectively by the Parliament of Georgia, or the Conference of Judges of Georgia, which shall examine the grounds for terminating the powers of the Disciplinary Board member. They shall be authorised to invite a respective member to the session to hear his/her opinion. Under any of the circumstances specified in paragraph 6(a-f) of this article, the Parliament of Georgia or the Conference of Judges of Georgia shall accept the information on the existence of such circumstance for reference, without making a decision; and under any of the circumstances specified in paragraph 6(g-j) of this article, they shall put the decision on terminating the powers of a Disciplinary Board member to vote.

9. The Disciplinary Board shall consider a disciplinary case of its member without participation of the member.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 3903 of 6 December 2018 – website, 14.12.2018

Article 75²⁰ – Chairperson of the Disciplinary Board

The Chairperson of the Disciplinary Board shall be elected by the Disciplinary Board from among the member judges of the Board. The chairperson of the Disciplinary Board shall chair the Board meetings and shall exercise other powers defined under the legislation of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75²¹ – Remuneration for fulfilment of duties

A Disciplinary Board member elected by the Parliament of Georgia shall be remunerated for fulfilling his/her duties within the budgetary funds allocated for general courts of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75²² – Place of activity of the Disciplinary Board

The place of activity of the Disciplinary Board shall be the High Council of Justice of Georgia or a location specially allocated for it.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75²³ – The Scope of case consideration by the Disciplinary Board

The Disciplinary Board shall have no right to go beyond the scope of a disciplinary accusation (facts of an accusation). It shall have no right either to propose for a substantive discussion at a Disciplinary Board meeting the facts or circumstances that do not directly relate to the disciplinary accusation brought against a judge, or to find a judge guilty of committing a disciplinary misconduct for which a disciplinary accusation has not been brought against him/her, and to impose disciplinary liability and penalty on him/her.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75²⁴ – Principles of the Disciplinary Board activities

1. The Disciplinary Board shall consider a disciplinary case collegially. The Disciplinary Board shall be duly constituted if at least three Board members are present at its meeting.
2. The Disciplinary Board shall consider a disciplinary case impartially and objectively on the basis of the adversarial principle and the principle of equality of arms.
3. A Disciplinary Board meeting shall be closed, and information related to a disciplinary case hearing shall be confidential, except as provided for by Article 75⁴ of this Law. A Disciplinary Board member and a person bringing a disciplinary accusation shall keep confidentiality of the information.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75²⁵ – Time limit for considering a disciplinary case

The Disciplinary Board shall consider a disciplinary case not later than one month after its admission.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75²⁶ – Place for considering a disciplinary case

The Disciplinary Board shall consider a disciplinary case in a specially allocated room. A disciplinary case may not be considered in a courtroom.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75²⁷ – Procedure for assigning disciplinary cases within the Disciplinary Board

The Chairperson of the Disciplinary Board shall assign disciplinary cases according to the order of cases.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75²⁸ – Withdrawal of a Disciplinary Board member from a disciplinary case

1. A Disciplinary Board member shall withdraw from a disciplinary case consideration if there is any such circumstance that will prevent him/her from considering the case impartially and objectively.
2. A Disciplinary Board member shall withdraw from a disciplinary case if a court ruling, order, or a private ruling issued by him/her or with his/her participation, or his/her explanatory note served as a basis for initiating disciplinary prosecution against a judge.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75²⁹ – Challenge of the Disciplinary Board

1. A judge on whom disciplinary liability is imposed, and a representative of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall have the right to challenge a Disciplinary Board member or a full complement of the Disciplinary Board if there is a ground under Article 75²⁸ of this Law for withdrawing a Disciplinary Board member from a disciplinary case. He/she shall provide the reason for the challenge and substantiate the challenge. The judge imposed with disciplinary liability shall also have the right to challenge a representative of the High Council of Justice of Georgia that has been appointed to support disciplinary charges in his/her case.
2. A motion for challenging the Disciplinary Board, any of its member or a representative appointed to support an accusation shall be considered by the Disciplinary Board in the deliberations room.

3. A motion for a challenge must be granted if the suspicion of impartiality of a Disciplinary Board member, a full complement of the Board or a representative appointed to support an accusation is well-grounded.
4. Revocation or change by a Disciplinary Board member or a representative appointed to support a disciplinary accusation of a court judgment passed by a judge who was previously imposed with disciplinary liability shall not be considered the grounds for the impartiality and challenging of the Disciplinary Board member or the representative appointed to support the disciplinary accusation.
5. A motion for challenging a Disciplinary Board member must be granted if there are any of the circumstances defined under Article 75²⁸(2) of this Law.
6. If a motion for a challenge is granted, the Disciplinary Board shall continue considering the case without the challenged member of the Disciplinary Board.
7. If the Disciplinary Board or a representative appointed to support an accusation is challenged, the period of adjourning a case hearing shall not be included within the time limit set by this Law for imposing a disciplinary penalty.
8. If a motion for a challenge is denied, the Disciplinary Board shall continue hearing a case at the same meeting.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75³⁰ – Termination of a disciplinary proceeding due to expiry of a statutory period

1. When receiving a disciplinary case, the Disciplinary Board shall, before starting the hearing, verify whether the period determined by this law for imposing disciplinary liability on a judge has expired.
2. If the period for imposing disciplinary liability on a judge has expired, the Disciplinary Board shall immediately make a decision on terminating the disciplinary proceeding, except when the period for imposing disciplinary liability on a judge expires due to a deliberate culpable delay by the judge imposed with disciplinary liability. The Disciplinary Board shall communicate this decision to the High Council of Justice of Georgia, and to a judge against whom the disciplinary prosecution is in progress.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75³¹ – Suspension of a disciplinary proceeding due to the presence of elements of crime

1. When receiving a disciplinary case, the Disciplinary Board shall, before starting the case hearing, verify whether an action that served as a basis for imposing disciplinary liability on a judge contains elements of crime.

2. If, based on the disciplinary case materials, the presence of elements of crime in the action of a judge is evident, the Disciplinary Board shall not start hearing the case, but it shall suspend the disciplinary proceedings, forward the case materials to an appropriate body and inform the judge against whom disciplinary prosecution is in progress, and the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

3. If criminal prosecution is not initiated and/or the judgment of conviction is not issued against a judge, except as provided for in Article 75⁴²(1)(d) of this Law, the Disciplinary Board shall, after receiving relevant information, resume the disciplinary proceeding suspended unless the time limit for imposing disciplinary liability is expired.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75³² – Preparation of a disciplinary case for consideration

1. The Disciplinary Board, which was assigned to consider a disciplinary case, shall preliminarily familiarise with the case and fix the date for hearing it by the Board.

2. The Disciplinary Board shall promptly notify the High Council of Justice of Georgia and its representative, and the judge against whom the disciplinary case is in progress, of the place, date and time of the hearing.

3. The Disciplinary Board shall summon witnesses and other persons to participate in a case hearing, and shall notify them of the place, date and time of the hearing.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75³³ – Consideration of a case by the Disciplinary Board

1. The Disciplinary Board shall consider a disciplinary case at a Disciplinary Board meeting. The Chairperson of the Disciplinary Board, and a reporting member in his/her absence, shall chair the meeting.

2. The chairperson of the Disciplinary Board meeting shall open the Board meeting.

3. Consideration of a disciplinary case shall commence with a report by one of the Board members, which lists stages of the disciplinary proceeding and appropriate factual circumstances in a chronological order.

4. After the report, the floor shall be given to the parties: first to a representative of the High Council of Justice of Georgia for bringing a disciplinary accusation, and later, to a judge imposed with disciplinary liability to re-join. The judge imposed with disciplinary liability shall have the right to defence. He/she may invite a lawyer or another judge, or another representative to defend him/her.

5. The parties shall have the right to fully express and appropriately maintain their positions, exchange questions, present written and other types of evidence, file motions for publishing various documents, materials or information, or for hearing information of persons invited to

the meeting, for requesting additional documents or inviting additional persons and hearing their information, for withdrawing a respective legal case, and to take other actions. The motions shall be considered by the Disciplinary Board.

6. The Disciplinary Board shall be authorised to question the parties, or persons invited to participate in the case hearing, request additional documents, materials or information, additionally invite other persons to hear their information, adjourn the case hearing by not more than two weeks, and take other actions.

7. A person bringing a disciplinary accusation shall only bring a disciplinary accusation and substantiate it. He/she may not demand that a certain disciplinary penalty and a disciplinary action be imposed on a judge.

8. The minutes of a meeting shall be drawn up at the Disciplinary Board meeting.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75³⁴ – Ensuring the equality of parties

1. The Disciplinary Board shall provide the parties with equal conditions and opportunities to express and maintain their positions.

2. Disciplinary Board members may not hold prior meetings with, or obtain any information from any party in the absence of the other party, or without communicating the summary of the information to it, unless it relates to an organisational aspect of the case.

3. A Disciplinary Board member shall, before and during a case hearing, refrain from expressing his/her attitude or predisposition in favour of either party, which may raise doubts about the impartiality of the Disciplinary Board.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75³⁵ – Mandatory participation of the parties in a disciplinary case hearing

1. During a disciplinary case hearing, participation of a judge imposed with disciplinary liability and a representative of the High Council of Justice of Georgia in a Disciplinary Board meeting shall be mandatory. If either of the parties fails to appear at the Disciplinary Board meeting, the Disciplinary Board shall adjourn the disciplinary case hearing by not more than two weeks. This time limit shall not be included in a one-month period for considering a disciplinary case by the Disciplinary Board.

2. If it is proved that a judge imposed with disciplinary liability evades a Disciplinary Board meeting or fails to appear at a Disciplinary Board meeting due to an unreasonable excuse, the Disciplinary Board shall be authorised to consider the case *in absentia*, to find him/her guilty if there are appropriate grounds for it, and impose disciplinary liability and penalty on him/her.

3. If a judge imposed with disciplinary liability fails to attend a Disciplinary Board meeting due to a severe illness or another force majeure circumstance, the Disciplinary Board shall be

authorised, by its decision, to suspend the disciplinary proceeding for up to three months. This time limit shall not be included in a one-year period for imposing disciplinary liability on a judge, but shall be included in a five-year period set by this Law for imposing disciplinary liability. If the grounds for suspending the disciplinary proceeding are not cleared within the three-month period, the Disciplinary Board shall be authorised to consider the case in the absence of the judge imposed with disciplinary liability.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75³⁶ – Decision of the Disciplinary Board in the case of withdrawing a disciplinary accusation from a judge, or admitting a disciplinary accusation by a judge

1. A representative of the High Council of Justice of Georgia may, based on a decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, at any stage of considering a disciplinary case (before the Disciplinary Board goes into the deliberations room), withdraw a disciplinary accusation from the judge brought against him/her. In this event, the Disciplinary Board shall terminate the disciplinary case hearing regardless of its stage and consequently, the disciplinary case.

2. A judge imposed with disciplinary liability shall have the right to fully admit the disciplinary accusation before case hearing, and ask the Disciplinary Board to make a decision on finding him/her guilty and imposing disciplinary liability and penalty on him/her without hearing the disciplinary case on the merits. The Disciplinary Board shall grant a motion of the judge and make an appropriate decision.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75³⁷ – Temporary suspension of a case hearing by the Disciplinary Board

1. If, before completing a disciplinary case hearing, the Disciplinary Board receives another disciplinary case initiated against the same judge, the Disciplinary Board shall temporarily suspend the former case hearing, consolidate both disciplinary cases, consider them as a whole and, in case the judge is found guilty of two or more misconducts, to impose on the judge disciplinary liability according to rules determined by Paragraph 2 of the Article 75⁴⁷ of this Law.

2. The Disciplinary Board shall also temporarily suspend a case hearing in other situations under this Law.

3. The period of temporary suspension of a case shall not be included in a one-month period for considering a case by the Disciplinary Board.

4. After the grounds for suspending a disciplinary case hearing are cleared, the Disciplinary Board shall resume the case hearing.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75³⁸ – Noncompliance with the principle of continuity of the proceeding

The principle of continuity of the proceeding shall not be applicable to disciplinary proceedings. If a disciplinary case hearing is adjourned or temporarily suspended, Disciplinary Board members may consider another disciplinary case and resume consideration of the adjourned or temporarily suspended case later. They may consider a disciplinary case regardless of whether they participate in consideration of other (criminal, civil or other cases) cases.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75³⁹ – Making a substantive decision on a case

The Disciplinary Board shall determine whether a judge has committed an action for which a disciplinary accusation was brought against him/her, and whether this action constitutes a disciplinary misconduct under this Law. The Disciplinary Board shall also determine whether a judge was culpable when a disciplinary misconduct was committed. Only under all three circumstances, the Disciplinary Board shall be authorised to make a decision on finding the judge guilty and imposing disciplinary liability and penalty on him/her. The Disciplinary Board may reclassify the action of a judge to replace it with any other disciplinary misconduct under Article 75¹ of this Law.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁴⁰ – Making a decision by the Disciplinary Board

1. The Disciplinary Board shall make a decision in the deliberations room.
2. A decision of the Disciplinary Board shall be considered to have been made if supported by a majority of the Board members present.
3. A decision of the Disciplinary Board shall be made in writing and shall be signed by the Board members.
4. A Disciplinary Board member, who disagrees with a decision made in relation to a disciplinary case, shall formulate his/her opinion in writing to be attached to the case file.
5. A decision of the Disciplinary Board may be appealed by way of filing a complaint with the Disciplinary Board.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁴¹ – Types of the Disciplinary Board decisions

1. The Disciplinary Board shall be authorised to pass one of the following decisions on:

- a) suspending a disciplinary proceeding;
- b) terminating a disciplinary proceeding;
- c) finding a judge guilty of committing a disciplinary misconduct and imposing disciplinary liability and penalty on him/her;
- d) finding a judge guilty of committing a disciplinary misconduct, imposing disciplinary liability on the judge and applying to the judge with a private recommendation letter;
- e) acquitting a judge.

2. Decisions under paragraph 1(c-e) of this article shall be made only after consideration of a disciplinary case on the merits is completed, except as provided for in Article 75³⁶(2) of this Law.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁴² – Decision of the Disciplinary Board on terminating a disciplinary proceeding

1. The Disciplinary Board shall make a decision on terminating a disciplinary proceeding if:
- a) the period for imposing a disciplinary penalty on a judge has expired;
 - b) the High Council of Justice of Georgia has withdrawn a disciplinary accusation from the judge;
 - c) a judge against whom disciplinary prosecution has been initiated resigns before the disciplinary proceeding is completed, or his/her judicial powers are terminated;
 - d) based on the materials forwarded by the Disciplinary Board, criminal prosecution has not been initiated against a judge because the guilt of a judge or the facts upon which the disciplinary accusation was based were not confirmed.
2. The Disciplinary Board may make a decision on terminating a disciplinary proceeding only before consideration of a case on the merits is completed, before the Disciplinary Board goes into the deliberations room.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁴³ – Decision of the Disciplinary Board on temporarily suspending a disciplinary proceeding

1. The decision on temporarily suspending a disciplinary proceeding shall be made by the Disciplinary Board in the cases under Article 75³⁷ of this Law.
2. A disciplinary proceeding may be temporarily suspended only before consideration of a case on the merits is completed, before the Disciplinary Board goes into the deliberations room.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁴⁴ – Decision of the Disciplinary Board on finding a judge guilty of committing a disciplinary misconduct, imposing disciplinary liability on him/her or on applying to a judge with a private recommendation letter

1. The Disciplinary Board shall make a decision on finding a judge guilty of committing a disciplinary misconduct, imposing disciplinary liability on him/her or on applying to him/her with a private recommendation letter if culpable commission of the disciplinary misconduct under this Law by the judge has been proved, by inter-compatible and irrefutable evidence collectively, during hearing a disciplinary case by the Disciplinary Board but, because of a minor significance of the infringement, insignificant degree of the guilt or other grounds (due to sensitivity of the matter or another reason, consideration of the judge's personality), the Disciplinary Board considers inappropriate to impose disciplinary penalty on the judge and deems it sufficient to apply to him/her with a private recommendation letter.

2. A private recommendation letter shall be a letter of the Disciplinary Board to a judge having committed a disciplinary misconduct, in which the commission of the disciplinary misconduct by the judge is negatively evaluated. A private recommendation letter shall also contain a recommendation of the Disciplinary Board on the elimination of an infringement, the difficulties associated with the fulfilment of judge's duties and the ways and means of solving the problems.

3. The content of a private recommendation letter shall be confidential. The private recommendation letter shall only be forwarded to a judge having committed a disciplinary misconduct, the High Council of Justice of Georgia and the author of an appeal (application/notification). The author of the appeal (application/notification) shall sign a letter regarding the non-disclosure of the content of the private recommendation letter. A copy of the private recommendation letter may not be forwarded to another body or official. A copy of the private recommendation letter shall be attached to the disciplinary case in a sealed package. This package may be opened only during a disciplinary case hearing by the Disciplinary Board when a disciplinary misconduct is repeatedly committed by the judge.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁴⁵ – Decision of the Disciplinary Board on acquitting a judge

The Disciplinary Board shall make a decision on acquitting a judge if the commission of a disciplinary misconduct under this Law or its culpable commission by the judge has not been proved as a result of a case hearing.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁴⁶ – Decision of the Disciplinary Board on finding a judge guilty of committing a disciplinary misconduct and imposing disciplinary liability and penalty on him/her

The Disciplinary Board shall make a decision on finding a judge guilty of committing a disciplinary misconduct and imposing disciplinary liability and penalty on him/her, if culpable commission of one or several disciplinary misconducts under this Law by the judge has been proved, by inter-compatible and irrefutable evidence collectively, as a result of hearing a disciplinary case by the Disciplinary Board and the Disciplinary Board deems it appropriate to impose on the judge disciplinary liability according to rules determined by Paragraph 2 of the Article 75⁴⁷ of this Law”.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁴⁷ – General procedure for imposing a disciplinary penalty and a disciplinary action

1. A disciplinary penalty and a disciplinary action shall be imposed by following the principle of independence of a judge and non-interference in the activities of a judge. When selecting a disciplinary penalty and a disciplinary action for a judge, the Disciplinary Board shall consider the nature and gravity of a disciplinary misconduct, consequences it entailed or may have entailed, and degree of the guilt.
2. In general, the Disciplinary Board may apply only one, primary type of a disciplinary penalty. In exceptional cases when only the primary disciplinary penalty fails to ensure the achievement of the purpose of disciplinary liability, the Disciplinary Board is authorized to apply the additional disciplinary penalty together with it, provided for in Paragraph 1² of Article 75³ of this Law. A disciplinary action shall be applied only individually.
3. If a penalty imposed for a previously committed disciplinary misconduct has not been expunged, a more severe disciplinary penalty shall usually be imposed on a judge.
4. If, within 6 months of applying to a judge with a private recommendation letter, the judge commits the similar disciplinary misconduct for which the above disciplinary action was imposed on him/her, the Disciplinary Board shall consider imposing a disciplinary penalty on him/her.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 75⁴⁸ – Imposition of a Disciplinary Penalty

1. For disciplinary misconduct as specified in this law, disciplinary penalties described in Clause 1, Article 75³ of this law shall be ordinarily imposed in the listed order, with the exception of the cases when the type of disciplinary misconduct warrants a stricter penalty.
2. The disciplinary penalty described in subclause f, Clause 1, Article 75³ shall not be applied to a judge of the Supreme Court.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.04.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 75⁴⁹ – (Removed)

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 75⁵⁰ – Decision of the Disciplinary Board on dismissing a judge from the post

1. The Disciplinary Board shall take into account that dismissal of a judge from the post is a measure of last resort, and this measure shall be applied in a special situation. The Disciplinary Board shall make a decision on dismissing a judge from the post if, based on the gravity and number of a specific disciplinary misconduct, and considering a previously committed disciplinary misconduct, it deems it inappropriate that this judge continue to exercise his/her judicial powers.

2. If a disciplinary penalty – a severe reprimand – was imposed on a judge, individually or in combination with a statutory disciplinary action, for a previously committed disciplinary misconduct and this penalty is not expunged, the Disciplinary Board shall consider the dismissal of this judge from the post when selecting a disciplinary penalty for a new disciplinary misconduct.

3. From the moment of making the decision to dismiss a judge from the post by the Disciplinary Board, the judge must be withdrawn from hearing a case and exercising other official powers under the procedure established by law.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁵¹ – Contents of a Disciplinary Board decision

The following information shall be indicated in a decision of the Disciplinary Board:

- a) the name of the Disciplinary Board;
- b) the list of members of the Disciplinary Board;
- c) the time for a disciplinary case hearing;
- d) the first name, surname and position of a judge imposed with disciplinary liability;
- e) the name and surname of an official initiating disciplinary proceedings and the name of a body imposing disciplinary liability on a judge;
- f) the dates of initiating disciplinary proceedings and imposing disciplinary liability;

- g) the circumstances of a disciplinary case;
- h) the content of a disciplinary accusation and explanations of a judge;
- i) the factual and legal grounds for a decision made; the content and motivation of the decision;
- j) a type of a disciplinary misconduct for which disciplinary liability is imposed on a judge, and types of a disciplinary penalty and a disciplinary action;
- k) the grounds for terminating disciplinary proceedings, acquitting a judge, applying to a judge with a private recommendation letter, or for a recommendation to dismiss him/her from the post.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁵² – Submission of a copy of a Disciplinary Board decision

1. Copies of a Disciplinary Board decision on a disciplinary case shall be submitted to a judge in relation to whom the decision was made, the High Council of Justice of Georgia and the Conference of Judges of Georgia within five days after the decision is made. Copies of the above decision shall be submitted, within the same time limit, to the author of an appeal (application/notification) if a general court has ceased to consider the case with respect to which a disciplinary proceeding was conducted.
2. A copy of the Disciplinary Board decision must be attached to the personal file of a judge.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁵³ – Minutes of a Disciplinary Board meeting and its content

1. The minutes of a Disciplinary Board meeting shall include the date of a Disciplinary Board meeting, its start time, the course of the meeting, the list of the Disciplinary Board members, first names and surnames of the parties, their views, evidences and respective substantiation they have presented, the essence of motions they have filed and the results of considering them, first names and surnames of persons invited to the meeting and their information, the content of the Disciplinary Board decision, and the closing time of the meeting.
2. The minutes of the meeting shall be signed by the chairperson of the Disciplinary Board meeting and the secretary of the meeting.
3. The minutes of the Disciplinary Board meeting shall be attached to the disciplinary case.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁵⁴ – Appeal of decisions of the Disciplinary Board and the Ethics Commission of the Georgian Bar Association

1. A Disciplinary Board decision may be revised by appealing it to the Disciplinary Chamber of the Supreme Court ('the Disciplinary Chamber'). Only the decisions under Article 75⁴¹(1)(b-e) of this Law may be appealed. The parties to a disciplinary case shall have the right of appeal.
2. An appeal against a Disciplinary Board decision shall be filed with the Disciplinary Board within 10 days. This time limit may not be extended (recovered) and it shall be counted from the moment of submitting the Disciplinary Board decision to a party. The moment of submission of the decision shall be the delivery of a copy of the decision to the party right at the Disciplinary Board or its sending by post.
3. A decision to appeal a Disciplinary Board decision shall be made by the High Council of Justice of Georgia at its meeting, by a majority of the total number of members. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall appeal a Disciplinary Board decision through its representative.
4. A judge imposed with disciplinary liability shall appeal a Disciplinary Board decision personally or through his/her defence lawyer or another representative.
5. Within five days after receiving an appeal from either or both parties to a disciplinary case, the Chairperson of the Disciplinary Board shall submit the disciplinary case, along with the appeals received, to the Disciplinary Chamber, and shall notify the parties to the disciplinary case.
6. A state duty shall not be paid for an appeal filed against a Disciplinary Board decision.
7. A decision of the Ethics Commission of the Georgian Bar Association on imposing a disciplinary penalty on a lawyer may be appealed by the lawyer to the Disciplinary Board within one month after the decision is submitted to him/her.
8. The Disciplinary Board shall consider the appeal of the lawyer under the procedure established by this article, Articles 75⁵⁵–75⁶⁸ of this Law and Article 35¹ of the Law of Georgia on Lawyers.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁵⁵ – The Content of an appeal

1. An appeal must include:
 - a) the name of the Disciplinary Chamber;
 - b) the name and address of a person filing the appeal, and the name and address of the opponent;
 - c) the exact title of a decision appealed, and the name of a body that has made this decision;
 - d) the reference made in relation to a section of the decision appealed;
 - e) the reference made in relation to the grounds for appealing (reasons of appeal) and the explanation of whether a person filing the appeal demands that the decision be revoked (application for appeal);

f) the reference in relation to the facts and evidence confirming the non-compliance with the procedural standards if the appeal is based on the non-compliance with the procedural standards;

g) the list of the written materials attached to the appeal;

h) the signature of a person filing the appeal.

2. An appeal filed by a representative must be accompanied by a power of attorney that verifies the authority of the representative to file an appeal if such document verifying this authority is not present in the case file.

3. The appeal and other additionally received materials shall be submitted to the court in as many copies as the number of parties to the case.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁵⁶ – Verification of the admissibility of an appeal

1. The Disciplinary Chamber must, within 5 days after an appeal is received, verify whether or not the appeal is filed in compliance with Article 75⁵⁵ of this Law. If the appeal complies with the requirements of this Article, the Disciplinary Chamber shall admit it.

2. If an appeal fails to comply with the requirements of Article 75⁵⁵ of this Law, the Disciplinary Chamber shall order a person filing the appeal to correct the deficiency and shall allow him/her a reasonable time period to do that (not more than 5 days). If the deficiency has not been corrected within this time period, or the deadline determined by law for filing an appeal has not been met, the appeal shall be dismissed without hearing.

3. The Disciplinary Chamber shall resolve the issue under this article without oral hearing.

4. Copies of an appeal and materials attached must be forwarded to the opponent. The Disciplinary Chamber may fix a time limit for the opponent to respond to the appeal in writing.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁵⁷ – Refusal to appeal a decision and waiver of an appeal

1. If, after the decision is announced, a party refuses to appeal the decision at the Disciplinary Board in writing, the appeal shall not be admitted.

2. When a case is considered by the Disciplinary Chamber, an appeal may be waived before the decision is made. When an appeal is waived, a party shall be deprived of the right to appeal the decision again.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁵⁸ – Period for consideration of a case. Fixing the time for consideration of a case

1. The Disciplinary Chamber shall consider a disciplinary case within 15 days after admission of an appeal. Under objective circumstances, consideration of the case may be extended once by the Chairperson of the Supreme Court by 15 days.
2. By the ruling on admitting an appeal, the Disciplinary Chamber shall fix the time for an oral hearing of the case and shall notify the parties within three days after the ruling is made.
3. The Disciplinary Chamber shall ensure that the parties/participants be invited to take part in the meeting of the Disciplinary Chamber.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁵⁹ – The scope of consideration of an appeal by the Disciplinary Chamber and principles of its activity

1. The Disciplinary Chamber shall verify a Disciplinary Board decision within the scope of an appeal in terms of both factual and legal aspects, and the lawfulness of a penalty imposed.
2. Consideration of a case by the Disciplinary Board in violation of legal procedures may only serve as a basis for revoking the decision if it has resulted in making a substantively incorrect decision on the case.
3. When considering an appeal, the Disciplinary Chamber shall conduct its activity in compliance with the principles under Article 75²⁴(2) and (3) of this Law.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁶⁰ – Consideration of a case by the Disciplinary Chamber

1. An appeal against a Disciplinary Board decision shall be considered at a meeting of the Disciplinary Chamber. The meeting shall be chaired by the chairperson of the Disciplinary Chamber, or by another member of the Chamber – as assigned by the chairperson.
2. The chairperson of the meeting of the Disciplinary Chamber shall open the meeting and announce the case to be considered.
3. The secretary of the meeting of the Disciplinary Chamber shall report on who of the people invited to a case in question has appeared, and whether those absent have been notified of the meeting and what information is available on the reasons of their absence. The Disciplinary Chamber shall identify those present, and verify the powers of the representatives.
4. The chairperson of the meeting shall explain to the parties and their representatives their rights and duties.
5. The chairperson of the meeting shall announce the members of the Disciplinary Chamber, the first name and surname of the secretary of meeting, and explain to the parties that they may

challenge if the challenge was not made on the basis of a reasonable excuse before consideration of the case at the meeting, or if other members of the court are considering the case and not those that were known at a preparation stage of the case.

6. The chairperson of the meeting shall ask the parties whether they have motions or statements that failed to be announced before the meeting.

7. Parties to the proceedings shall keep order and obey instructions of the chairperson of the meeting. If the order is disturbed, the chairperson shall warn the disturber.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁶¹ – Hearing of a case on the merits

1. Hearing of a case on the merits shall commence with a judge reporting on the case, which must be based on the case materials presented.

2. After the judge's reporting on the case, the chairperson of the meeting shall give the floor to the parties for explanations.

3. The first person to provide explanations shall be the author of an appeal/representative, in particular, what is his/her claim, what are the circumstances the claim is based on, how can he/she prove the circumstances, whether he/she still supports the claim, and whether he/she intends to waive the appeal, etc.

4. After that the court shall hear explanations of the opponent/representative on whether he/she admits the appeal, etc.

5. If only one party appears at the meeting, the Chamber shall accept explanations from the party present.

6. With the permission of the chairperson of the meeting, each party can ask a question to the opponent and its representative. If the question goes beyond the subject of hearing and does not intend to examine and establish a circumstance of the case, the chairperson of the meeting can, at the request of a party or on his/her own initiative, disallow the question.

7. Members of the Disciplinary Chamber shall have the right ask questions to the parties, thus contributing to complete and precise establishment of the circumstances significant to the resolution of a case, and verification of their credibility.

8. Hearing of arguments shall consist of speeches made by the parties and their representatives. The author of an appeal and his/her representative shall be the first to make a speech, and then the opponent and his/her representative.

9. After each party to arguments makes his/her speech, the chairperson of the meeting shall allow the parties to make replications.

10. After the arguments, the Disciplinary Chamber shall inform the parties that it retires to make a decision.

11. After returning from the deliberations room, the chairperson of the meeting shall announce the decision made, explain the grounds for making this decision, and shall close the meeting afterwards.

12. The minutes of the meeting of the Disciplinary Chamber shall be drawn up to be signed by the chairperson of the meeting and the secretary of the meeting.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁶² – Decision of the Disciplinary Chamber

1. The Disciplinary Chamber shall make a decision by a majority of votes.

2. A member of the Disciplinary Chamber may not abstain from voting when making a decision.

3. The decision by the Disciplinary Chamber shall include the content of the Disciplinary Board decision and the appeals admitted, the results of considering an issue at the Disciplinary Chamber, and the essence and substantiation of the decision made.

4. The decision of the Disciplinary Chamber shall be final and shall not be subject to appeal.

5. The Disciplinary Chamber may, at the request of the parties or on its own initiative, correct inaccuracies or obvious arithmetic mistakes in the decision if it deems it appropriate to make corrections. The ruling on making corrections to the decision shall not be appealed.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁶³ – Types of a Disciplinary Chamber decision

The Disciplinary Chamber shall be authorised to make one of the following decisions on:

a) upholding a Disciplinary Board decision if an appeal concerns decisions under Article 75⁴¹(1)(b-e) of this Law;

b) changing a Disciplinary Board decision if an appeal concerns decisions under Article 75⁴¹(1)(c) and (d) of this Law;

c) revoking a Disciplinary Board decision and making a new decision if the issue concerns decisions under Article 75⁴¹(1)(b-e) of this Law;

d) revoking a Disciplinary Board decision and remitting a case for reconsideration.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁶⁴ – Grounds for upholding a Disciplinary Board decision

1. The Disciplinary Chamber shall make a decision to uphold a Disciplinary Board decision if it is proved that the Disciplinary Board has lawfully acquitted a judge or terminated disciplinary proceedings against him/her, or it has provided a proper legal classification of a disciplinary misconduct determined at a Board meeting, and moreover, it has imposed a lawful and fair disciplinary penalty or disciplinary action on a judge.

2. To uphold a Disciplinary Board decision, it is mandatory that, along with the presence of circumstances under paragraph 1 of this article, the facts considered to have been established by the Disciplinary Board (committing a respective disciplinary misconduct, grounds for terminating a disciplinary case or acquitting a judge) are also established at a meeting of the Disciplinary Chamber.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁶⁵ – Grounds for changing a Disciplinary Board decision

1. The Disciplinary Chamber shall make a decision to change a Disciplinary Board decision if:

a) the Disciplinary Board has provided improper legal classification of actions committed by a judge and failed to determine correctly which disciplinary misconduct under Article 75¹ of this Law the judge committed. The Disciplinary Chamber shall be authorised to re-classify the action of the judge as any disciplinary misconduct under Article 75¹ of this Law, and to find the judge guilty also for committing another disciplinary misconduct under this Law if the factual circumstances of a disciplinary accusation include it;

b) the Disciplinary Board has applied an unlawful, unfair or inappropriate disciplinary penalty / penalties or disciplinary action. In this case, the Disciplinary Chamber is authorised to:

b.a.) uphold disciplinary penalty / penalties, remove a disciplinary action from, or impose a disciplinary action on a judge;

b.b.) change disciplinary penalty / penalties and uphold a disciplinary action;

b.c.) change disciplinary penalty / penalties, or apply a disciplinary action under Article 75³(2) of this Law;

b.d.) replace a disciplinary action with any disciplinary penalty / penalties.

2. If the circumstances under paragraph 1(a) and (b) of this article are present at the same time, the Disciplinary Chamber shall make a decision to change the classification of a disciplinary misconduct committed by a judge, the disciplinary penalty or disciplinary action imposed on the judge, and consequently, to change the Disciplinary Board decision in this part.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 75⁶⁶ – Grounds for revoking a Disciplinary Board decision and making a new decision

The Disciplinary Chamber shall make a decision on revoking a Disciplinary Board decision if the Disciplinary Board has unlawfully acquitted a judge, or unlawfully terminated a disciplinary case initiated against him/her, or unlawfully imposed disciplinary liability on him/her and applied a disciplinary penalty or a disciplinary action. Upon revocation of the Disciplinary Board decision, the Disciplinary Chamber shall be authorised to make any decision under Article 75⁴¹ of this Law, except for a decision under paragraph 1(a) of this article.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁶⁷ – Grounds for revoking a Disciplinary Board decision and remitting a case for reconsideration

The Disciplinary Chamber shall make a decision on revoking a Disciplinary Board decision and remitting a case for reconsideration if:

- a) the case was considered by the Disciplinary Board comprised of illegitimate members;
- b) the Disciplinary Board considered the case in the absence of either of the parties that was not notified of the meeting;
- c) the decision has been made on the basis of a case hearing when the confidentiality rules of proceedings were violated;
- d) the decision is not legally sufficiently substantiated, or the substantiation is so incomplete that the validity of the decision cannot be verified;
- e) the decision is not signed by the chairperson or the secretary of the meeting;
- f) the minutes of a Board meeting is not included in a case file.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁶⁸ – The form of a Disciplinary Chamber decision

1. A decision made by the Disciplinary Chamber on a disciplinary case shall be drawn up in writing. It shall be signed by the members of the Disciplinary Chamber.
2. Copies of the Disciplinary Chamber decision shall be forwarded to the High Council of Justice of Georgia, parties to the proceeding, their representatives and the author of an appeal (application/notification).

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁶⁹ – Enforcement of a decision made in relation to a disciplinary case

1. The High Council of Justice of Georgia or the Chairperson of the Supreme Court of Georgia shall be responsible for the enforcement of a disciplinary penalty and a disciplinary action within their powers.

3. A Disciplinary Board decision shall enter into legal force after the period for appeal expires, and a Disciplinary Chamber decision shall enter into legal force immediately.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁷⁰ – Enforcement of the decision on dismissing the chairperson of court, first deputy or a deputy chairperson, and the chairperson of the Judicial Panel or Chamber

When applying the dismissal of the chairperson of court, first deputy or a deputy chairperson and the chairperson of the Judicial Panel or Chamber as a disciplinary action, the Disciplinary Board or the Disciplinary Chamber shall submit its legally effective decision for enforcement to an appropriate body or official; in particular, to the Plenum of the Supreme Court of Georgia if it refers to deputy chairpersons of the Supreme Court of Georgia, and to the High Council of Justice of Georgia – in all other event.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁷¹ – Enforcement of a decision on dismissing a judge

When imposing the dismissal of a judge as a disciplinary penalty, the Disciplinary Board or the Disciplinary Chamber shall submit its legally effective decision for enforcement to the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁷² – Dismissal of a judge

2. On the basis of a legally effective decision of the Disciplinary Board or the Disciplinary Chamber on dismissing a judge and an appropriate recommendation, the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall dismiss the judge within 10 days after receiving the recommendation.

3. A person who has been dismissed from the position of a judge under the procedure determined by this Law for committing a disciplinary misconduct shall lose the right to be entitled to a state compensation under Article 70 of this Law.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁷³ – Publication of the Disciplinary Board and the Disciplinary Chamber decisions

1. Decisions of the Disciplinary Board and the Disciplinary Chamber without identifying information of a judge, unless the judge himself/herself requires the disciplinary proceeding be public, shall be published on an official website upon their entry into legal force. A decision on dismissing a judge shall be published in full.
2. Copies of the legally effective decisions of the Disciplinary Board and the Disciplinary Chamber shall be handed to any person upon request.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁷⁴ – Obligation of a member of the Disciplinary Board and a member of the Disciplinary Chamber to keep confidentiality of information concerning a disciplinary case

1. A member of the Disciplinary Board and a member of the Disciplinary Chamber shall keep confidentiality of information concerning a disciplinary case, except when a judge has required the disciplinary proceeding be public under Article 75⁴(1) of this Law, and the secrecy of meeting. This obligation shall not apply to information included in the legally effective decisions of the Disciplinary Board and the Disciplinary Chamber.
2. Failure to follow the procedure under paragraph 1 of this article shall be considered a disciplinary misconduct under Article 75¹(8)(d.c) of this Law, and it shall become a ground for withdrawing a judge from membership of the Disciplinary Board or the Disciplinary Chamber, and in addition, may become a ground for imposing disciplinary liability on him/her.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Organic Law of Georgia No 5569 of 13 December 2019 – website, 25.12.2019

Article 75⁷⁵ – Reimbursement of travel expenses

Travel expenses of a person conducting disciplinary proceedings, and a person bringing disciplinary accusation, and travel expenses related to the exercising disciplinary powers of a member of the Disciplinary Board and a member of the Disciplinary Chamber shall be reimbursed according to their place of employment.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁷⁶ – Expungement of a disciplinary penalty

1. A ‘reproof’ shall be deemed expunged after 6 months it was imposed; a ‘reprimand’ – nine months after, and a ‘severe reprimand’ – after expiry of one year, unless a judge commits another disciplinary misconduct within this period.

2. A judge against whom a decision on finding him/her guilty of committing a disciplinary misconduct, imposing disciplinary liability on him/her, and on applying to him/her with a private recommendation letter as a disciplinary action shall not be deemed to have a disciplinary penalty.

3. A disciplinary penalty may not be lifted before a respective period defined under paragraph 1 of this article.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁷⁷ – Restriction of the right of a judge to get promoted

1. A judge, who has been imposed with disciplinary liability by decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia or whose disciplinary penalty imposed has not been expunged, shall be restricted from getting promoted for a respective period of time.

2. A respective body or an official shall not appoint a judge to a court of higher instance if he/she has been imposed with disciplinary liability by decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia, or if a disciplinary penalty imposed on him/her has not been expunged.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁷⁸ – Inadmissibility of finding a judge guilty for the same accusation and imposing a disciplinary penalty on him/her

After the Disciplinary Board or the Disciplinary Chamber finds a judge guilty or acquits him/her, it shall be inadmissible to initiate disciplinary prosecution against him/her on the same grounds, to impose disciplinary liability on him/her for the same accusation, and to find him/her guilty for the same action and impose disciplinary liability and a penalty on him/her.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁷⁹ – Retention of a disciplinary case

1. A disciplinary case shall be retained at the Disciplinary Board, and shall be inaccessible to other persons.

2. A disciplinary case may be forwarded to the bodies or officials appointing judges only by permission of the chairperson of the Disciplinary Board.

3. Retention period for a disciplinary case shall be 10 years.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Article 75⁸⁰ – Statistical information

1. Statistical information about the Disciplinary Board activities and disciplinary cases considered shall be periodically forwarded to the Conference of Judges of Georgia and the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

2. Statistical information under paragraph 1 of this article may be submitted to other officials, institutions, and to representatives of the mass media only by permission of the chairperson of the Disciplinary Board, and complying with the restrictions set under this Law for maintaining the confidentiality of information.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Chapter XIV - Transitional Provisions

Article 76 - Social security of judges

Article 70 of this Law shall apply to the relations arising from 15 May 1999.

Article 77 - Calculating a judge's compensation

1. A judge of the Supreme Court of Georgia whose powers were terminated, based on a personal application, from 1 January 2005 to 1 January 2006 and who was awarded a state compensation to the extent of the full amount of the salary for the period during which he/she should have exercised the powers of a Supreme Court judge, shall retain the compensation to the extent of the full amount of salary according to the monthly changes in the remuneration of an active Supreme Court judge. After the expiry of the above powers the compensation shall be calculated to bring it in line with Article 70(1) of this Law.

2. A judge (other than a Supreme Court judge) shall be awarded a state compensation according to the rule and in the amount determined by the Law of Georgia on State Compensation and State Academic Scholarship if:

a) he/she was appointed to the office of a judge under Articles 46-49 and Article 85(2) of the Organic Law of Georgia on General Courts of 13 June 1997;

b) he/she completed the mandatory qualification attestation and exercised judicial power under the Organic Law of Georgia on General Courts of 13 June 1997 in a district (city), regional court as well as in the High Court of an autonomous republic within the period, for which he/she had been appointed in the district (city) court, Tbilisi City Court as well as in the Supreme Court of an autonomous republic;

c) his/her judicial powers were terminated from 15 May 1999 under Article 54(1)(l),(n) or (p), and under Article 86¹(4) of the Organic Law of Georgia on General Courts of 13 June 1997, and has at least 10 years of work experience as a judge;

d) his/her judicial powers were renewed from 15 May 1999 under Article 85²(2) of the Organic Law of Georgia on General Courts of 13 June 1997.

Article 78 - Reviewing supervisory appeals

The supervisory appeals filed against the final judgements of Georgian general courts before 1 May 1999, the supervisory proceedings which are still pending, also supervisory objections and Supervisory Chamber motions shall be reviewed by the Chamber of Civil Cases of the Supreme Court of Georgia. Particularly complex cases and cases that have been heard by the Supervisory Chamber, the Panel of Civil Cases and the Presidium of the Supreme Court of Georgia, shall be heard before all the members of the Chamber of Civil Cases who have not taken part in the hearing of the case. This rule shall not apply to those participating in the hearing of cases in the Supervisory Chamber of the Supreme Court of Georgia.

Article 79 - Establishing the procedure for compensating business trip expenses of general court judges and the representative of the President of Georgia to the High Council of Justice of Georgia

The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall establish the procedure for compensating business trip expenses of general court judges and the representative of the President of Georgia to the High Council of Justice of Georgia according to the requirements of Article 49(1)(d¹) and 68(7) of this Law.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3523 of 21 July 2010 – LHG I, No 46, 4.8.2010, Art. 286

Organic Law of Georgia No 4461 of 22 March 2011 – website, 1.4.2011

Article 79¹ - Measures to be implemented to introduce the office of a court manager

1. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall ensure the amendment of the relevant legal acts and introduction of the office of a court manager in the structure of the administrative offices of general courts.

2. Until the office of a court manager is introduced, the chairperson of the relevant court shall supervise the administrative offices of courts.

Organic Law of Georgia No 3523 of 21 July 2010 – LHG I, No 46, 4.8.2010, Art. 286

Article 79² - Appointing the officers of the administrative offices of courts before the new procedure for recruitment of officers is introduced

1. Until paid interns are appointed under the procedure for recruitment of the officers of administrative offices of courts specified in Article 57(1) of this Law, the officers of administrative offices of courts shall be appointed according to the Law of Georgia on Public Service.

2. A person who has a higher legal education and who has completed a special training course in the High School of Justice or has at least one year work experience as a judge, prosecutor,

investigator or advocate or has worked in his/her speciality in court, may be appointed as an assistant judge.

3. A secretary of a court session shall be appointed from those persons who have completed a special training course in the High School of Justice or have at least one year work experience as a secretary of a court session.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5529 of 20 December 2011 – website, 28.12.2011

Article 79³ – Measures to be implemented for the creation of the Department of General Courts

1. The Department of General Courts shall be a legal successor of the Department of General Courts of the High Council of Justice of Georgia.

2. For the creation of the Department of General Courts, the Government of Georgia shall ensure that:

a) the budgetary allocations of the Department of General Courts of the High Council of Justice of Georgia are transferred to the Department of General Courts under the legislation of Georgia;

b) the assets necessary for the operation of the Department of General Courts are transferred to the Department of General Courts under the legislation of Georgia.

3. The High Council of Justice of Georgia shall ensure:

a) the approval of Regulations of the Department of General Courts;

b) implementation of other measures related to the creation of the Department of General Courts.

Organic Law of Georgia No 5529 of 20 December 2011 – website, 28.12.2011

Article 79⁴ – Procedure for assigning a judge assigned to the post for a three-year term, who has at least three years' experience of the judicial activity, to the post for an unlimited term

Checking procedures determined under this Law shall be terminated in relation to a judge assigned to the post for a 3-year term before 1 July 2017, at his/her request, who has at least 3 years' experience of working as a judge, and he/she shall be assigned to the post for an unlimited term until reaching the age defined by law, by decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia (the decision of the High Council of Justice of Georgia shall be made by secret ballot, by two-thirds of the full list of members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia), on the basis of the successfully passed interview, Article 36¹(1) and (2) and Articles 36² and 36³ of this Law, the examination of cases provided for in Article 36⁴ of this Law, the points-based assessment system and the forms filled out by members of the High Council of Justice of Georgia independently following the interview. If the aforementioned judge is refused to be

assigned to the position for an unlimited term, he/she shall carry on with exercising powers within the remaining period of his/her 3-year term of the judicial powers.

Organic Law of Georgia No 1052 of 16 June 2017 – website, 27.6.2017

Article 79⁵ – Procedure for continuing consideration of ongoing disciplinary cases commenced before the invalidation of the law of Georgia on Disciplinary Liability of Judges of General Courts of Georgia and Disciplinary Proceedings

Consideration of disciplinary cases commenced before the invalidation of the Law of Georgia No 150-IIS on Disciplinary Liability of Judges of General Courts of Georgia and Disciplinary Proceedings as of 23 February 2000 shall be continued under the procedure established by this Law.

Organic Law of Georgia No 2194 of 20 April 2018 – website, 26.4.2018

Chapter XV - Final Provisions

Article 80 - Entry of the Law into force

1. This Law, except for Article 24(2) of this Law shall enter into force upon promulgation.
2. Article 24(2) of this Law shall enter into force from 1 January 2010.
3. The following shall be declared void upon the enactment of this Law:
 - a) Organic Law of Georgia on General Courts of 13 June 1997 (The Parliamentary Gazette, No 33, 31 July 1997, p. 75);
 - b) Organic Law of Georgia on the Supreme Court of Georgia of 12 May 1999 (The Legislative Herald of Georgia, No 14(21), 1999, Art. 62);
 - c) Law of Georgia on Social Guarantees of the Members of the Supreme Court of Georgia of 25 June 1996 (The Parliamentary Gazette, No 19-20, 30.7.96, p. 37);
 - d) Law of Georgia on Social and Legal Guarantees of Judges of 3 December 2002 (The Legislative Herald of Georgia, No 32, 20.12.2002, Art. 146).

President of Georgia

M. Saakashvili

Tbilisi

4 December 2009

No 2257-III